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## FOREWORD

There is an increasing demand for reliable data on labour force, employment and unemployment following the major and rapid changes in living standards and lifestyles as well as employment of the population in the years of transition to market economy relations in Mongolia.

The existing data sources of annual employment survey based on administration registration and monthly records of unemployment based on data of employment services are insufficient to capture comprehensive patterns of employment hence to fully meet the data needs. As a result, a requirement duly arose to improve the methodology of estimating labour force and unemployment rate, to conduct a comprehensive national survey on for provision of reliable information on employment pattern and to regularly update the data of the survey on a quarterly and annual basis.

In response to this requirement, a national labour force survey was carried out by the National Statistical Office of Mongolia as one of the main activities of Technical Assistance: "Improving Social Statistics" with financial and technical support of Asian Development Bank. And it is our great pleasure to present the main results of this survey which have captured all four quarters starting from October 2000.

Labour force survey (LFS), the nucleus of social statistical information is a first large scale survey that has measured the economic activities and employment of the population by two methods ("current" and "usual status" approaches) in conformity with common concepts and definitions and methodologies recommended by International Labour Organization. The survey presents the useful results for state and government organizations, researchers and academia since it has captured the seasonality over all four quarters and utilized the internationally accepted methodology.

Labour force survey is especially important in a sense that it elicits comprehensive data on employment patterns and unemployment and provides new and reliable data sources which are useful in addressing the pressing issues in the lives of a society and citizens. We believe that the survey provides the essential information useful not only for partial solution to transition related problems but also for addressing the core developmental challenges and policy development. Besides, LFS has made a significant step in identifying the pattern and trend of employment in the informal sector hence paving the road for further in-depth analysis of issues and consequences related to the informal sector that duly deserves the attention in Mongolia wherein nomadic and sedentary lifestyles are co-existent.

LFS is specific in a way that at its early stage, a child activities module was incorporated
to have collected a comprehensive and rich source of data on child economic activities and scope and pattern of child work and child labour.

Another distinguishing feature of the labour force survey is that it has elicited information on primary employment and income opportunities and on status of mobilization of human resources and capital.

The survey offers a wealth of data which has important implications for the updating and enriching the baseline information of labour statistics, development of labour market, further improvement of indicators, rationalization of frequency and methodology of the survey as well as criteria for measuring the efficiency in mobilization of labour force. Therefore, we deeply hope that data and information of LFS and child activities module will be extremely useful and valuable for policy and decision makers, administrators, researchers and business people.

## FOREWORD

According to the Poverty Partnership Agreement signed by the Asian Development Bank and the Government of Mongolia, "growth in the economy has not reduced the level of poverty, which is mainly the result of lack of employment and income opportunities". In view of the policy and social relevance of employment in Mongolia, the conduct of a labour force survey was included as one of the principal activities of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) financed TA No. 3684 - Improving Social Statistics that is implemented by the National Statistical Office (NSO) of Mongolia.

The Labour Force Survey (LFS) 2002-2003 is the first in a series of nationally representative household based sample surveys on employment conducted in Mongolia. The LFS was conducted in four quarterly rounds from October 2002 through September 2003 to capture seasonal variations in labour supply and demand. The questionnaire was designed to elicit data on labour supply and demand under both currently active and usually active concepts based on a short reference period of one week before the survey and a long reference period of one year considering the large proportion of the working population that was engaged in agriculture and livestock production activities. From the point of view of the sample size of 12,800 households and sampling design that had provided for the preparation of estimates for nine domains including Ulaanbaatar, and the urban and rural stratifications of the four geographic regions into which the country is divided, this survey can be considered as a major statistical undertaking of the NSO in recent times.

At the design stage of the survey, a module on child activities was added and the survey was co-sponsored by the International Labour Organization (ILO). ADB appreciates the contribution of ILO in successfully undertaking the labour force survey. This is an excellent example of collaboration between ADB and ILO.

I appreciate the dedication and enthusiasm of the staff of the National Statistical Office both at headquarters and in the regional administration who contributed to the successful completion of the survey. I wish to place on record my deep appreciation of the cooperation and assistance extended to me by the Chairman NSO, Mr. P. Byambatseren and his predecessor Ms. Ch. Davaasuren that had made my task of overseeing the implementation of the technical assistance both fruitful and pleasant.

My sincere thanks are due to Mr. Raja B. M. Korale, ADB Labour Force Survey and Sampling Consultant for assisting the NSO in designing the survey including its sampling design, preparing the draft report of the survey and for training Mongolian statisticians in survey taking. I am also thankful to Ms. B. Munkhjargal who has served as the Project Coordinator for successfully and competently liasing with the national staff and the ADB , and for providing administrative support in executing the survey and the technical assistance project.

It is my pleasure and privilege to write this foreword to the Main Report on the Labour Force Survey 2002-2003. The findings of the survey were presented at a very well attended Seminar on 29 July 2004 at the Government House, Ulaanbaatar where representatives from the government, non-government organizations, international organizations and researchers participated at which I was also present. The survey findings are especially important now when the country is giving greater emphasis on employment creation and poverty reduction programmes. The government policymakers, planners and researchers will find in this report useful data, indices and indicators on labour supply and demand for planning and programming employment and analyzing and monitoring poverty in Mongolia.

BISHNU DEV PANT
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# MAIN REPORT OF LABOUR FORCE SURVEY <br> October 2002- September 2003 

## Chapter 1

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

1.1 Labour Force Survey is the first sample survey undertaken in Mongolia that has produced quarterly estimates of employment and unemployment in the country.
1.2 In order to capture seasonal variations in labour supply and demand a two stage stratified random sampling design was adopted to enable the preparation of estimates for 9 strata comprising the capital city of Ulaanbaatar, and the urban and rural sectors of the 4 geographic regions into which the country is divided. The survey sampled 3,200 households or more than 12000 persons in each quarter that was sufficiently large for the preparation of statistically reliable estimates on key variables based on the data from the 4 quarterly rounds. The questionnaire was designed to capture labour supply and demand under both currently active and usually active concepts based on a short reference period of 1 week before the survey and a long reference period of one year considering the large proportion of the working population that was engaged in agriculture and livestock production activities.
1.3 The survey sampled 12,800 households out of which 12787 responded to the questionnaire, thus achieving a response rate of $99.9 \%$. The sample population enumerated through the survey was 49,948.
1.4 The field work on the survey was conducted between October 2002 and September 2003 in 4 quarterly rounds. The 3 month period from October to December 2002 during which field work was carried out was treated as the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter; the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarters were conducted in the following 6 months and field work on the $4^{\text {th }}$ and final quarter was undertaken during July to September 2003

## Structure of the Report

1.5 The report is structured as follows. Chapter 2 provides a brief description of the survey design and implementation. Chapter 3 gives a summary of the main demographic characteristics of the Mongolian population. Chapter 4 sets out the main findings on current activity of the population based on participation in identified economic and non-economic activities in the 7 day reference period immediately preceding the survey. Chapter 5 focuses on the labour supply with estimates on labour force characteristics based on the currently active population concept that used the week preceding the survey as the short reference period. Chapter 6 examines the employment condition of the population in some detail using industrial, occupational, and employment status distributions, and extent of underemployment and participation in a secondary occupation. Chapter 7 dwells on characteristics of the unemployed population providing disaggregated estimates and summarizes the main findings in some detail. Chapter 8 provides estimates of the usually active and usually inactive population based on the long reference period of 1 year for a comparison with the estimates from the currently active method. Chapter 9 describes the current concepts and definitions used in the measurement of informal sector in
some detail and establishes overall and disaggregated estimates of informal employment providing break downs by sector, age, occupational background of persons in informal employment. Chapter 10 provides an overview of the main findings relating to child activities and child labour. Chapter 11 provides an overview of survey results including estimates on key labour force indicators based on the 4 quarterly rounds and examines the seasonal variations in labour supply and demand. Chapter 12 sets out the sampling errors of estimates in respect of a number of national and sub-national level labour force measures.
1.6 The scope and coverage of the survey described in Chapter 2 Survey Design and Implementation and the concepts and definitions provided in Appendix 1 may be consulted in using the estimates provided in the report and in the comparison of survey estimates with those from other sources.

## Population of Mongolia

1.7 LFS estimated the household population of Mongolia as 2.4 million, including the household population that had resided away from their households for a period in excess of 6 months. The population estimate had excluded the population that resided in institutional households such as boarding houses, hospitals, military barracks, prisons etc.
$1.830 .9 \%$ of the population were children aged $0-14$ years; $65.3 \%$ were between 15-64 years; and $3.8 \%$ were aged 65 years and over. The dependency ratio which is defined as the total of the population aged below 15 years and the population aged 65 years and over taken together as a percentage of population aged 15-64 years is 53.3\% for Mongolia.
1.9 There were more females than males in Mongolia. The sex ratio which is the number of males for every hundred females was 98.5 for the whole country and 95.8 for urban sector. In the rural sector there were more males and the sex ratio amounted to 101.9.
1.10 Married population constituted 56.2\% of the total population aged 15 years and over, never married $33.3 \%$ and widowed persons amounted to $6.7 \%$. There were more widowed females than males in Mongolia and in both the urban and rural sectors.
1.11 The number of private households was estimated as 568,800. The average household size was 4.2. The average household size was lower in the urban sector and in Ulaanbaatar compared with four regions. The inclusion of household members who were absent for periods exceeding 6 months increases the regional variation in household size from 3.9 in Ulaanbaatar to 4.8 in the Western Region (see Table 15).
$1.12 \mathbf{1 6 . 4 \%}$ of households in Mongolia were female headed. Female headed households had fewer household members. Nearly 1 out of 2 one-person households and about 1 out of 3 twoperson households were headed by females.
$1.13545,500$ children or $79 \%$ of children from a total population of 689,800 in the age group 7-19 years were currently attending school. This school going population was made up of $76.7 \%$ male and $81.6 \%$ female children in the age group 7-19 years. Female school enrolment rates were higher than that of males in respect of all age groups. In rural areas the male school enrolment was $\mathbf{4}$ points lower in the age group of 10 and this difference widens further to 14 points at age 16 years.
$1.1497 \%$ of adult population( aged 15 years and over) were able to read and write. Males and females had nearly equal adult literacy rates in both urban and rural sectors.

## Economically Active and Inactive Population

1.15 The labour force or economically active population 15 years and over is estimated as 1.004, 800 comprising 523,500 males ( $52.1 \%$ ) and 481,300 females (47.9\%). The economically active population or the labour force is made up of employed and unemployed. The labour force had varied only slightly over the 4 quarters which could be due to sampling errors in the estimates.
1.16 The labour force participation rate (LFPR) is defined as the economically active population expressed as the percentage of the population of working age. LFPR for Mongolia which amounted to $65.3 \%$ was substantially higher in the rural sector at $76 \%$ which declined to $56 \%$ in the urban sector. The higher school enrolment rates in the urban areas had depressed the participation rate.
$1.17534,400$ or $\mathbf{3 4 . 7 \%}$ of the total population of $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 9 , 2 0 0}$ aged 15 years and over were not economically active, of whom 227,400 were males and 307,000 were females. There were 207,000 students, 152,000 who had retired from employment; 54,300 who were engaged in housework; 27,000 disabled among the economically, 24,500 who looked after children and 15,300 temporary ailment/sickness, 11,800 not available for work, 5,900 person below working age, 36,600 others.

Table 1 : Activity Status of the Population : Quarterly Estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Oct 02-Sep 03 | Oct-Dec | Jan- Mar | Apr-Jun | Jul - Sep |
| Labour Force 15+ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 4 , 8 0 0}$ | $1,002,600$ | 986,800 | $1,020,000$ | $1,014,100$ |
| Male | $\mathbf{5 2 3 , 5 0 0}$ | 527,700 | 514,500 | 526,800 | 525,900 |
| Female | $\mathbf{4 8 1 , 3 0 0}$ | 474,900 | 472,300 | 493,200 | 488,200 |
| LFPR 15+ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 3}$ | 66.6 | 65.1 | 64.9 | 65.0 |
| Male | $\mathbf{6 9 . 7}$ | 72.2 | 69.4 | 68.9 | 68.7 |
| Female | $\mathbf{6 1 . 1}$ | 61.4 | 60.9 | 61.0 | 61.4 |
| LFPR 15+ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | $\mathbf{5 6 . 4}$ | 59.9 | 55.8 | 55.3 | 55.1 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3}$ | 75.1 | 77.1 | 76.8 | 76.8 |
| Economically Inactive | $\mathbf{5 3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | 502,200 | 529,300 | 552,400 | 546,100 |

1.18 The economically inactive population had ranged from 502,200 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 552,400 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter, partly seasonal changes in labour demand and sampling errors in the estimates had contributed to these variations.
1.19 The high overall activity rates in the rural sector had lowered the currently inactive population to less than half that of the urban sector. Higher LFPR in rural areas can be explained by nomadic household herding lifestyle and relatively lower access to education and training.

## Currently Employed Population

1.20 The total currently employed population of Mongolia was estimated at 897,100 including $\mathbf{3 4 , 6 0 0}$ persons who were temporarily absent from their households.
1.21 This estimate did not include the population that resided in institutional households such as boarding houses, army barracks, hospitals etc. The Census of Population 2000 enumerated the total employed population as 779,100 and the Annual Employment Survey 2003 estimated the
number of employed persons as 926,500 . These estimates include the institutional population excluded from the LFS.

## Seasonal Variations

1.22 The estimated employed population had increased from 822,300 in the $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 906,000 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter by $\mathbf{8 3 , 7 0 0}$. This increase had arisen mainly in the rural sector. The number of employed had increased from 428,600 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 510,100 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter.

Table 2: Selected employment indicators-Quarterly Estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Oct 02- Sep 03 | Oct-Dec | Jan- Mar | Apr-Jun | Jul - Sep |
| Currently employed 15+ | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | 822,300 | 838,700 | 885,600 | 906,000 |
| Male | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | 433,900 | 435,000 | 455,900 | 471,000 |
| Female | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ | 388,400 | 403,700 | 429,700 | 435,000 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{3 9 2 , 3 0 0}$ | 393,700 | 384,700 | 393,500 | 395,900 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{4 7 0 , 2 0 0}$ | 428,600 | 454,000 | 492,100 | 510,100 |
| Employed by Sector |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | $\mathbf{4 0 2 , 7 0 0}$ | 363,300 | 382,100 | 424,500 | 445,400 |
| Production | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 9 0 0}$ | 106,300 | 102,800 | 98,100 | 104,100 |
| Services | $\mathbf{3 5 6 , 9 0 0}$ | 352,700 | 353,800 | 363,000 | 356,500 |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Employee | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 6 0 0}$ | 350,000 | 343,400 | 339,400 | 321,800 |
| Employer | $\mathbf{5 , 5 0 0}$ | 5,400 | 6,100 | 5,600 | 5,000 |
| Own account worker | $\mathbf{3 0 3 , 4 0 0}$ | 271,600 | 276,100 | 313,900 | 350,500 |
| Unpaid Family Worker | $\mathbf{2 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | 195,300 | 213,100 | 226,700 | 228,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 , 9 0 0}$ | 24,700 | 21,100 | 24,000 | 27,200 |
| Male | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 0 0}$ | 14,100 | 13,400 | 14,100 | 17,600 |
| Female | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 0 0}$ | 10,800 | 13,900 | 17,800 | 24,300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underemployed: Both | $\mathbf{5 8 , 2 0 0}$ | 78,500 | 53,100 | 58,900 | 42,400 |
| Male | $\mathbf{3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | 46,100 | 30,700 | 37,000 | 23,900 |
| Female | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 0 0}$ | 32,400 | 22,400 | 21,900 | 18,500 |

## Industrial attachment

1.23 Of the total employed $46.7 \%$ or 402,700 (of them 216,500 male and 186,200 female) worked in agriculture, hunting and forestry.
1.24 The number of employment in agriculture had increased by 82000 between the first and fourth quarters. Table 2 also shows that there had been no such seasonal variations in employment in production and services sectors.
$1.25392,000$ persons or $45.0 \%$ of the total employed population were skilled agricultural workers, $12.0 \%$ or 103,300 professionals or $3.9 \% 33,300$ legislators, senior officials and managers.
1.26 Of the employed $39.3 \%$ were paid employees, $35.0 \%$ were own account workers and $\mathbf{2 4 . 8 \%}$ were unpaid family workers. There were more female unpaid family workers (61.3\%) in the labour force.
1.27 Only about 3\% of the employed population had worked on a second occupation. There had been hardly any seasonal variations in the demand for labour in secondary occupations which had ranged from 21,100 in the 2nd quarter to 27,200 in $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter.Every second person
who had a second job were those who had worked in service and skilled agricultural and animal husbandry occupations.
1.28 This profile of persons undertaking multiple jobs shows that at present the demand for work in a secondary occupation is rather limited and any programmes geared for raising the employment incomes of the population should take note of this position. Yet, with labour supply exceeding labour demand, a policy which is targeted at increasing overall employment and income rather than individual based versions.
1.29 The total number of employed who were available for more work or had sought a second occupation amounted to 58,200 persons. Thus, only seven out of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ employed persons were available for more work and of them 18,000 or 1 out of 3 were paid employees in their main occupation.

## Unemployed Population

1.30 An estimated $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 3 0 0}$ persons comprising $\mathbf{7 4 , 6 0 0}$ males and $\mathbf{6 7 , 7 0 0}$ females were unemployed. The unemployment rate from all 4 quarters was $14.2 \%$ for both sexes with $14.2 \%$ and $14.1 \%$ as male and female rates(See Table 3). The unemployment numbered at 164,900 according to 2000 Population and Housing Census with the national average at 17.5\%.
1.31 The unemployed population was unevenly distributed with higher incidence of unemployment in urban area. It is $19.3 \%$ for male and $18.1 \%$ for female in urban areas as against $9.7 \%$ and $10.3 \%$ for males and females in the rural sector.
1.32 The unemployment rate for both sexes had declined from $18.0 \%$ in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to $10.7 \%$ in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. The seasonal variations in the demand for labour had contributed to the unemployment rate to vary by quarters.

Table 3: Selected unemployment indicators-Quarterly estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 <br> Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed 15+ | 142,300 | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 |
| Male | 74,600 | 93,800 | 79,500 | 70,900 | 54,900 |
| Female | 67,700 | 86,500 | 68,600 | 63,500 | 53,200 |
| Unemployment Rate \% | 14.2 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 10.7 |
| Male | 14.2 | 17.8 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 10.4 |
| Female | 14.1 | 18.2 | 14.5 | 12.9 | 10.9 |
| Urban | 18.7 | 22.0 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 15.1 |
| Rural | 10.0 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 6.9 |

1.33 The age distribution of the unemployed revealed that unemployment is a phenomenon that afflicts primarily persons in youth age groups particularly those who were new entrants to the workforce. $57.4 \%$ of the unemployed were in the youth age groups of 15-34 years and as much as $18.4 \%$ of the unemployed were concentrated in the 20-24 year age group in which many were new entrants to the work force.
1.34 Nearly 3 out of 5 unemployed persons were those who had been unemployed only for a duration no more than 1 month. But there is a had core of unemployed comprising $22.8 \%$ or nearly one fourth of all unemployed who had been unemployed for 3 or more years.
1.35 The survey disclosed that unemployment is largely an issue that afflicts educated persons. Only $9 \%$ of the unemployed were those with no education or with only a primary level education. Whereas one in twelve unemployed persons had a degree or postgraduate qualifications.
1.36 The occupational preferences of the unemployed persons disclosed through the survey confirm that there is a mismatch between the available opportunities and expectations of the unemployed. Only $4.1 \%$ of the unemployed had opted for skilled agricultural and animal husbandry occupations where $47 \%$ of the currently employed population worked. The higher educational attainments had raised their aspirations.
1.37 About 1 out of 10 persons had aspirations of securing employment as senior officials, managers, and professionals. Almost one out of fiver persons were looking for work as service, shop and market sales workers. $61.2 \%$ of males sought employment as craft and related trades workers and plant and machinery operators. Thus, the aspirations of the new entrants to the work force would not match with the employment opportunities in the agriculture that arise through retirements and deaths of workers currently in active employment.

## Usually Active Population

1.38 In order to obtain more complete information on the labour supply and demand situation in the country, the economic activity status of the population over a long reference period of 12 months based on the usually active population measurement concept was also adopted in the survey. The usually economically active population concept is especially useful where there are large seasonal variations in labour demand and where agriculture and informal sector are domineering in the economy.
1.39 An increase in the economically inactive population through a reduction in the number of employed and unemployed is observed as the distinguishing feature of the employment status distribution under usually active population concept.
1.40 Thus, the participation rate under usually active concept which amounts to $61.2 \%$ is lower when compared with $65.3 \%$ under the currently active or labour force concept by about $4 \%$.
1.41 The magnitude of the employed which was estimated as $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ under the currently active concept had declined marginally to 856,600 while the unemployed population had substantially fallen from 142,300 ( $14.2 \%$ ) to 84,900 ( $9.0 \%$ )(See Table 4).
1.42 The number of unemployed had declined from 119,100 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 61,900 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. This estimate of 61,900 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter amounts to only $57 \%$ of the unemployed population based on the currently active concept which amounted to 108,100.
1.43 The comparison of the current and usual activity statuses of population shows that the majority of persons had retained the same status under both concepts. For instance, of the unemployed population estimated under the currently active concept as 142,300 , the number unemployed under the usually active status is estimated as 73,200 and 10,700 were classified under employed and 58,400 were grouped as economically inactive based on the change in status over the 12 months reference period.

Table 4: Selected employment indicators based on the usually active population conceptQuarterly estimates

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| Usually Active Population 15+ | 941,500 | 946,300 | 914,600 | 959,800 | 948,900 |
| Employed | 856,600 | 827,200 | 836,000 | 877,600 | 887,000 |
| Unemployed | 84,900 | 119,100 | 78,600 | 82,200 | 61,900 |
| Inactive | 597,800 | 558,500 | 601,500 | 612,600 | 611,300 |
| Usually Active Participation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15+ | 61.2 | 62.9 | 60.3 | 61.0 | 60.8 |
| Male | 65.5 | 68.7 | 64.1 | 65.2 | 64.5 |
| Female | 57.0 | 57.4 | 56.7 | 57.1 | 57.2 |
| Usually Active Unemployment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate 15+ | 9.0 | 12.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| Male | 9.2 | 12.7 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 6.4 |
| Female | 8.8 | 12.4 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 6.6 |
| Usually Active Employed by Sector |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 396,800 | 365,100 | 381,900 | 416,800 | 426,900 |
| Production | 103,500 | 108,900 | 103,300 | 98,300 | 103,300 |
| Services | 356,300 | 353,200 | 350,800 | 362,500 | 356,800 |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Employee | 340,400 | 354,200 | 343,700 | 338,000 | 325,900 |
| Employer | 8,100 | 12,200 | 7,900 | 7,100 | 4,900 |
| Own account worker | 281,400 | 232,700 | 246,400 | 306,900 | 339,400 |
| Unpaid Family Worker | 226,700 | 228,100 | 238,000 | 225,600 | 216,800 |

1.44 The seasonal variations in employment and unemployment are compressed under the usually active concept when compared with the variations observed under the current active concept. Thus, the variation in the number of employed from the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter which amounts to 83,700 under the currently active concept is reduced to 59,800 under the usually active concept while the corresponding values for unemployment are 72,200 and 57,200.

## Current Economic Activities

$1.45800,500$ persons aged 15 years and over had engaged in activities which were designated as work or economic activities in the $\mathbf{7}$ day reference week before the survey. In the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter, 748,200 persons had engaged in these activities which number had increased to 761,700 in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter and finally to 856,200 persons in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter (See Table 5). Thus, the number engaged in these activities had increased by 108,000 between the winter and summer seasons by $14.4 \%$.
1.46 Wage jobs had not contributed to this increase, and in fact the number engaged in wage jobs had some what declined in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. The self employed persons excluding those engaged in agriculture had shown a modest increase of approximately 12,600 between the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarters although it's relative share had decreased marginally.

Table 5: Number and percentage of persons who had engaged in current economic activities -Quarterly estimates

|  | Q1-4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wage job | 297,900 | 309,700 | 299,500 | 302,300 | 279,800 |
| Agricultural activity | 385,900 | 326,100 | 355,900 | 420,900 | 445,600 |
| Self employed | 135,000 | 138,000 | 119,600 | 133,700 | 147,600 |
| All | $\mathbf{8 0 0 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 8 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 1 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 6 , 2 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage job | 37.2 | 41.4 | 39.3 | 36.0 | 32.7 |
| Agricultural activity | 48.2 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 50.2 | 52.0 |
| Self employed | 16.9 | 18.4 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 17.2 |
| All | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

1.47 The percentage of persons engaged in agriculture had increased by $8.4 \%$ from the first quarter to $52.0 \%$ in the last quarter. The numerical increase amounts to 119,500 .
1.48 The males and females aged 15 years and over had spent 45.7 hours per week on the average on these economic activities. There were only slight variations in the average number of hours worked by those who had engaged in economic activities which ranged from 44.2 hours per week in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 47.3 hours per week $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter.

Table 6: Total number of persons who had engaged in current economic activities during reference week

|  | Q1-4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 800,500 | 748,200 | 761,700 | 838,900 | 856,200 |
| Hours per week | 45.7 | 44.2 | 45.1 | 47.3 | 45.9 |

1.49 The time spent on work did not vary significantly across the entire age group 20-54 years which ranged from 44.8 hours to 47.8 hours per week.
1.50 Persons in the urban sector had worked about 2 hours more per week than their counterparts in the rural sector. The females had worked on the average 42.3 hours per week.

## Non-Economic Activities

1.51 An estimated 1.419 million persons or $92 \%$ of the population aged 15 years and over had engaged in non-economic activities such as cooking food, cleaning household, looking after children, caring for sick and infirm. Of them 667,800 were males and 751,400 were females. Thus, more women had been engaged in non-economic activities.
1.52 These persons had spent 25 hours per week on the average on housekeeping activities (See Table 7). While males had spent about 17.5 hours on the average per week, females had spent about 31.8 hours per week on these tasks.

Table 7: Total number of persons who had engaged in current non-economic activities during reference week

|  | Q1-4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | $1,419,200$ | $1,379,700$ | $1,399,500$ | $1,442,900$ | $1,451,300$ |
| Hours per week | 25.0 | 29.9 | 25.0 | 23.5 | 22.2 |

1.53 The number of persons engaged in non-economic activities had increased from 1,379,700 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to $1,451,300$ in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter by 71,600 persons. The number of persons engaged in non-economic activities had increased from the lowest number in winter to the highest number in summer, the number having increased in each quarter from the preceding quarter.
1.54 The average number of hours spent on housekeeping activities had declined from about 29.9 hours per week during winter to about 25 hours in spring and then to about 22.2 hours per week in summer and autumn.

## Informal Sector

1.55 Of the employed population that worked in private enterprises, partnerships and as self employed there were 125,100 who worked in non-agricultural activities in their primary occupations. Of this number 114,500 worked in enterprises that had no employees or with 1 to 4 employees.
1.56 Similarly, secondary occupations of those who worked in non-agricultural activities as self employed, or in private enterprises and partnerships that had no paid employees or 1-4 employees were extracted. There were 11,500 persons who had secondary occupations that satisfied these conditions.
1.57 Thus, the informal sector consisted of 126,000 work and job opportunities in primary and secondary occupations of which 113,700 were occupations of self employed persons, 11,200 were occupations in private enterprises and 1,100 were occupations in partnerships. Of those working in informal sector $70.9 \%$ or 89,300 were in the urban sector and $29.1 \%$ or 36,700 were in the rural sector. By sex, $55.2 \%$ or 69,600 were male and $44.8 \%$ or 56,400 were female.
$1.5856,600(44.9 \%)$ of the employment opportunities in informal sector activities were grouped under service, shop and market sales workers occupational group; 43,900 or $34.8 \%$ were under craft and related workers and plant and machinery operators major group. $80 \%$ of employment in the informal sector were grouped under these two occupational groups.

Table 8: Currently employed population in the informal sector by sector of employment, primary and secondary occupation

|  |  | Total |  | Informal |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| NonAgriculture | Total |  |  | 126,000 | 100.0 | 69,500 | 55.2 | 56,400 | 44.8 |
|  | Primary | 114,500 | 100.0 | 62,500 | 54.6 | 52,000 | 45.4 |
|  | Secondary | 11,500 | 100.0 | 7,100 | 61.5 | 4,400 | 38.5 |
| Private enterprise | Primary | 10,600 | 100.0 | 5,200 | 49.6 | 5,400 | 50.4 |
|  | Secondary | 600 | 100.0 | 400 | 69.0 | 200 | 31.0 |
| Partnership | Primary | 900 | 100.0 | 400 | 46.0 | 500 | 54.0 |
|  | Secondary | 200 | 100.0 | 100 | 49.9 | 100 | 50.1 |
| Self-employed | Primary | 103,000 | 100.0 | 56,900 | 55.2 | 46,100 | 44.8 |
|  | Secondary | 10,700 | 100.0 | 6,600 | 61.3 | 4,100 | 38.7 |

1.59 There were 13,500 occupations in which graduates were employed and 17,400 occupations in which persons with technical and diploma level qualifications were employed. And 11,500 had initial vocational education, 46,500 were graduates of complete secondary education schools, 29,200 had incomplete secondary education, 6400 had primary education and 1,500 had not attended schooling or lack education. About 3 out of 10 occupations in the informal sector that were occupied by persons with incomplete secondary or lower educational attainments.

## Child Activities

1.60 The survey estimated that there were 679,000 children aged $5-17$ years comprising 347,600 males and 331,400 females. Of them 507,200 were enrolled in educational institutions.
1.61 171,200 children aged 5-17 years comprising 94,400 male and 76,800 female children were not attending school. Of them 110,100 or $64.3 \%$ had not attended school as they were under-aged.
$1.62 \mathbf{2 4 , 0 0 0}$ children of schooling age had not attended school as they had to engage in work to supplement household incomes and also to help the family with household chores. A further 8,300 had not attended school because of the cost of school materials, clothing etc. Disability and sickness was the main reason for non-attendance for 8,400 children whereas 14,100 children responded not to have attended schooling because of far distance of school and no interest in studies.
1.63 There were 73,500 children aged 5-17 years who were economically active comprising 44,600 male and 28,900 female children. Of them $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ children were in the urban sector and 63,500 were in the rural sector.
$1.6473,500$ children who were economically active consisted of 68,600 children who were employed and 4,900 children who were unemployed. 41,900 male and 26,700 female children comprised the employed, hence more males or boys are engaged in work.
1.65 There were 15,300 currently employed children in the age group 5-9 years and another 21,400 child workers were in the age group10-14 years. Furthermore, 31,900 children of 15-17 ages were employed.
$1.66 \mathbf{6 1 , 7 0 0}$ or $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ of the children who worked had assisted their elders in household economic activities as unpaid family workers. A further $8 \%$ had worked as self employed. Thus, the children who had worked had mainly assisted their elders in family or household enterprises.
1.67 Only a small percentage of less than $\mathbf{2 \%}$ of employed children had worked as paid employees. An estimated 1,154 children of both sexes comprising 575 female and 579 male children had worked as paid employees. There were no children in the age group 05-09 years or 10-14 years who undertook work as paid employees.
1.68 Paid employment appears to be in short supply and in the competition for paid jobs, the likelihood of a significant number of children securing them, is currently not attractive.
$1.69 \mathbf{9 0 . 8 \%}$ or $\mathbf{6 2 , 2 0 0}$ of the employed children comprising $\mathbf{3 8 , 0 0 0}$ male and $\mathbf{2 4 , 2 0 0}$ female children were engaged in agricultural and animal husbandry occupations.

### 1.70 About 4.1\% of children worked as service and trade workers.

$1.7183 .6 \%$ or 567,400 of the children aged 5-17 years, comprising 285,500 male and 281,900 female children had engaged in housekeeping activities. Of the children who engaged in household chores 3 out of 4 had spent time on cooking/serving food for household and cleaning house and one out of 5 children had undertaken to shopping. $53.0 \%$ of children who helped in housekeeping activities had attended to the tasks of fetching water and $46.7 \%$ had engaged in fetching fuel and preparing firewood.

## Chapter 2

## SURVEY DESIGH AND ORGANIZATION

## Introduction

2.1 The Labour Force Survey of Mongolia (LFS) with the Child Activities Module (CAM) 2002-2003 is the first in a series of proposed employment surveys in Mongolia. This nationwide large scale sample survey that was conducted by the National Statistical Office (NSO) covered more than 12 thousand households in the country. The survey was planned as a quarterly survey in four rounds to capture the seasonal variations in labour supply and demand with the first quarter of the survey having been conducted in October-December 2002 and the fourth quarter having been completed during July-September 2003. The LFS is the first sample survey on employment to be undertaken in the country, utilizing internationally used concepts and methods of measuring the economically active population.
2.2 LFS would extend the work started through the Census of Population 2000 and sample surveys carried out during the past few years. The survey was primarily aimed at producing data and information needed for employment planning and policy making, monitoring and analyzing employment oriented projects and programmes and in formulating and targeting anti-poverty programmes for the reduction and eventual eradication of poverty in Mongolia. According to the Poverty Partnership Agreement signed by the Asian Development Bank and the Government of Mongolia, "growth in the economy has not reduced the level of poverty, which is mainly the result of lack of employment and income opportunities."
2.3 In the beginning of the 1990s Mongolia initiated its transformation from a centrally planned to a market oriented economy. The social and economic impact of the political and economic reforms on the people of Mongolia has been sudden and difficult for a society with fairly well-developed social services and infrastructure that was in place which made access to education and health care virtually universal. The impact of economic hardships during the transition has contributed to rising unemployment and declining school enrolment. This together with unstable social safety nets has burdened families and has increasingly led children to work. The government of Mongolia has recognized the problems of unemployment and underemployment, as also of child labour in the country. In this context the conduct of a comprehensive labour force survey including a child activities section was accepted as both timely and essential to fill in data gaps and meet the data needs of planners and administrators.
2.4 Mongolia lacked reliable data on employment and unemployment needed for policy planning, and monitoring employment and the LFS would serve to fill the data gaps. The fact that the estimates on employment and unemployment magnitudes and rates derived from different sources of data produced in the last few years were not comparable and consistent was another consideration. This LFS would he helpful in reducing the gaps in data and providing the detailed data which was collected and estimated by utilizing the standard methodology. The survey data would not only provide useful data for development planning but also for the preparation of the national accounts of Mongolia. The LFS would gather labour force, employment and unemployment data that include among others, age-sex composition, urban and rural sectors, education and training, occupation, industrial attachment and employment status in primary and secondary occupations, under-employment, unemployment, past employment record, and wages and salaries in paid employment. Data from CAM would provide important information on the magnitude, nature and distribution of child labour as well as its determinants and consequences. This should help in identifying the children who are at risk and who require urgent assistance
through measures for the protection of working children in the short run and the eventual elimination of the practice in the long run.
2.5 LFS was sponsored by the Asian Development Bank, Manila through the Project TA: 3684 MON: Improving Social Statistics. The International Programme on Elimination of Child Labour of the International Labour Organisation has supported the survey by financing the cost of canvassing the child activities module through the survey. The work on the survey was initiated in March 2002 and a Working Group on LFS was constituted to take responsibility for the organization and supervision of survey operations.

## Survey Objectives

2.6 The objectives of the LFS could be introduced as general objectives and specific objectives of the survey.

## General Objectives

2.7 The principal aim of the survey was to collect important information from urban and rural households on various facets of labour supply and utilization, and data on the employment profile and employment incomes needed for employment planning and policy making for reduction and eventual eradication of poverty in Mongolia. The collection of comprehensive and reliable data needed to produce a wide range of indicators of employment, underemployment and unemployment dimensions and levels of the people in different geographical areas and in different social and economic classes was also an important consideration. A long-term objective of the entire project is to build national capability in Mongolia for conducting employment and other household based socio-economic surveys and for utilizing survey data for planning for national development and social welfare.

## Specific Objectives:

2.8 Among specific objectives, the following deserve special mention:

1. Obtain data on economic and non-economic activities of persons aged 5 or more years in terms of current activity during the past 7 days, including their labour force status, industrial and occupational attachments, employment status, etc.
2. Compile data on the usual activity status of the population as employed, unemployed, and economically inactive using a long reference period of one year.
3. Obtain data and information on the skills background, prior job experience, duration of unemployment, job and wage expectations of unemployed.
4. Collect information on economic and non-economic activities of children aged 5 to 17 years, including their participation in household chores, age at first employment, employment outside the household, and illness related to work if any.
5. Obtain data on the occupational and industrial composition and employment status of employed population required for national accounts estimation and employment and educational planning.
6. Obtain data on wages and salaries in paid employment.

## Scope and Coverage of the Survey

2.9 The scope of the LFS was initially perceived on the basis of data gaps and data needs observed at the time the project was planned. A stand-alone labour force sample survey had not been undertaken previously in Mongolia. The available data on the composition and distribution of the workforce and on employment and unemployment have been assembled from several sources including census type administrative data collection operations, establishment based statistics, social and economic surveys and population censuses, where information on employment and unemployment were also canvassed. Thus, the available statistics were by-
products of other inquiries that were undertaken to collect information on other topics. Further, there were wide variations in the unemployment magnitudes and rates that were produced by these inquiries, mainly a result of changes in the concepts and definitions of employment and unemployment used in these surveys. Accordingly, the need for an investigation of employment and unemployment on which major data gaps existed had been a priority area for some time. Further, the diversification of economic activities and increased output from educational and technical training institutions, which had resulted in unemployment and underemployment, had also emerged as issues. Therefore it was considered that the conduct of a labour force survey was essential in the context of rising unemployment and increasing worklessness in the population to elicit information on these different categories to plan employment oriented strategies and programmes.
2.10 The scope of the survey therefore had to be sufficiently wide to meet the objectives mentioned above. The survey was designed to interview a representative national sample of about 12,800 households from Ulaanbaatar and urban and rural areas of 4 regions that was deemed sufficient to collect reliable data on employment and unemployment and child labour. At this level of disaggregation the sample would be sufficient to produce estimates of high levels of precision in respect of selected employment characteristics.
2.11 The proportion engaged in wage employment is not still large and amounts to approximately $40.0 \%$ of the work force. The majority is engaged in agriculture and live stock production pursuits and thus, with the use of a long reference period or usual status approach it was aimed to capture comprehensive information on the labour force status of the population and seasonal fluctuations of work availability and supply. For this reason, the LFS used both the current status approach based on the last week preceding the survey and the usual status approach based on the last twelve months preceding the survey were mobilized.
2.12 The survey would gather labour force, employment and unemployment data that include among others age, sex, education and training, occupation, industrial attachment and employment status in primary and secondary occupations, under-employment, past employment record, wages and salaries in paid employment, child labour and child activities.
2.13 The scope of the survey in terms of population coverage was restricted to private households. Accordingly, persons living in collective living quarters, such as hostels, hospitals, boarding houses, army barracks, prisons and religious institutions were excluded. Further, persons who did not have a fixed abode were also excluded. These communal households cannot be treated as the places of usual residence of these persons. The practical problems of constructing frames to include persons who did not have a fixed abode and the difficulties of identifying them, usually result in the exclusion of these categories from the scope of many household surveys.

## Survey period

2.14 The survey is a stand alone sample survey that was conducted in four quarters started in October 2002 and ended in September 2003. Accordingly, the first quarter extended from October to December 2002, the second and third quarters covered January-March 2003 and April-June, 2003. Whereas the last or fourth quarter of the survey was in July-September 2003. Since the ADB funded project: Improving Social Statistics was expected to expire on November 2003 in the framework of which the LFS was supported, the data collection started in October 2002 rather than in January 2003. Thus, it should be noted that the survey quarter is different to natural quarters.

## Survey Design

2.15 The sampling frame derived from the Census of Population 2000 was used in the survey design. The institutional facilities such as hostels, army barracks, boarding houses, etc. were excluded from the frame and a truncated frame comprising ordinary households was prepared. Considering the socio-economic stratification of the main items canvassed through the survey it was considered that Mongolia should be classified into urban, rural and regional stratifications. Accordingly, Mongolia was divided into urban and rural areas and Ulaanbaatar, Central, East, West and Khangai regions. A two stage stratified random sampling design was adopted with baghs (census enumeration areas) as primary sampling units (PSUs) and households as secondary sampling units (SSUs). The frame which had baghs grouped by district and province in effect provided an implicit stratification for the PSUs for the probability proportional to size systematic random sampling procedure adopted in the selection of the PSUs. Considering the socio-economic stratification the sample of baghs and households was allocated as shown in Table 1 below. The details of the sampling design are given in Appendix 3. One fourth of the sample allocated to each stratum was to be taken up for data collection through field visits to the households in each round of the survey. The number of enumeration areas and the number of households surveyed in the $1^{\text {st }}, 2 \mathrm{nd}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ Rounds of the survey are given in Tables $10-13$ and the number of enumeration areas and the number of households surveyed in all 4 rounds of the survey are given in Table 14.

Table 9: Allocation of Sample to Strata

| Sr. <br> No. | Region | Sector |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. of EA's | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { households } \end{gathered}$ | No. of EA"s | No. of households | No. of villages | No. of households |
| 1. | Ulaanbaatar | 320 | 3,200 |  |  | 320 | 3,200 |
| 2. | Central | 80 | 800 | 160 | 1,600 | 240 | 2,400 |
| 3. | East | 80 | 800 | 160 | 1,600 | 240 | 2,400 |
| 4. | West | 80 | 800 | 160 | 1,600 | 240 | 2,400 |
| 5. | Khangai | 80 | 800 | 160 | 1,600 | 240 | 2,400 |
|  | Total | 640 | 6,400 | 640 | 6,400 | 1,280 | 12,800 |

Note: 10 households were to be selected from every sample enumeration area in all strata.

Table 10: Distribution of Enumeration Areas and Households Surveyed in the
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter of the Survey: October-December 2002

| Sr. <br> No. | Region | Sector |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { EA's } \end{aligned}$ | No. of households | No. of EA"s | No. of households | No. of villages | No. of households |
| 1. | Ulaanbaatar | 81 | 809 |  |  | 81 | 809 |
| 2. | Central | 20 | 200 | 35 | 347 | 55 | 547 |
| 3. | East | 18 | 178 | 42 | 420 | 60 | 598 |
| 4. | West | 22 | 218 | 39 | 390 | 61 | 608 |
| 5. | Khangai | 22 | 220 | 39 | 390 | 61 | 610 |
|  | Total | 163 | 1,625 | 155 | 1,547 | 318 | 3,172 |

Note: 10 households were to be selected from every sample enumeration area in all strata in each Quarter, but due to non-response/ absence of sampled households the enumerated number was less than 10 households in a few enumeration areas.

Table 11: Distribution of Enumeration Areas and Households Surveyed in the 2nd Quarter of the Survey: January - March 2003

| Sr. <br> No. | Region | Sector |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { EA's } \end{aligned}$ | No. of households | No. of EA"s | No. of households | No. of villages | No. of households |
| 1. | Ulaanbaatar | 81 | 809 |  |  | 81 | 809 |
| 2. | Central | 16 | 158 | 39 | 390 | 55 | 548 |
| 3. | East | 21 | 210 | 40 | 400 | 61 | 610 |
| 4. | West | 16 | 160 | 46 | 458 | 62 | 618 |
| 5. | Khangai | 20 | 200 | 42 | 420 | 62 | 620 |
|  | Total | 154 | 1,537 | 167 | 1,668 | 321 | 3,205 |

Table 12: Distribution of Enumeration Areas and Households Surveyed in the 3rd Quarter of the Survey: April - June 2003

| Sr.No. | Region | Sector |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { EA's } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. of households | No. of EA"s | No. of households | No. of villages | No. of households |
| 1. | Ulaanbaatar | 80 | 800 |  |  | 80 | 800 |
| 2. | Central | 19 | 190 | 44 | 440 | 63 | 630 |
| 3. | East | 25 | 250 | 36 | 360 | 61 | 610 |
| 4. | West | 18 | 180 | 40 | 400 | 58 | 580 |
| 5. | Khangai | 17 | 170 | 42 | 420 | 59 | 590 |
|  | Total | 159 | 1,590 | 162 | 1,620 | 321 | 3,210 |

Table 13: Distribution of Enumeration Areas and Households Surveyed in the 4th Quarter of the Survey: July - September 2003

| Sr. <br> No. | Region | Sector |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. of EA's | No. of households | No. of EA"s | No. of households | No. of villages | No. of households |
| 1. | Ulaanbaatar | 78 | 780 |  |  | 78 | 780 |
| 2. | Central | 21 | 210 | 46 | 460 | 67 | 670 |
| 3. | East | 16 | 160 | 42 | 420 | 58 | 580 |
| 4. | West | 24 | 240 | 35 | 350 | 59 | 590 |
| 5. | Khangai | 19 | 190 | 39 | 390 | 58 | 580 |
|  | Total | 158 | 1,580 | 162 | 1,620 | 320 | 3,200 |

Table 14: Distribution of Enumeration Areas and Households Surveyed in the all 4 Rounds of the Survey: October 2002 - September 2003

|  |  | Sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. <br> No. | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No. of <br> EA's | No. of <br> households | No. of EA"s | No. of <br> households | No. of <br> villages | No. of <br> households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Ulaanbaatar | 320 | 3198 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 3198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Central | 76 | 758 | 164 | 1637 | 240 | 2395 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | East | 80 | 798 | 160 | 1600 | 240 | 2398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | West | 80 | 798 | 160 | 1598 | 240 | 2396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Khangai | 78 | 780 | 162 | 1620 | 240 | 2400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 634 | 6,332 | 646 | 6,455 | 1,280 | 12,787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: 10 households were to be selected from every sample enumeration area in all strata in each Quarter, but due to non-response/absence of sampled households the enumerated number was less than 10 households in a few enumeration areas.

## Survey Questionnaire

2.16 The questionnaire was designed to produce data and information to achieve the objectives, scope and coverage described earlier. In designing a questionnaire, the currently active and usually active concepts were used and child labour and child activities module was integrated as the last section of the questionnaire. The questionnaire was completed by trained interviewers who visited all sampled households to take face to face interviews and collect comprehensive information on the economically active and economically inactive population. A reference period of 7 days preceding the survey was used in the currently active population section of the questionnaire to derive the activity status of the population of working age that was extended to cover children. Considerable attention was paid towards examination and identification of economic activities for an accurate assessment of the economically active population through an inclusion of activities undertaken in a predominantly agricultural subsistence economy.
2.17 Since the animal husbandry plays a dominant role in the economy of Mongolia, a long reference period or the usual status approach of measuring employment with a reference period of 12 months was used in identifying economically active status and recording the employment, unemployment and economically inactive status in the reference period of 12 months preceding the survey.
2.18 ILO/ IPEC had been interested in incorporating a child activities module in the labour force survey and offered to co-finance the cost of the survey. The child activity section was designed to measure the participation of children in economic and non-economic activities within and outside the household and illness and injuries related to work. Accordingly, in this section questions to canvass information on the participation of children aged 5-17 years in household chores, age at first employment outside the household, illnesses and injuries related to work was drafted and included in the questionnaire. Further the age cut off on questions on education and training and economic activity was also lowered to 5 years to enable the collection of comprehensive information on child activities.
2.19 Several drafts of the questionnaires were prepared and internally discussed and revised versions were prepared. The NSO finalized the questionnaire through extensive consultations with Steering Committee, various Ministries of the Government of Mongolia, representatives of trade unions and employers, and international agencies based in Ulaanbaatar. The draft questionnaire was pre-tested twice through field tests and the final version was prepared which is attached(See Annex 4). The following topics and items of information were canvassed through the survey.

## A. Demographic Characteristics

a. Relationship to household head
b. Sex
c. Date of birth and age
d. School attendance, ever attended, current attendance
e. Highest grade/level completed
f. Literacy
g. Marital status
B. Labour Force Characteristics based on short and long reference periods Current activities performed and time spent on them
a. Participation in identified economic activities during the reference week.
b. Total time in hours spent on identified economic activities during the reference week
c. Participation in identified non-economic activities during the reference week.
d. Total time spent on activities described in c above.
e. Activity status during the last 7 days.
f. Primary and secondary occupations under current status.
g. Duration of employment in primary and secondary occupations
h. Average number of hours spent on primary and secondary occupations under current status
i. Industrial and occupational attachments in primary and secondary occupations
j. Employment status in primary and secondary occupations
k. Sector of employment of the enterprise

1. Average number of hours worked in the primary and secondary occupations
m . Number of paid employees in the enterprise in the primary and secondary occupations
n. Earnings from primary and secondary occupations in cash and in kind
o. Availability for more work
p. Reasons for not working more hours
q. Duration of underemployment
r. Steps taken to find more work

## C. Unemployment

s. Availability for work
t. Reasons for economically inactive status
u. How long had respondent sought work
v. Expected kind of work/occupation
w. Expected daily wage rate/monthly remuneration
x. Whether registered at Employment Registration Office
y. Period of registration
z. Steps taken to find work
aa. Duration of unemployment

## D. Usually Active Status

bb. Activity status during the last 12 months
cc. Primary and secondary occupations during the past 12 months
dd. Industrial and occupational attachments in primary and secondary occupations during the past 12 months
ee. Duration of unemployment
ff. Steps taken to find work
gg. Employment status in primary and secondary occupations
hh. Average monthly wages and earnings during the past 12 months from primary and secondary occupations

## E. Past Employment Record

a. Occupation, industry and sector in which the respondent last worked
b. Duration of employment in last occupation
c. Employment status in last occupation
d. Last date worked
e. Sector to which the industry where the respondent worked belonged
f. Main reason for leaving the last job/occupation
g. Main source of income support during the period of unemployment

## F. Child Activities

a. Main types of chores performed in the household.
b. Current school attendance.
c. Reasons for not attending school full time.
d. Participation in any household economic activity.
e. Age at which the child first began to work.
f. Reasons for participation in economic activity.
g. Whether the child had engaged in any work other than in household economic activity and reasons for engaging in such work.
h. Whether the child engage in work under supervision by others.
i. Whether the child is satisfied with the working conditions.
j. Whether the child's occupation is stressful physically or mentally.
k Frequency with which the child had to work during evenings and night.
1 Whether the child had fallen sick or was injured because of work.
m What sickness or injury from work has the child suffered.
n. Main items on which the child's earnings were spent.
o. The number of hours of free time per day available for recreation.

## Field Testing of Questionnaires

2.20 Before finalizing the survey instruments, two pre-tests of the LFS, questionnaire and instructions for field operations were conducted in April and July 2002 by the WG of the NSO. The results of the pre-tests were discussed with the Working Group and some modifications in the wording and skip instructions were incorporated. The pre-tests were useful in preparing the draft questionnaire that was submitted to the users for their observations. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ field test was conducted after obtaining the observations of the users and incorporating their suggestions. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ test was useful in identifying a number of deficiencies in the questionnaire. The questionnaire was modified on the basis of the findings, modifications related to the wording of questions, changes in skip instructions, changes in response categories and codes.

## Tabulation Plan

2.21 A large number of cross tabulations are possible based on the questions that are usually included in a survey questionnaire. The draft tabulation plan of the LFS was prepared at the stage the questionnaire was finalized. A few tables that were needed to check the completeness and reliability of the survey data were also included. In addition, the Working Group also identified additional tables and these two sets constituted the tabulation plan. The users had helped to identify other tabulations of special interest at the stage when the draft survey report of the $1^{\text {st }}$ half of the survey was presented.

## Confidentiality of Information

2.22 The survey was conducted under the provisions of the Law on Statistics of Mongolia. Accordingly, all information collected in the survey from sample households was treated as strictly confidential and would be used only for statistical purposes in social and economic planning. Further, the NSO assures that information supplied by any person would not be used against him for taxation, investigation or any other legal purpose. The confidentiality provisions of this law would apply equally to the information supplied to the staff attached to aimag and soum who were engaged by the NSO as survey enumerators and supervisors in data collection and processing. These guarantees and safeguards on confidentiality of information had enabled
the NSO to canvass data protecting the rights of the respondents and helped to ensure both improved response and the reliability of the information provided by the households.

## Survey Organization

2.23 A Working Group was appointed at the NSO to be responsible for its conduct, and the preparation of the survey design, drafting and testing of questionnaires, preparation of the manual of instructions for field operations, preparation of the sampling design, field testing of questionnaires and training of field staff were undertaken by the Working Group with the assistance of Project Consultants. Day-to-day management, planning, monitoring and all other important matters regarding to smooth implementation of the survey were taken by the ADB Project Coordinator under the overall direction of the Chairman and Vice Chairman. In order to successfully conduct field work it was essential to use staff working at the provincial level as enumerators and supervisors. In addition their services were also needed to check and edit questionnaires and for key entry and verification of data.

## Training of Field Staff

2.24 Centralized training of field staff was undertaken for the first time in the LFS in any large-scale survey undertaken by the NSO. A comprehensive manual was prepared which embodied detailed instructions on how to admit the questionnaire and conduct the interviews and the concepts and definitions used in the survey were described in the manual. In cooperation with International Consultants, the NSO WG conducted a centralized training for enumerators and supervisors during the $6^{\text {th }}$ October to $13^{\text {th }}$ October, 2002 in Ulaanbaatar. During the training, under the guidance of WG, the enumerators and supervisors field tested the questionnaire in two districts of Ulaanbaatar city: Khan-Uul and Chingeltei. Immediately after the training on data collection, the training on data entry and coding based on IMPS was conducted for data entry persons.

## Data Collection

2.25 Trained enumerators and supervisors collected data through face to face interviews from sampled households. The collection of data for the $1^{\text {st }}$ Round of the survey was conducted between October -December 2002. The data collection was conducted on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Round between January-March 2003 and the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Round during April -June 2003. The data collection on the $4^{\text {th }}$ and final Round was undertaken during July- September 2003. Considering the workload involved and the time taken to retrieve the completed questionnaires from the field, each interviewer was entrusted with the task of canvassing data from 50 to 60 households in 5 or 6 sampled baghs (EA). As the LFS was designed as a quarterly survey much of the field work on the survey was concentrated in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ month of the quarter. Considering the widespread migration of herdsman in the rural areas, it was decided to visit households 2-3 times. These safeguards made it possible to obtain completed responses from 12,787 sampled households in the all 4 Rounds of the survey. To ensure data quality the LFS and NSO management travelled to 13 aimags and Ulaanbaatar city to monitory the LFS data collection and provide instructions on further proper and due implementation of the survey. The mobilization of NSO staff attached to Provincial Statistical Bureaus had a positive impact as the statistical skills were upgraded through training and exposure to survey methodology including statistical concepts and definitions, classification and coding systems and cartographic work.

## Data Processing

2.26 The data processing of LFS was organized at two levels. Data editing and validation, computer processing and preparation of tabulations being undertaken centrally at the NSO, while manual editing and coding, key entry and verification were undertaken at the provincial level. Checking the completeness of questionnaires, coding of questionnaires, range edit checks, simple
consistency edits and electronic transfer of the keyed in data to the NSO were undertaken at the provincial level. The NSO computer staff were familiar with the IMPS software developed by the US Bureau of the Census and this software had been used both in population census and survey processing. Thus, LFS data entry programmes were prepared using IMPS and for range and consistency checks the CONCOR module was used. After data processing the WG together with the Consultant made statistical analysis on selected indicators, compared some results with the ones of other surveys and census, data consolidation, error corrections etc. were undertaken. The sampling errors of selected estimates were computed using CENVAR module in the IMPS package.

## Chapter 3 Survey Results

## Demographic and Household Characteristics

## Introduction

3.1 The results given in the paragraphs that follow are based on all four rounds of the LFS that sampled 12,800 households in 1,280 baghs randomly selected from the whole country where data collection was conducted during the period October 2002 to September 2003 in 4 quarterly rounds. The sampling design provided for sampling 10 households from each sampled enumeration area, and in the four rounds of the survey, 12,787 households had responded to the survey thus achieving a $99.9 \%$ response rate.
3.2 LFS is the first sample survey of labour force characteristics undertaken in Mongolia using internationally recommended concepts and definitions. The NSO estimated the indicators and results based on each round, integrated first two rounds and integrated all four rounds. This means that the main report of LFS has been produced as a result of estimating the data and indicators by each round and integrating all four rounds. It contains only the main results of the survey.
3.3 The tables in Annex 1 inserted at the end of the report contain some of the more detailed data of the survey.

## Demographic Characteristics

3.4 The LFS elicited data on the basic demographic characteristics of the population including age, sex, household composition, school attendance, educational attainment and marital status. As the survey adopted a two stage stratified random sampling design, the estimates for these demographic characteristics are available separately for the urban and rural sectors, for the capital city of Ulaanbaatar and for the four regions which the country is divided. A sample from all 4 quarters for the survey was considered as adequate to prepare estimates for these different sectors. Thus detailed tables classifying the characteristics by sector and region were prepared based on data from a complete survey. The need to study seasonal variations in important characteristics was a consideration in preparing some detailed tables based on data from quarterly rounds.

## Population distribution

3.5 The survey had estimated the total population of Mongolia as 2.403 million including 174,000 persons who had been away from their households for periods exceeding 6 months. This population had resided in 568,800 households. Accordingly the average household size is 4.2 per household. This estimate of the Mongolian population had excluded those that had resided in institutional living quarters such as boarding houses, army barracks, prisons, hospitals, etc. The spatial distribution of population and households by sector and region is presented in Table 15. An estimated 1.256 million or $52.3 \%$ of the population had resided in urban areas. The average household size was higher in the rural sector at 4.4 members per household. Nearly $30 \%$ of the total households were in Ulaanbaatar but the average household size was lower at 3.9 members per household than the national average. The population was unevenly divided among the regions with only $8.4 \%$ of the population residing in the Eastern Region.

Table 15: Total Household Population

| Sector/ Region | Number of <br> Households |  | Enumerated <br> Population | Temporarily <br> Living Away | Total Household <br> Population | Average <br> Household <br> Size |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | (Number) | $(\%)$ | (Number) | (Number) | (Number) | $\%$ |  |
| Urban | 311,000 | 54.7 | $1,198,300$ | 57,800 | $1,256,100$ | 52.3 | 4.0 |
| Rural | 257,800 | 45.3 | $1,030,500$ | 116,200 | $1,146,700$ | 47.7 | 4.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 114,700 | 20.2 | 445,300 | 36,300 | 481,600 | 20.0 | 4.2 |
| East | 48,500 | 8.5 | 173,000 | 30,000 | 203,000 | 8.4 | 4.2 |
| West | 97,900 | 17.2 | 425,500 | 40,200 | 465,700 | 19.4 | 4.8 |
| Khangai | 138,900 | 24.4 | 541,800 | 55,800 | 597,600 | 24.9 | 4.3 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 168,800 | 29.7 | 643,200 | 11,700 | 654,900 | 27.3 | 3.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mongolia | $\mathbf{5 6 8 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 8 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 2 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |

3.6 The Census of Population 2000 had enumerated the total population of Mongolia as 2.373 million including those residing in institutional living quarters that was enumerated as 35,855 . Thus, according to the Census 2000, the population enumerated in private households had amounted to 2.338 million who had resided in 541,000 private households. The average size of households in the Census 2000 was 4.3 persons per household. The population statistics compiled from administrative sources through aimags had estimated the total population of Mongolia in 2003 at 2.488 million.
3.7 The LFS had excluded the institutional living quarters. The survey also excluded household members who resided away from the household for a period exceeding 6 months. The distribution of the population that had been temporarily residing away from households broken down by sector and region is shown in Table 16.
3.8 Approximately 1 out of 5 households had one or more of their members temporarily residing away from their households.

Table 16: Households that had household members living away from the household for more than 6 months by sector and region

| Section/ <br> Region | Number <br> of HH | Number <br> of HH <br> members | Population <br> who were <br> living away <br> from HH | Attended <br> school/ <br> training | Employed | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Urban | 36,500 | 131,400 | 57,800 | 32,200 | 19,100 | 6,500 |
| Rural | 64,600 | 245,500 | 116,200 | 88,800 | 15,500 | 11,900 |
| Central | 20,800 | 75,000 | 36,300 | 25,000 | 8,000 | 3,300 |
| East | 15,400 | 52,000 | 30,000 | 21,800 | 5,400 | 2,800 |
| West | 24,700 | 106,600 | 40,200 | 28,700 | 5,900 | 5,600 |
| Khangai | 31,600 | 113,200 | 55,800 | 42,200 | 8,100 | 5,500 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 8,600 | 30,100 | 11,700 | 3,300 | 7,200 | 1,200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mongolia | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 4 0 0}$ |

The inclusion or exclusion of persons who were treated by households as members but who had not resided in the households for durations that exceeded 6 months which amounts to about $7 \%$ of the total population have a bearing on the geographical distribution of the population and
average household size. The number of persons who were studying in educational and training institutions residing outside their households was estimated at 121,000. And a further 34,600 had been at work residing outside their own households. The survey did not collect information in respect of persons who had resided away from their households for periods exceeding 6 months. Thus, the tables presented in this report are based on the enumerated population that had excluded the persons living in institutional living quarters as well as the population that had resided temporarily away from the households for periods exceeding 6 months. This lowering of the magnitude of the estimated population should be noted in analyzing the survey data. The breakdown of the enumerated population by sector and region is given in Table 17.

Table 17: Distribution of population enumerated in households by sector and region

| Sector/Region | Number of Households |  | Average Household Size | Both Sexes |  | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% |  | Number | \% | \% | \% |
| Urban | 311,000 | 54.7 | 3.9 | 1,198,300 | 100,0 | 48.9 | 51.1 |
| Rural | 257,800 | 45.3 | 4.0 | 1,030,500 | 100,0 | 50.5 | 49.5 |
| Central | 114,700 | 20.2 | 3.9 | 445,300 | 100,0 | 49.6 | 50.4 |
| East | 48,500 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 173,000 | 100,0 | 50.8 | 49.2 |
| West | 97,900 | 17.2 | 4.3 | 425,500 | 100,0 | 50.2 | 49.8 |
| Khangai | 138,900 | 24.4 | 3.9 | 541,800 | 100,0 | 49.9 | 50.1 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 168,800 | 29.7 | 3.8 | 643,200 | 100,0 | 48.7 | 51.3 |
| Mongolia | 568,800 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 2,228,800 | 100,0 | 49.6 | 50.4 |

## Age-Sex Distribution

3.9 The age-sex distribution of the population is shown in Table 18. The percentage of infants and children in the age group $0-14$ years was estimated at $30.9 \%$ comprising $32.1 \%$ male and $29.8 \%$ female children. The age distribution of the population by the last three population censuses and LFS is shown in Graph 1. As seen, the major change over the last thirty years has been the stable growth of proportion of working age population of 15-64 years while the decline in the proportion of infants and children of 0-14 years.

Age distribution of the population, by 1979, 1989 and 2000 PHC and LFS Graph 1


The survey had reported the working age population between 15-64 years as $65.3 \%$ of the total population. As per Table 18, the sex ratio is less than 100 beyond the age group of 20-

24(excluding the age group of 60-64). The similar trend was observed in 2000 Population and Housing Census.

Table 18: Distribution of the population by age and sex

| Age Group | Both Sexes |  | Male |  | Female |  | Sex Ratio |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number |  |
| $00-04$ | 182,700 | 8.2 | 94,100 | 8.5 | 88,600 | 7.9 | 106.2 |  |
| $05-09$ | 212,100 | 9.5 | 109,200 | 9.9 | 102,900 | 9.2 | 106.1 |  |
| $10-14$ | 294,800 | 13.2 | 152,000 | 13.7 | 142,800 | 12.7 | 106.4 |  |
| $15-19$ | 265,300 | 11.9 | 134,700 | 12.2 | 130,600 | 11.6 | 103.1 |  |
| $20-24$ | 191,500 | 8.6 | 96,000 | 8.7 | 95,500 | 8.5 | 100.5 |  |
| $25-29$ | 178,500 | 8.0 | 87,000 | 7.9 | 91,500 | 8.1 | 95.1 |  |
| $30-34$ | 175,200 | 7.9 | 84,100 | 7.6 | 91,100 | 8.1 | 92.3 |  |
| $35-39$ | 171,000 | 7.8 | 79,700 | 7.2 | 91,300 | 8.2 | 87.3 |  |
| $40-44$ | 161,600 | 7.2 | 76,800 | 6.9 | 84,800 | 7.6 | 90.6 |  |
| $45-49$ | 116,000 | 5.2 | 57,000 | 5.2 | 59,000 | 5.2 | 96.6 |  |
| $50-54$ | 76,600 | 3.4 | 35,900 | 3.2 | 40,700 | 3.7 | 88.2 |  |
| $55-59$ | 58,400 | 2.6 | 27,800 | 2.5 | 30,600 | 2.7 | 90.8 |  |
| $60-64$ | 59,700 | 2.7 | 31,700 | 2.9 | 28,000 | 2.5 | 113.2 |  |
| $65-69$ | 34,200 | 1.5 | 16,400 | 1.5 | 17,800 | 1.6 | 92.1 |  |
| $70+$ | 51,200 | 2.3 | 23,700 | 2.1 | 27,500 | 2.4 | 86.2 |  |
| All age groups | $2,228,800$ | 100.0 | $1,106,100$ | 100.0 | $1,122,700$ | 100.0 | 98.5 |  |

## Dependency Ratio

3.10 Dependency ratio is an index that summarizes the age distribution. The dependency ratio, which is defined as the total of the population aged below 15 years and the population aged 65 years and over taken together as a percentage of population aged $15-64$ years, is $53.3 \%$ for Mongolia. The dependency ratio for urban and rural sectors and for the regions presented in Table 19 shows that this ratio was lowest in Ulaanbaatar at around $44 \%$ and highest in the Western Region where it reaches $66.0 \%$. This ratio shows that in the Western Region, for every 66 persons who are too young or too old to work, there are 100 persons in working age groups to support them. As stated earlier, the exclusion of the household population who had been away from home for periods exceeding 6 months had excluded 121,000 young persons who were engaged in education and training which lowered this ratio.

Table 19: Age dependency ratio by sector and region

| Dependency Ratio | Mongolia | Urban | Rural | Central | East | West | Khangai | UB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Youth Dependency <br> (for 0-14 years) | 47.4 | 42.5 | 53.6 | 48.0 | 45.8 | 60.0 | 50.9 | 37.6 |
| Old Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependency (for <br> 65+yrs) <br> Total Dependency | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 6.2 |

## Marital Status

3.11 The question on marital status elicited information to classify persons aged 15 years and over to 6 marital status categories of married, never married, living together, separated, divorced and widowed. Of the population 15 years and over in Mongolia, $56.2 \%$ were married $33.3 \%$ were
never-married and $6.7 \%$ were widowed. If marital status is correctly reported, then the number of married males should be nearly equal to the number of married females allowing for some shortterm separations. This check revealed that the numbers of married males and females were nearly equal in urban, rural and both sectors confirming that the data on this question has been accurately captured through the survey. The Census of Population 2000 had reported that the percentage of married males and females were $58.5 \%$ and $57.1 \%$ respectively which are close to the values reported through the survey given in Table 20.

Table 20: Percentage distribution of the population by marital status, sector and sex

| Marital Status | Mongolia |  |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Both | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |
| Never Married | 33.3 | 36.3 | 30.4 | 36.7 | 31.3 | 35.9 | 29.3 |  |  |
| Married | 56.2 | 57.4 | 55.2 | 55.5 | 51.8 | 59.6 | 59.6 |  |  |
| Living together | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 |  |  |
| Separated | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Divorced | 2.2 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 1.5 |  |  |
| Widowed | 6.7 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 4.0 | 10.2 | 3.2 | 8.7 |  |  |
| All statuses (\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| $\quad$ (Number) | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 9 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 0 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 8 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 , 9 0 0}$ |  |  |
| Number Married | $\mathbf{8 6 5 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 0 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 8 0 0}$ |  |  |

## Household Composition

3.12 The distribution of households by household size, sector and region is shown in Table 21. The distribution of household size reported through the survey had been lowered by the exclusion of household members who had temporarily absented themselves from the households for different reasons. There were $6.5 \%$ one-person households in Mongolia. The percentage of households with 8 or more members is estimated as $2.8 \%$ for the country as a whole while this percentage rises to $4 \%$ in the Western Region which had reported the highest average household size of 4.3. The survey shows that large households with 6 or more members had amounted to less than 1 out of 6 households. (Inclusion of household members who were absent for periods exceeding 6 months increases the regional variation in household size from 3.9 in Ulaanbaatar to 4.8 in the Western Region (see Table 15). Regional variation in household size is not very marked ranging from 3.9 n Ulaanbaatar to 4.8 in the Western Region.)

Table 21: Percentage distribution of households by household size

| Number of Household Members | Mongolia | Urban | Rural | Central | East | West | Khangai | UB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 1 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 6.8 | 7.2 |
| 2 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 18.2 | 10.6 | 12.6 | 14.6 |
| 3 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 20.2 | 21.5 | 24.3 | 17.8 | 21.2 | 22.9 |
| 4 | 25.4 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 22.8 | 27.6 | 26.0 |
| 5 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 20.7 | 17.6 | 15.4 |
| 6 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 7.5 |
| 7 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 8+ | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| All sizes (\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 568,800 | 311,000 | 257,800 | 114,700 | 48,500 | 97,900 | 138,900 | 168,800 |
| Average household size excluding members temporarily absent | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.8 |

## Female Headed Households

3.13 The survey has estimated the proportion of female-headed households as $16.4 \%$ of all households and this percentage declines to $11.9 \%$ in the rural sector. Table 22 presents the sectoral distribution of female-headed households classified by household size.

Table 22: Distribution of female headed households by household size

| Number of Household Members | Female- headed Households |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both Sectors | Urban | Rural |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 1 | 44.1 | 44.8 | 43.2 |
| 2 | 30.1 | 36.0 | 22.8 |
| 3 | 18.7 | 23.6 | 12.1 |
| 4 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 6.2 |
| 5 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 6.6 |
| 6 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 5.8 |
| 7 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 6.8 |
| $8+$ | 7.9 | 14.5 | 2.0 |
| All sizes of households (\%) | 16.4 | 20.2 | 11.9 |

Female-headed households had fewer household members. The percentage of female-headed households decreases with increase in household size from $44 \%$ of all households with a single member household to less than 1 out of 5 households with 3 household members. Of the households that had 3 members, about 1 in 4 four households in the urban sector and 1 in 8 households in the rural sector were headed by females. It is also observed that only $8 \%$ to $10 \%$ of households that had 4 or more members were female headed.

## Education and Training

3.14 The survey compiled information on the educational attainment, current school attendance as well as on literacy of the population. The working age population aged 15 years and over classified by highest educational attainment is given in Table 23. A noteworthy feature of the educational profile of the population is the broad similarity in the educational attainments of males and females in both the urban and rural sectors. In fact the educational attainments of females are marginally higher than those of males in both sectors. Table 23 shows that $4.6 \%$ of males and $5.0 \%$ of females have had no schooling and a further $17.8 \%$ of males and $16.2 \%$ of females had only a primary education, thus one out of five persons of the working age population have had no schooling or only a primary education. In the rural sector these proportions are higher with nearly $8 \%$ having had no schooling and a further $25.0 \% 29 \%$ having had only a primary education. On the other hand the table also shows that 1 out of 8 persons were university graduates and as much as $20.0 \%$ of the urban population has had a degree or a post graduate education while this is less than $3 \%$ for the rural population. The sectoral breakdown of the population by educational attainment reflects the lower educational levels of the rural population.

Table 23: Percentage distribution of educational attainments of the population aged 15 years and over

| Educational Attainment | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| None | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 8.1 |
| Primary | 16.9 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 28.6 | 25.3 |
| Incomplete Secondary | 27.7 | 30.4 | 25.1 | 23.4 | 18.0 | 38.8 | 34.3 |
| Completed Secondary | 24.3 | 22.9 | 25.7 | 31.1 | 31.7 | 13.1 | 17.8 |
| Initial Tech/Voc | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 |
| Tech/Voc/Dip | 9.3 | 7.2 | 11.2 | 9.5 | 13.9 | 4.5 | 7.7 |
| University Graduate | 11.9 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 18.8 | 19.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| All Attainments \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1,539,200 | 750,800 | 788,400 | 408,600 | 446,500 | 342,200 | 341,900 |

## Current School Attendance

3.15 The survey had estimated that $79.1 \%$ of the population aged $7-19$ years comprising $76.7 \%$ males and $81.6 \%$ females were attending the formal school system. The delay in enrollment of children after reaching the minimum age for entry has depressed these enrollment rates presented in Table 24. A noteworthy feature that is observed in school attendance is the higher enrollment rates of girls over those of boys in both the urban and rural sectors. While the enrolment rates of boys and girls are similar in the first few years of schooling in the urban areas they begin to diverge when they reach 10 years. In the rural sector enrollment rates of girls are higher than that of males from the age of admission through secondary education. In fact the enrollment rates of teenage girls are significantly higher than those of teenage boys in the rural sector which is not usually the case in many developing countries.

Table 24: Current school enrolment rates of children aged 07-19 years by sector and sex

| Age in completed years | Children Currently Attending School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 7 | 39.4 | 38.2 | 40.7 | 51.3 | 48.2 | 54.5 | 29.5 | 30.1 | 28.9 |
| 8 | 81.0 | 80.2 | 81.8 | 88.5 | 89.3 | 87.7 | 73.8 | 71.4 | 76.2 |
| 9 | 94.8 | 94.2 | 95.5 | 97.9 | 99.4 | 96.3 | 91.7 | 89.0 | 94.6 |
| 10 | 96.8 | 95.7 | 97.7 | 98.7 | 98.5 | 98.8 | 94.6 | 92.6 | 96.6 |
| 11 | 95.8 | 95.2 | 96.3 | 97.9 | 97.5 | 98.5 | 92.8 | 92.2 | 93.4 |
| 12 | 94.9 | 93.0 | 97.2 | 98.7 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 90.0 | 85.9 | 94.6 |
| 13 | 92.1 | 90.8 | 93.5 | 97.0 | 97.1 | 97.0 | 85.2 | 82.2 | 88.7 |
| 14 | 90.3 | 87.9 | 92.7 | 96.6 | 96.2 | 97.0 | 81.4 | 76.9 | 86.4 |
| 15 | 86.9 | 83.8 | 89.8 | 95.7 | 94.9 | 96.5 | 76.1 | 70.4 | 81.6 |
| 16 | 78.3 | 74.4 | 82.4 | 91.9 | 90.5 | 93.4 | 59.7 | 53.0 | 66.9 |
| 17 | 70.5 | 65.4 | 75.8 | 87.2 | 83.2 | 91.2 | 50.5 | 43.8 | 57.3 |
| 18 | 47.8 | 43.5 | 52.2 | 67.1 | 62.7 | 71.4 | 25.2 | 22.1 | 28.5 |
| 19 | 41.3 | 37.1 | 46.2 | 61.2 | 58.3 | 64.3 | 15.6 | 10.6 | 21.5 |
| Enrolment rate 07-19 years | 79.1 | 76.7 | 81.6 | 88.6 | 87.5 | 89.7 | 67.6 | 63.8 | 71.7 |
| School enrolments No. | 545,500 | 270,400 | 275,100 | 333,900 | 167,900 | 166,000 | 211,600 | 102,500 | 109,100 |
| Total population | 689,800 | 352,600 | 337,200 | 377,100 | 192,000 | 185,100 | 312,700 | 160,600 | 152,100 |

The male children dropping out of school to help in livestock farming activities in the rural areas and pressure to find work in a deteriorating unemployment situation among educated youth are said to be the causative factors that contributed to the enrollment patterns reflected in the data. In the urban areas, over $90 \%$ of boys and girls of 16 years continue schooling, but this rate is relatively lower in the rural sector confirming high dropping out of school in the late teens of both male and female children.

## Literacy

3.16 For the purpose of the survey literacy was defined as the ability to read and write a simple message. A person is considered literate if he or she can both read and write a simple message in any language or dialect. A person who is capable of reading only his own name or numbers or can read, but not write and vice versa is not considered literate. The adult literacy rate is defined as the percentage of persons 15 years old and over who could read and write a simple message in any language from the total population 15 years old and over. Both males and females had the same literacy rate of $97 \%$. A marginally higher literacy rate of $97.8 \%$ was reported in the Census of Population 2000. This slightly lower survey estimate reported in the survey could have resulted from the exclusion of literate persons who were temporarily residing away from home for periods exceeding 6 months for educational and employment purposes.
3.17 Table 25 shows that the literacy rates in the urban areas are about 3.5 percentage points higher than the literacy rate in the rural areas where it dips to $95 \%$ which rate itself is high. Table 26 shows that literacy rates are high among both male and female population with literacy rates exceeding $95 \%$ up to 64 year.

Table 25: Adult literacy rates by sector and region

| Sector/Region | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Urban | 98.5 | 98.7 | 98.3 |
| Rural | 95.0 | 94.9 | 95.0 |
| $\quad$ Central | 97.1 | 96.9 | 97.3 |
| East | 95.1 | 95.5 | 94.7 |
| West | 94.7 | 94.8 | 94.6 |
| Khangai | 96.4 | 96.5 | 96.4 |
| $\quad$ Ulaanbaatar | 98.9 | 99.1 | 98.7 |
| Mongolia | 96.9 | 97.0 | 96.9 |

Table 26: Adult literacy rates by age and sector

| Age Group | Mongolia |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| $15-24$ | 97.3 | 96.8 | 97.8 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.4 | 94.8 | 93.9 | 95.9 |
| $25-34$ | 99.0 | 98.7 | 99.2 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 98.2 | 97.9 | 98.6 |
| $35-44$ | 98.9 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 98.0 | 97.7 | 98.2 |
| $45-54$ | 98.3 | 98.6 | 98.1 | 99.4 | 99.5 | 99.2 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 96.6 |
| $55-64$ | 95.3 | 96.4 | 94.3 | 97.7 | 98.4 | 96.9 | 92.1 | 93.7 | 90.4 |
| 65+ | 78.0 | 81.4 | 74.9 | 84.8 | 88.4 | 81.9 | 69.4 | 73.6 | 65.2 |
| All age groups | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0}$ |

## Chapter 4

## Current Activities

## Current Activities of Household Members

4.1 The survey elicited information on both economic and non-economic activities of all household members aged 5 years and over during the short reference period of the last 7 days preceding the survey. Firstly, these questions were expected to provide useful information on the time spent on both economic and non-economic activities by the respondents in respect of a period which is current and when the tasks performed were still fresh in their minds. Secondly, they were expected to facilitate the respondent to make his or her choice of the labour force status objectively having considered the person's participation in economic and non-economic activities in the week immediately preceding the survey. Thirdly, this being the first labour force survey undertaken in the country adopting internationally used concepts and definitions on the measurement of employment and unemployment it was considered that the field staff would also be able to make well informed decisions having ascertained the type of activities engaged in by the respondents and assist them in making their choice of labour force status and also in obtaining responses to questions that follow on employment and unemployment. Fourthly, these questions were also considered useful to ascertain the employment condition objectively having considered whether the respondent had actually engaged in any work activity and spent time on such work, as the survey was due to commence in October covering the quarter OctoberDecember at the onset of a winter where employment in the rural sector was mainly in subsistence livestock farming activities, and where work intensity varies substantially over the seasons.
4.2 In all 13 current economic activities were identified including working for wage or salary, any business including trading activities, animal husbandry and farming activities, to that of engaging in specific services such as provision of private tuition. The total number of hours spent on all identified activities during the reference week that was the 7 days preceding the survey by each person was also ascertained. Table 27 presents information on work activities engaged by persons aged 5 years and over and 15 years and over during the reference period of 7 days. Some persons had engaged in more than one identified activity and most importantly it shows the profile of economic activities undertaken by the working population.
4.3 A total of 800,500 persons aged 15 years and over had engaged in the listed activities and of them $52.3 \%$ were males and $47.7 \%$ were females. Of the total number of persons that had engaged in any economic activity 297,900 ( $37.2 \%$ ) had engaged in wage employment, of them 146,200 were males and 151,700 were females. As expected the animal husbandry, hunting and trapping work activity had engaged the highest number of persons estimated at 368,600 or 46.0 $\%$ of the total number that had engaged in any economic activity, comprising 198,800 males and 169,800 females. These two categories of wage employment and animal husbandry, hunting and trapping when taken together comprise as much as $83.2 \%$ of total employment.
4.4 A total of 79,100 had engaged themselves in assorted business activities including wholesale and retail trade, and provision of different types of business and personal services. Numerically more females had engaged in these business activities compared to the participation of males in them. Of the total number that engaged in economic activities transportation had provided work to $2.6 \%$ and a further $1.0 \%$ had engaged themselves in handicrafts.

Table 27: Number and percentage of persons who had engaged in any current economic activity during the reference week by sex and sector

| Economic Activity | Mongolia (5 yrs and over) |  |  | Mongolia (15 yrs and over) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 298,000 | 146,300 | 151,700 | 297,900 | 146,200 | 151,700 |
| Any business (other than those listed below) | 80,700 | 35,100 | 45,500 | 79,100 | 34,000 | 45,100 |
| Animal husbandry | 400,100 | 218,200 | 181,900 | 368,600 | 198,800 | 169,800 |
| Cropping activity | 16,300 | 10,000 | 6,300 | 14,700 | 8,900 | 5,800 |
| Forestry and logging | 2,600 | 2,200 | 500 | 2,600 | 2,100 | 500 |
| Transportation | 20,800 | 19,300 | 1,500 | 20,800 | 19,300 | 1,500 |
| Mining and quarrying | 5,800 | 4,500 | 1,300 | 5,300 | 4,100 | 1,200 |
| Food processing | 2,800 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2,800 | 1,300 | 1,500 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 5,200 | 1,800 | 3,400 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 3,300 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 6,700 | 5,400 | 1,300 | 6,700 | 5,400 | 1,300 |
| Handicrafts | 7,900 | 1,600 | 6,300 | 7,800 | 1,600 | 6,200 |
| Construction and major repairs | 6,400 | 4,700 | 1,700 | 6,400 | 4,700 | 1,700 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 1,100 | 600 | 500 | 1,100 | 600 | 500 |


| Total number of persons who <br> had engaged in any economic | 835,600 | 441,000 | 394,600 | 800,500 | 419,000 | 381,500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| activity | 298,000 | 146,300 | 151,700 | 297,900 | 146,200 | 151,700 |
| Wage job | 537,600 | 294,700 | 242,900 | 502,600 | 272,900 | 229,800 |
| Self employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Economic Activity | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wage job | 35.7 | 33.2 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 34.9 | 39.8 |
| Any business (other than those listed below) | 9.7 | 8.0 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 11.8 |
| Animal husbandry | 47.9 | 49.5 | 46.1 | 46.0 | 47.4 | 44.5 |
| Cropping activity | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| Forestry and logging | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Transportation | 2.5 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 0.4 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Food processing | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Handicrafts | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Construction and major repairs | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total number of persons who had engaged in any economic activity | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage job | 35.7 | 33.2 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 34.9 | 39.8 |
| Self employed | 64.3 | 66.8 | 61.6 | 62.8 | 65.1 | 60.2 |

## Average Number of Hours Engaged in Economic Activities

4.5 The average number of hours of work done by the population aged 5 years and over who had engaged themselves in economic activities during the reference week classified by age, sex and sector is presented in Table 28. The table shows that the population aged 15 years and over that had worked during the reference week had spent 45.7 hours on economic activities and this national average is made up of 48.9 hours and 42.3 hours of work by males and females during the reference week. The average number of hours of work done increases steadily from 16 hours by male children aged $05-09$ years that had worked during the reference week to 51 hours by males aged 30-34 years and declines thereafter.

Table 28: Average number of hours the persons had engaged in current economic activities during the last 7 days by age, sector and sex

| Age group | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both <br> hours | Male hours | Female hours | Both hours | Male hours | Female hours | Both hours | Male hours | Female hours |
| 05-09 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 12.9 | 16.2 | 12.5 | 21.4 | 14.7 | 16.2 | 12.6 |
| 10-14 | 25.4 | 27.5 | 21.6 | 17.4 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 26.6 | 29.1 | 22.1 |
| 15-17 | 37.6 | 40.9 | 32.6 | 34.5 | 34.8 | 34.0 | 38.0 | 41.7 | 32.4 |
| 18-19 | 45.0 | 48.5 | 39.8 | 50.5 | 51.9 | 48.5 | 43.6 | 47.6 | 37.8 |
| 20-24 | 44.8 | 48.6 | 40.2 | 48.1 | 49.1 | 46.8 | 43.2 | 48.3 | 37.1 |
| 25-29 | 46.2 | 50.1 | 42.0 | 47.3 | 49.1 | 45.3 | 45.5 | 50.8 | 39.7 |
| 30-34 | 47.8 | 51.1 | 44.3 | 47.9 | 48.7 | 47.1 | 47.7 | 53.2 | 41.6 |
| 35-39 | 47.4 | 50.2 | 44.7 | 47.7 | 48.6 | 46.7 | 47.2 | 52.2 | 42.2 |
| 40-44 | 46.2 | 48.9 | 43.7 | 46.8 | 48.1 | 45.7 | 45.5 | 49.9 | 41.1 |
| 45-49 | 45.6 | 49.0 | 42.2 | 46.2 | 47.5 | 45.0 | 44.9 | 50.6 | 39.3 |
| 50-54 | 45.1 | 47.4 | 42.5 | 46.6 | 47.3 | 45.8 | 43.6 | 47.6 | 38.8 |
| 55-59 | 43.7 | 46.7 | 37.9 | 45.3 | 46.6 | 42.8 | 42.4 | 46.8 | 34.4 |
| 60-64 | 43.1 | 46.7 | 36.8 | 42.4 | 45.2 | 37.2 | 43.4 | 47.6 | 36.5 |
| 65-69 | 43.1 | 45.3 | 39.5 | 42.7 | 43.8 | 39.2 | 43.2 | 46.1 | 39.5 |
| 70+ | 35.0 | 41.7 | 26.5 | 35.2 | 40.1 | 24.3 | 35.0 | 42.1 | 26.8 |
| All age groups \% | 44.7 | 47.6 | 41.5 | 46.7 | 47.7 | 45.7 | 43.1 | 47.5 | 38.1 |
| Number 5+ | 835,600 | 441,000 | 394,600 | 359,700 | 184,200 | 175,500 | 475,900 | 256,800 | 219,100 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged 15+ } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 45.7 | 48.9 | 42.3 | 47.0 | 48.1 | 45.8 | 44.7 | 49.5 | 39.3 |
| Number 15+ | 800,500 | 419,000 | 381,500 | 356,600 | 182,000 | 174,600 | 443,900 | 237,000 | 206,900 |

The females in their youth and in the prime of their working lives had spent 6-7 hours less on work than their male counterparts. The males in the rural sector had reported that they work somewhat longer hours than employed males in the urban sector. The opposite is the pattern reflected in the data in respect of females. The longer hours of work that females had engaged in their economic activities were observed in urban areas when compared with their counterparts in rural areas

Percentage distribution of population aged 15 and over that had engaged in current economic activities, by number of hours spent


## Current Non-Economic Activities

4.6 In order to ascertain the actual labour force status of the population and also on time-use, information was also ascertained on the non-economic activities (housekeeping activities) that persons had been engaged in and on the number of hours they had spent on them during the reference period of one week before the survey from all persons aged 5 years and over. Altogether 12 activities including cooking and serving of food to the household, cleaning utensils and house; washing clothes and laundering; minor household repairs; shopping for household; fetching water; fetching fuel or preparing firewood; looking after children and voluntary community services without pay were listed and the total number of hours spent on the identified activities was ascertained.
4.7 Although fetching or collecting water, fetching fuel or preparing firewood have been included as economic activities and counted as work under UN SNA, it was considered that these activities should be itemized separately and included as housekeeping activities under this survey. It was felt that very high proportions of the population would be spending time on these two activities and it would not be feasible to ascertain the requisite information through a single direct question on the item, to treat the reported activity as work. Therefore the questions were included under household activities and data were collected on the items so that the data user could make an appropriate decision depending on the scope of the study and analysis undertaken by the researcher.

Table 29: Number and percentage of persons of 5 years and above who had engaged in non-economic activities in the reference period of 7 days before the survey

| Non-Economic Activity | Mongolia (5 yrs and over) |  |  | Mongolia $(15$ yrs and over) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for the household | $1,399,000$ | 516,200 | 882,800 | $1,091,300$ | 377,400 | 713,900 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | $1,254,700$ | 419,300 | 835,400 | 956,000 | 288,200 | 667,800 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | $1,022,700$ | 322,400 | 700,300 | 872,600 | 265,000 | 607,600 |
| Minor household repairs | 296,700 | 244,800 | 51,900 | 280,300 | 232,500 | 47,800 |
| Shopping for household | 713,000 | 294,700 | 418,300 | 637,300 | 259,700 | 377,600 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 291,300 | 25,400 | 265,900 | 274,900 | 21,800 | 253,100 |
| Fetching water/washing | 818,800 | 496,400 | 322,400 | 611,400 | 373,600 | 237,800 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 823,100 | 502,500 | 320,600 | 641,100 | 392,800 | 248,300 |


| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 62,600 | 25,300 | 37,300 | 54,800 | 22,000 | 32,800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Looking after children | 248,300 | 83,000 | 165,300 | 210,800 | 67,400 | 143,400 |
| Caring for household pets | 137,300 | 67,900 | 69,400 | 111,800 | 52,500 | 59,300 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 89,500 | 47,700 | 41,800 | 67,100 | 36,400 | 30,700 |
| Total number of persons who participated in any non-economic activity | 1,826,700 | 874,400 | 952,300 | 1,419,200 | 667,800 | 751,400 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Cooking/serving food for the household | 76.6 | 59.0 | 92.7 | 76.9 | 56.5 | 95.0 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 68.7 | 48.0 | 87.7 | 67.4 | 43.2 | 88.9 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 56.0 | 36.9 | 73.5 | 61.5 | 39.7 | 80.9 |
| Minor household repairs | 16.2 | 28.0 | 5.4 | 19.8 | 34.8 | 6.4 |
| Shopping for household | 39.0 | 33.7 | 43.9 | 44.9 | 38.9 | 50.3 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 15.9 | 2.9 | 27.9 | 19.4 | 3.3 | 33.7 |
| Fetching water/washing | 44.8 | 56.8 | 33.9 | 43.1 | 55.9 | 31.6 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 45.1 | 57.5 | 33.7 | 45.2 | 58.8 | 33.0 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| Looking after children | 13.6 | 9.5 | 17.4 | 14.9 | 10.1 | 19.1 |
| Caring for household pets | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 4.9 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 4.1 |
| Total number of persons who participated in any non-economic activity $=100 \%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

4.8 A total of 1.827 million persons aged 5 years and over had engaged in these noneconomic activities comprising 874,400 males and 952,300 females during the reference week before the survey. Out of an estimated population of 1.539 million persons aged 15 years and over, 1.419 million or $92 \%$ had engaged in these activities. The proportion engaged in an identified non-economic activity expressed as a percentage of the total number of persons engaged in any of the identified activities is shown by sex in Table 29.
4.9 During the reference week more persons of 15 years and over had engaged in cooking and serving food to the household than in any other activity and 713,900 (95\%) females and 377,400 $(56.5 \%)$ males, a total of 1.091 million persons or more than 3 out of 4 persons of both sexes had participated in these activities. Similarly cleaning utensils and the house were also activities that had required a high level of participation of both sexes of where $67.4 \%$ of the population aged 15 and over who attended to any non-economic activity had participated in during the reference week with $88.9 \%$ of females and $43.2 \%$ of males helping in these household tasks.A total of 611,400 persons had spent time in fetching water and 641,100 persons had engaged in fetching fuel and preparing firewood. According to these estimates $43.1 \%$ and $45.2 \%$ of the total population had spent time on these tasks. Table 29 also shows that more males had contributed to the household tasks of fetching water and fuel, and preparing firewood. Nearly $20 \%$ of females and $10 \%$ of males had spent time in looking after children. The proportion that had participated in voluntary community services without pay was small amounting to $4.7 \%$.

## Time Spent by Age Group

4.10 The average number of hours spent by persons aged 5 years and over in all current noneconomic activities during the reference week broken down by age and sex is given in Table 30. The population of 15 years and over had spent 25 hours on average for non-economic activities. The average hours spent by males and females were 17.5 and 31.8 respectively.
4.11 The time spent on non-economic activities by persons aged 15 years and over expressed as a percentage of the total number of persons who had engaged in such activities is presented in Table 31. More than 1 out of 5 persons who had engaged in these household tasks had spent not more than 10 hours on these activities. Table 31 shows that 1 out of 4 persons had spent between 10 to 19 hours on household chores. The table also shows that nearly 20 percent of persons who had engaged in these household chores had spent more than 40 hours per week on these activities, while $37.8 \%$ of females in the rural sector had spent more than 40 hours per week on these chores.

Table 30: Average number of hours engaged in current non-economic activities during the last 7 days by age, sex and sector

| Age group | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both hours | Male hours | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Female } \\ \text { hours } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Both hours | Male hours | Female hours | Both hours | Male hours | Female hours |
| 05-09 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 10.1 |
| 10-14 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 15.8 | 14.1 | 17.5 |
| 15-17 | 19.3 | 15.9 | 22.7 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 16.6 | 25.3 |
| 18-19 | 20.8 | 16.7 | 25.1 | 18.6 | 16.1 | 21.0 | 23.6 | 17.4 | 30.5 |
| 20-24 | 25.9 | 18.1 | 33.5 | 22.3 | 16.3 | 27.9 | 30.1 | 20.1 | 40.2 |
| 25-29 | 31.2 | 20.0 | 41.5 | 28.2 | 18.6 | 36.2 | 34.2 | 21.3 | 46.9 |
| 30-34 | 29.5 | 19.9 | 37.8 | 26.8 | 18.5 | 33.3 | 32.5 | 21.3 | 43.6 |
| 35-39 | 26.6 | 18.1 | 33.6 | 23.7 | 16.2 | 29.5 | 30.7 | 20.5 | 39.6 |
| 40-44 | 23.8 | 16.1 | 30.0 | 21.6 | 15.2 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 17.3 | 35.0 |
| 45-49 | 23.1 | 15.3 | 29.8 | 20.7 | 14.0 | 26.4 | 26.0 | 16.9 | 34.0 |
| 50-54 | 24.9 | 16.2 | 31.8 | 23.9 | 16.5 | 29.5 | 26.2 | 15.9 | 34.8 |
| 55-59 | 24.9 | 16.5 | 31.8 | 25.0 | 16.3 | 31.1 | 24.8 | 16.6 | 32.8 |
| 60-64 | 21.9 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 20.7 | 16.8 | 24.9 | 23.7 | 16.0 | 31.1 |
| 65-69 | 21.6 | 16.4 | 25.8 | 19.9 | 16.0 | 22.5 | 23.4 | 16.7 | 29.7 |
| 70+ | 18.0 | 14.5 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 13.8 | 20.1 | 18.7 | 15.4 | 21.4 |
| All age groups \% | 22.2 | 15.9 | 27.9 | 20.2 | 14.9 | 24.9 | 24.5 | 17.1 | 31.7 |
| Number 5+ | 1,826,700 | 874,400 | 952,300 | 996,300 | 466,000 | 530,300 | 830,400 | 408,400 | 422,000 |
| Aged 15+ \% | 25.0 | 17.5 | 31.8 | 22.6 | 16.3 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 18.7 | 36.7 |
| No. Aged 15+ | 1,419,200 | 667,800 | 751,400 | 782,500 | 357,700 | 424,800 | 636,700 | 310,100 | 326,600 |

Table 31: Percentage of persons aged 15 years over who performed household duties grouped by number of hours spent, sex and sector

| Hours Spent | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| 0-9 | 22.2 | 35.4 | 10.5 | 25.8 | 39.9 | 13.8 | 17.9 | 30.2 | 6.3 |


| $10-19$ | 26.1 | 31.1 | 21.6 | 27.4 | 30.0 | 25.3 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 16.7 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-29$ | 21.1 | 17.6 | 24.1 | 22.1 | 16.7 | 26.6 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 20.9 |
| $30-39$ | 12.3 | 7.9 | 16.2 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 14.6 | 13.9 | 9.3 | 18.3 |
| $40-49$ | 7.5 | 4.4 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 5.3 | 13.2 |
| $50-59$ | 4.2 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 9.6 |
| $60-69$ | 2.5 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 6.0 |
| $70+$ | 4.2 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 9.0 |
| All age groups | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Number | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 9 , 2 0 0}$ | 667,800 | 751,400 | $\mathbf{7 8 2 , 5 0 0}$ | 357,700 | 424,800 | $\mathbf{6 3 6 , 7 0 0}$ | 310,100 | 326,600 |

## Fetching Water and Fuel for Household Use

4.12 As referred to already, fetching or collecting water and fetching fuel or preparing firewood have been included as economic activities and counted as work under UN SNA. Accordingly, the persons who engage in these tasks have to be accepted and recognized as performing economic activities and therefore as employed. This would lead to a reduction of the number of persons who would otherwise be classified as economically inactive or unemployed. In order to study the two activities of fetching water or firewood, two tables which provide breakdown of the number of persons who were engaged in these activities and their employment status as employed, unemployed or inactive, were extracted and they are presented in Table 32 and Table 33.
4.13 Table 32 shows that 300,400 persons in the age groups $5-17$ years will have to be classified as employed when fetching water is treated as an economic activity. Of them only 48,900 are currently classified as active with 3000 of them as unemployed and 251,500 as inactive will have to be transferred to the employed category. This would distort the employment status of this population group. Table 32 also shows that 180,200 persons aged 15 years and over who were classified as inactive will be grouped as employed, which would inflate the number of employed, reducing the number of economically inactive by a similar number.

Table 32: Number of persons who fetched water for drinking purposes grouped by their employment status as employed, unemployed, inactive, age and sex

|  | Number of persons <br> who fetched water | Active | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Population aged 5 years | and over |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 0 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1 , 5 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 57,200 | 8,100 | 8,100 | - | 49,200 |
| $10-14$ | 150,200 | 15,900 | 15,500 | 400 | 134,300 |
| $15-17$ | 93,000 | 24,900 | 22,300 | 2,600 | 68,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $\mathbf{1 7 6 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 2 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 33,900 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | 28,900 |
| $10-14$ | 88,900 | 10,600 | 10,400 | 200 | 78,300 |
| $15-17$ | 53,500 | 15,500 | 13,800 | 1,700 | 38,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 3 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 23,300 | 3,100 | 3,100 | - | 20,200 |
| $10-14$ | 61,300 | 5,300 | 5,100 | 200 | 56,000 |


| 15-17 | 39,500 | 9,400 | 8,500 | 900 | 30,100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population aged 15 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 611,400 | 431,200 | 368,100 | 63,100 | 180,200 |
| 15-17 | 93,000 | 24,900 | 22,300 | 2,600 | 68,100 |
| 18-24 | 137,700 | 93,700 | 76,100 | 17,600 | 44,000 |
| 25-34 | 170,000 | 149,600 | 128,400 | 21,200 | 20,400 |
| 35-44 | 105,600 | 95,200 | 80,700 | 14,500 | 10,400 |
| 45-54 | 52,500 | 44,700 | 38,800 | 5,900 | 7,800 |
| 55-64 | 34,400 | 18,000 | 16,800 | 1,200 | 16,400 |
| 65+ | 18,200 | 5,100 | 5,000 | 100 | 13,100 |
| Male | 373,600 | 273,300 | 233,100 | 40,200 | 100,300 |
| 15-17 | 53,500 | 15,500 | 13,800 | 1,700 | 38,000 |
| 18-24 | 83,100 | 57,600 | 46,900 | 10,700 | 25,500 |
| 25-34 | 103,800 | 94,100 | 80,900 | 13,200 | 9,700 |
| 35-44 | 67,200 | 61,100 | 51,700 | 9,400 | 6,100 |
| 45-54 | 32,100 | 28,800 | 24,500 | 4,300 | 3,300 |
| 55-64 | 22,300 | 12,600 | 11,800 | 800 | 9,700 |
| 65+ | 11,600 | 3,600 | 3,500 | 100 | 8,000 |
| Female | 237,800 | 157,900 | 134,900 | 23,000 | 79,900 |
| 15-17 | 39,500 | 9,400 | 8,500 | 900 | 30,100 |
| 18-24 | 54,600 | 36,100 | 29,100 | 7,000 | 18,500 |
| 25-34 | 66,200 | 55,500 | 47,500 | 8,000 | 10,700 |
| 35-44 | 38,400 | 34,100 | 29,000 | 5,100 | 4,300 |
| 45-54 | 20,400 | 15,900 | 14,300 | 1,600 | 4,500 |
| 55-64 | 12,100 | 5,300 | 4,900 | 400 | 6,800 |
| 65+ | 6,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | - | 5,000 |

4.14 The number of persons of 5 years and above who spent time on fetching fuel and preparing firewood is estimated as 265,100 . A breakdown of these persons by age and employment status as employed, unemployed and economically inactive are presented in Table 33. The comments made earlier with regard to fetching water apply hereto.

Table 33: Number of persons who fetched fuel and prepared firewood grouped by their employment status as employed, unemployed, inactive, age and sex

|  | Number of persons <br> who fetched fuel | Active | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Population aged 5 years and over |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6 , 5 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 58,600 | 9,300 | 9,300 | - | 49,300 |
| $10-14$ | 123,400 | 15,300 | 15,200 | 100 | 108,100 |
| $15-17$ | 83,100 | 24,000 | 21,500 | 2,500 | 59,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 , 2 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 34,200 | 5,900 | 5,900 | - | 28,300 |
| $10-14$ | 75,500 | 9,900 | 9,900 | - | 65,600 |
| $15-17$ | 50,900 | 15,600 | 13,900 | 1,700 | 35,300 |


| Female | 104,500 | 17,200 | 16,300 | 900 | 87,300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-9 | 24,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 | - | 21,000 |
| 10-14 | 47,900 | 5,400 | 5,300 | 100 | 42,500 |
| 15-17 | 32,200 | 8,400 | 7,600 | 800 | 23,800 |
| Population aged 15 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 641,100 | 462,400 | 395,700 | 66,700 | 178,700 |
| 15-17 | 83,100 | 24,000 | 21,500 | 2,500 | 59,100 |
| 18-24 | 128,400 | 91,000 | 73,700 | 17,300 | 37,400 |
| 25-34 | 171,500 | 150,900 | 129,400 | 21,500 | 20,600 |
| 35-44 | 122,700 | 110,100 | 94,600 | 15,500 | 12,600 |
| 45-54 | 66,900 | 55,900 | 47,900 | 8,000 | 11,000 |
| 55-64 | 43,400 | 23,700 | 22,000 | 1,700 | 19,700 |
| 65+ | 25,100 | 6,800 | 6,600 | 200 | 18,300 |
| Male | 392,800 | 289,600 | 245,600 | 44,000 | 103,200 |
| 15-17 | 50,900 | 15,600 | 13,900 | 1,700 | 35,300 |
| 18-24 | 78,700 | 55,700 | 44,700 | 11,000 | 23,000 |
| 25-34 | 103,200 | 92,400 | 78,500 | 13,900 | 10,800 |
| 35-44 | 77,700 | 69,700 | 59,700 | 10,000 | 8,000 |
| 45-54 | 40,300 | 35,500 | 29,500 | 6,000 | 4,800 |
| 55-64 | 27,400 | 16,300 | 15,000 | 1,300 | 11,100 |
| 65+ | 14,600 | 4,400 | 4,300 | 100 | 10,200 |
| Female | 248,300 | 172,800 | 150,100 | 22,700 | 75,500 |
| 15-17 | 32,200 | 8,400 | 7,600 | 800 | 23,800 |
| 18-24 | 49,700 | 35,300 | 29,000 | 6,300 | 14,400 |
| 25-34 | 68,300 | 58,500 | 50,900 | 7,600 | 9,800 |
| 35-44 | 45,000 | 40,400 | 34,900 | 5,500 | 4,600 |
| 45-54 | 26,600 | 20,400 | 18,400 | 2,000 | 6,200 |
| 55-64 | 16,000 | 7,400 | 7,000 | 400 | 8,600 |
| 65+ | 10,500 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 100 | 8,100 |

## Chapter 5

## Labour Supply

## Labour Supply

5.1 The economically active population was accepted as comprising all persons of either sex who furnished the supply of labour for the production of goods and services as defined by the United Nations System of National Accounts (UN SNA) during a specified time reference period. According to the UN SNA the production of goods and services includes all production and processing of primary goods for the market for barter or for own consumption, production of all other goods and services for the market and in the case of households which produce such goods and services for the market, corresponding products for own consumption.
5.2 The currently economically active population or the labour force consisted of all persons who satisfied the requirements for inclusion among the employed or unemployed based on the employment or unemployment status during the short reference period of one week immediately preceding the survey. The definitions of employed and unemployed used in the survey are given in Annex 2. The population not currently active or persons not in the labour force comprised all persons who were not employed or unemployed during the reference period because of engagement in household duties; attendance at educational institutions; retirement or old age or other such reasons.

## Labour Supply Estimates

5.3 The total labour supply or the total economically active population of Mongolia measured under the current status with a short reference period of 1 week was estimated as $1.004,800$. This economically active population consisted of 523,500 males ( $52.1 \%$ ) and $481,300(47.9 \%)$ females. The relative shares of urban and rural sectors were 482,600 ( $48.0 \%$ ) and 522,200 $(52.0 \%)$ respectively. The economically active population or the labour force consisted of 862,500 employed and 142,300 unemployed. Although the labour law fixes the working ages at 16-59, an age cut off of 15 years was used in the extraction of tables on economic activity to comply with the international methodology and provide for comparability of estimates from other sources.
5.4 There are some estimates of the labour supply and the profile of the employed population canvassed through ad hoc surveys and the Census of Population 2000. The Census 2000 had estimated the total labour force at 944,000 comprising 779,100 employed and 164,900 unemployed. This estimate of the labour force had included the population that resided in institutional living quarters as well as those who had been absent from their households for periods in excess of 6 months before the Census. The census enumerated those persons who didn't work in the preceding week before the census and in search of work and couldn't find suitable work during the census time. The annual survey of employment conducted by the NSO, which collected data through the administrative reporting system, had estimated the work force at 926,500 in 2003. The unemployment estimate in the annual survey of employment is restricted to the number registered under the Employment Office and was enumerated as 33,300. The labour force or economically active population is $1112.5(926.5+186.0)$ when estimated by persons in search of work, unable to find suitable work and not in search of work all of whom are not registered with the employment registered with employment registered offices and the unemployed registered with these offices. Thus, the LFS data is not strictly comparable with the estimates of censuses and records due to the definitional and methodology differences.

Table 34: Labour Force Status of the Population aged 15 years and over by Sector and Sex

| Sector/ Current status | Both Sexes |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently active | 1,004,800 | 65.3 | 523,500 | 69.7 | 481,300 | 61.1 |
| Employed | 862,500 | 56.0 | 448,900 | 59.8 | 413,600 | 52.5 |
| Unemployed | 142,300 | 9.2 | 74,600 | 9.9 | 67,700 | 8.6 |
| Not Currently |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | 534,400 | 34.7 | 227,400 | 30.3 | 307,000 | 38.9 |
| Population 15+ | 1,539,200 | 100.0 | 750,900 | 100.0 | 788,300 | 100.0 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently active | 482,600 | 56.4 | 247,800 | 60.6 | 234,800 | 52.6 |
| Employed | 392,300 | 45.9 | 199,900 | 48.9 | 192,400 | 43.1 |
| Unemployed | 90,300 | 10.6 | 47,900 | 11.7 | 42,400 | 9.5 |
| Not Currently |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | 372,500 | 43.6 | 160,900 | 39.4 | 211,600 | 47.4 |
| Population 15+ | 855,100 | 100.0 | 408,700 | 100.0 | 446,400 | 100.0 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently active | 522,200 | 76.3 | 275,700 | 80.6 | 246,500 | 72.1 |
| Employed | 470,200 | 68.7 | 249,000 | 72.8 | 221,200 | 64.7 |
| Unemployed | 52,000 | 7.6 | 26,700 | 7.8 | 25300 | 7.4 |
| Not Currently |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | 161,900 | 23.7 | 66,500 | 19.4 | 95400 | 27.9 |
| Population 15+ | 684,100 | 100.0 | 342,200 | 100.0 | 341,900 | 100.0 |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFPR |  | 65.3 |  | 69.7 |  | 61.1 |
| Employment rate |  | 85.8 |  | 85.8 |  | 85.9 |
| Unemployment rate |  | 14.2 |  | 14.2 |  | 14.1 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFPR |  | 56.4 |  | 60.6 |  | 52.6 |
| Employment rate |  | 81.3 |  | 80.7 |  | 81.9 |
| Unemployment rate |  | 18.7 |  | 19.3 |  | 18.1 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFPR |  | 76.3 |  | 80.6 |  | 72.1 |
| Employment rate |  | 90.0 |  | 90.3 |  | 89.7 |
| Unemployment rate |  | 10.0 |  | 9.7 |  | 10.3 |

Note 1: LFPR= Labour force participation rate
The overall estimates derived from these sources along with the survey estimates are presented in Table 35. The LFS canvassed information on the labour force status of all persons aged 5 years and over, as the survey included a child activity module to canvass information on child labour topics.

Table 35: Labour force status of the population aged 15 years and over from recent statistical inquiries

| Population Category | Labour Force Survey2002-2003 |  | Census of Population 2000 | *Annual <br> Employment <br> Survey 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current <br> Status | Usual <br> Status |  |  |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |
| Population 15+ | 1,539.2 | 1,539.2 | 1,524.3 | 1,488.9 |
| Economically Active | 1,004.8 | 941.4 | 944.0 | 959.8 |
| Employed | 862.5 | 856.6 | 779.1 | 926.5 |
| Unemployed | 142.3 | 84.8 | 164.9 | 33.3 |
| Economically Inactive | 534.4 | 597.8 | 580.3 | 529.1 |
| Rates |  |  |  |  |
| Labour Force Participation Rate | 65.3 | 61.2 | 61.9 | 64.5 |
| Employment Rate | 85.8 | 91.0 | 82.5 | 96.5 |
| Unemployment Rate | 14.2 | 9.0 | 17.5 | 3.5 |
| Rates : $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Round |  |  |  |  |
| Labour Force Participation Rate | 66.6 | 62.9 |  |  |
| Employment Rate | 82.0 | 87.4 |  |  |
| Unemployment Rate | 18.0 | 12.6 |  |  |
| Rates: $2^{\text {nd }}$ Round |  |  |  |  |
| Labour Force Participation Rate | 65.1 | 60.3 |  |  |
| Employment Rate | 85.0 | 91.4 |  |  |
| Unemployment Rate | 15.0 | 8.6 |  |  |
| Rates: $3^{\text {th }}$ Round |  |  |  |  |
| Labour Force Participation Rate | 64.9 | 61.0 |  |  |
| Employment Rate | 86.8 | 91.4 |  |  |
| Unemployment Rate | 13.2 | 8.6 |  |  |
| Rates: $4^{\text {th }}$ Round |  |  |  |  |
| Labour Force Participation Rate | 65.0 | 60.8 |  |  |
| Employment Rate | 89.6 | 93.5 |  |  |
| Unemployment Rate | 10.7 | 6.5 |  |  |

* Note : Annual Employment Survey had used an age cut off of 16+ . This survey compiles data collected through the administrative reporting system. The unemployment number is restricted to the number registered under the Employment Office.


## Labour Force Participation Rates

5.5 The economically active population or the labour force consisted of the employed and the unemployed. The labour force participation rate (LFPR) is defined as
LFPR $=\frac{\text { Economically Active Population }}{\text { Working Age Population }} \times 100=工$ Employed + Unemployed
and the age specific labour force participation rate is defined as

```
Age specific LFPR \(=\) _ \(x 100\)
    Total population in specified Age Group
```

5.6 The labour force participation rates or the percentage of persons in the labour force for the population 15 years and over which amounted to $65.3 \%$ for the country as a whole was substantially higher in the rural sector at $76.3 \%$ which declines to $56.4 \%$ in urban areas. This high labour force participation in the rural areas was a result of high participation rates of both males and females that stood at $80.6 \%$ and $72.1 \%$ for males and females respectively mostly in agriculture. These overall rates in the urban sector were approximately 20 percentage points lower at $60.6 \%$ and $52.6 \%$ respectively.
5.7 Table 35 also shows that the labour force participation rates from the 2nd, 3rd and 4th quarters had remained remarkably close to $65 \%$ except in the case of the 1st quarter which had reported a participation rate of $66.6 \%$. This quarter had reported the highest unemployed population and the highest unemployment rate, too. The labour force participation rates for both sexes, males and females are depicted in Graph 3.

Graph 3


## Age Specific Participation Rates

5.8 In order to study the variation in activity rates, the age specific labour force participation rates are usually prepared for 5 year age groups. Age specific labour force participation rates of both sexes, males and females for 5 year age groups between 05-70+ years by sex were prepared. Table 36 presents the participation rates for the age groups 15 years and over. The participation rates of both sexes for the country as a whole increases rapidly from $21 \%$ for the age group $15-17$ years to $83 \%$ in the age group $25-29$ years. The participation rates for both sexes remain between $80-90 \%$ for most of their working lives from 25-49 years which decline thereafter. The national level labour force participation rates remain high as a result of the high activity rates of females. The males have higher participation rates than that of females in all age groups. The activity rates of both males and females were higher in the rural sector when compared with those of the urban sector. The activity rates of
males are high in the rural sector reaching $90 \%$ in the ages of $25-49$. Another noteworthy feature observed is the increase in the activity rates of females in the age 35-39 years when it reaches its maximum in both urban and rural sectors. This may be attributed to the fact that in their prime ages the females were engaged in education, household duties, child bearing and family responsibilities (See Graph 4, 5).

Table 36: Age Specific labour Force Participation Rates of Population aged 15 years and over

| Age group | Mongolia |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female |  |  |
|  | 21.0 | 24.9 | 17.1 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 39.2 | 46.3 | 31.9 |  |  |
| $18-19$ | 42.8 | 47.5 | 37.6 | 22.1 | 25.3 | 18.8 | 68.2 | 73.7 | 62.0 |  |  |
| $20-24$ | 64.4 | 69.0 | 59.8 | 46.2 | 52.2 | 40.4 | 85.8 | 88.0 | 83.5 |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 83.0 | 87.7 | 78.6 | 74.5 | 81.1 | 68.6 | 91.5 | 93.8 | 89.2 |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 86.2 | 90.3 | 82.4 | 82.3 | 86.9 | 78.4 | 90.8 | 94.0 | 87.5 |  |  |
| $35-39$ | 88.8 | 91.3 | 86.5 | 86.9 | 89.7 | 84.5 | 91.4 | 93.5 | 89.4 |  |  |
| $40-44$ | 87.0 | 89.6 | 84.7 | 84.4 | 86.9 | 82.2 | 90.8 | 93.3 | 88.4 |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 84.9 | 88.2 | 81.6 | 81.8 | 85.8 | 77.8 | 88.8 | 91.4 | 86.4 |  |  |
| $50-54$ | 69.9 | 81.8 | 59.4 | 64.4 | 75.9 | 54.3 | 77.4 | 89.9 | 66.3 |  |  |
| $55-59$ | 51.4 | 69.5 | 35.1 | 41.4 | 60.4 | 26.1 | 65.2 | 80.4 | 49.1 |  |  |
| $60-64$ | 31.2 | 37.2 | 24.4 | 20.4 | 24.5 | 15.6 | 46.5 | 56.1 | 36.3 |  |  |
| $64-69$ | 24.2 | 31.6 | 17.4 | 12.4 | 21.2 | 5.0 | 37.0 | 41.7 | 32.2 |  |  |
| $70+$ | 9.6 | 12.0 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 19.1 | 21.0 | 17.2 |  |  |
| All age | $\mathbf{6 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 1}$ |  |  |
| groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Graph 4

5.9 Age specific LFPR are presented in Graph 4. The chart shows that the overall activity rates are high with both male and female participation rates reaching $90 \%$ in the age groups 25 to 49 years. In this age range the age specific participation rates of females are high and are only a few percentage points lower than that of males.
5.10 The high overall participation rates for both males and females in the rural sector have raised the activity rates for the country as a whole. These high overall activity rates in the rural sector had lowered the currently inactive population to less than half that of the urban sector. Economic growth and improved access to education and training to rural children and growth of industrial and service sector employment would alter the labour force participation rates reported through this survey.

Graph 5

5.11 The labour force participation rates of children 5-17 years show that the activity rates were as high as 12.9-14.8\% between 5-14 years among rural children with somewhat higher rates in male children in these age groups. In the case of male children activity rates rise up to $46.3 \%$ in the age group 15-17 years among rural children. In the urban areas however participation rates of both males and females remain below $3.0 \%$ in the age group 10-14 years, but rises to around $8 \%$ in children aged $15-17$ years.

Table 37: Age specific labour force participation rates of children aged 5-17 years

| Age group | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| 5-9 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 12.9 | 14.8 | 10.9 |
| 10-14 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 14.8 | 18.1 | 11.4 |
| 15-17 | 21.0 | 24.9 | 17.1 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 39.2 | 46.3 | 32.0 |
| 5-17 | 10.8 | 12.8 | 8.7 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 20.0 | 23.6 | 16.2 |

## Economically Inactive Population

5.12 The population not currently active or persons not in the labour force consisted of all persons who were not employed or unemployed during the brief reference period of 1 week immediately before the survey and therefore not currently active because of engagement in household duties, studies, retirement or old age or other such reasons. Thus, persons who did not engage at least for one hour in any economic activity during the reference week nor had a job
from which they were temporarily absent and also who were not available for work were treated as currently economically inactive.
5.13 The survey disclosed that 534,400 persons comprising 227,400 males and 307,000 females had been currently inactive. The majority of the currently inactive numbering 372,500 persons were in the urban sector, while the number currently inactive was substantially lower at 161,900 in the rural sector. The higher labour force participation rates in the rural sector had lowered the currently inactive population. In order to classify the currently inactive population by reason the survey had used the reasons for economic inactivity as household duties; studies; retirement/old age; disability; not available for work; looking after children; temporary ailment/sickness; persons below working age; and other reasons.

Graph 6
Economically inactive population of $\mathbf{1 5}$ years and over classified by reasons

5.14 The economically inactive population classified by main reason for inactivity shows that, $42.3 \%$ of males and $36.0 \%$ of females were inactive as they were engaged in education and training activities; $26.0 \%$ of males and $30.4 \%$ of females were inactive, because of retirement and old age; and $7.1 \%$ of males and $12.4 \%$ of females because of household duties.

Table 38: Reasons for being not economically active by sex and by sector of the population aged 15 years and over

| Reason for being Not Economically active | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| Household duties | 10.2 | 7.1 | 12.4 | 8.8 | 5.6 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 10.7 | 15.0 |
| Studied | 38.7 | 42.3 | 36.0 | 42.5 | 46.4 | 39.5 | 30.0 | 32.3 | 28.3 |
| Retired/old age | 28.5 | 26.0 | 30.4 | 26.9 | 24.4 | 28.8 | 32.2 | 29.8 | 33.9 |
| Disability | 5.1 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 4.9 |
| Not available for work | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Looking after children | 4.6 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 5.9 |
| Temporary ailment/sickness | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| Person below working age | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Other | 6.7 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 6.1 |


| All reasons (\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number |  | $\mathbf{5 3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 4 0 0}$ |

While education and training was given as the main reason by $42.5 \%$ of the economically inactive population in the urban sector, retirement/old age was cited as the main reason by $32.2 \%$ of the economically inactive population in the rural sector. As much as $46.4 \%$ of the economically inactive males in the urban sector had reported that their participation in studies as the main reason for being economically inactive. Table 38 shows that $20.9 \%$ of females in the rural sector were economically inactive as they were engaged in household duties and in looking after children. Hence, the main reasons for inactivity being studies and old age, a tabulation classifying economically inactive by age should reveal the dependence of inactivity on these two reasons.

## Chapter 6

## Currently Employed Population

## Employment

6.1 LFS concentrated on compiling detailed information on the employment condition of the Mongolian population. The survey utilized questions to screen respondents to ascertain information on the type of economic activities persons had engaged in during the reference week. Information was canvassed on the total duration for which the respondents had worked in one or more of the listed activities in which the person had engaged in during the reference week. This information along with information as to whether the person had a job or business even though the person did not work during the reference period because of temporary illness, injury, vacation or other leave of absence, bad weather, strike, labour dispute or other reason was used to determine the current economic activity status of the person. If the person had engaged in any of the listed activities at least one hour during the reference week or had a job or business from which the person was temporarily absent for any of the aforesaid reasons then, the person was considered as currently employed.
6.2 The total currently employed population of Mongolia was estimated as 897,100 including 34,600 persons who were temporarily absent from their households for periods exceeding 6 months before the survey. The Census of Population 2000 had enumerated the total employed population as 779,100 . The tables presented here exclude the 34,600 persons who were absent from their households as detailed information on employment was not collected from them.

Table 39: Currently employed population by sector, region and sex

| Sector/Region | Both Sexes |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
| Urban | 392,300 | 45.5 | 199,900 | 44.5 | 192,400 | 46.5 |
| Rural | 470,200 | 54.5 | 249,000 | 55.5 | 221,200 | 53.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 167,300 | 19.4 | 86,600 | 19.3 | 80,700 | 19.5 |
| East | 68,200 | 7.9 | 36,400 | 8.1 | 31,800 | 7.7 |
| West | 175,700 | 20.4 | 93,200 | 20.8 | 82,500 | 19.9 |
| Khangai | 233,300 | 27.0 | 122,000 | 27.2 | 111,300 | 26.9 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 218,000 | 25.3 | 110,700 | 24.7 | 107,300 | 25.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mongolia | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

6.3 The geographic distribution of the employed population presented in Table 39 shows that about $45.5 \%$ of the employed population had resided in the urban sector. The employed population was unevenly distributed among the regions. More than one quarter of the employed population was from the capital city. The share of Khangai region was higher accounting for $27.0 \%$ of the total employed population while the share of the Eastern Region amounted to less than $8.0 \%$ of the employed population. Numerically the employed female population was nearly equal to the male employed population.

## Quarterly Employment Estimates

6.4 The estimated employed population had increased from 822,300 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter (Table 40) to 906,000 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter by 83,700 . The employed male population had increased by

37,100 and employed females by 46,600 . This increase had arisen mainly in the rural sector, where there were seasonal variations in the demand for labour both in agricultural and nonagricultural activities.

Table 40: Currently employed population by sector, region and sex

| Sector/Region | Both Sexes |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
| Quarter 1 | 822,300 | 100.0 | 433,900 | 52.8 | 388,400 | 47.2 |
| Quarter 2 | 838,700 | 100.0 | 435,000 | 51.9 | 403,700 | 48.1 |
| Quarter 3 | 885,600 | 100.0 | 455,900 | 51.5 | 429,700 | 48.5 |
| Quarter 4 | 906,000 | 100.0 | 471,000 | 52.0 | 435,000 | 48.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mongolia | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

These quarterly estimates of the employed population adjusted to include the employed persons who were temporarily absent from their households are illustrated in Graph 7.
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## Industrial Attachment

6.5 The industrial attachment of the currently employed population classified by major industry tabulation category is given in Table 41 . Of the total employed population an estimated 402,700 persons or $46.7 \%$ comprising 216,500 males and 186,200 females had worked in the agriculture and livestock production category. The wholesale and retail trade sector had grown to become the $2^{\text {nd }}$ largest sector providing employment to an estimated 98,100 or $11.4 \%$ of the total employed population. In terms of descending employment size, $7.3 \%$ had worked in education, $5.9 \%$ in transport, storage and communication and $6.0 \%$ in public administration. The manufacturing sector was still small and it accounted to only $5.4 \%$ of employment opportunities in total employment. The survey had estimated the total employed population in the mining and quarrying sector at 23,300 persons.

Table 41: Currently employed population classified by main industry tabulation categories of main occupation

| Industry Tabulation Category | Both Sexes |  | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| A. Agriculture, Hunting and Forestry | 402,200 | 46.6 | 48.2 | 45.0 |
| B. Fishing | 500 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| C. Mining and Quarrying | 23,300 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
| D. Manufacturing | 46,200 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| E. Electricity, Gas and Water supply | 15,800 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| F. Construction | 17,500 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| G. Wholesale and Retail Trade | 98,100 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 13.3 |
| H. Hotels and Restaurants | 13,700 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| I. Transport, Storage and Communications | 51,000 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 3.2 |
| J. Financial Intermediation | 6,300 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| K. Real Estate, Renting and Business Activities | 10,100 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| L. Public Administration | 52,100 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 4.2 |
| M. Education | 63,300 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 10.4 |
| N. Health and Social Work | 38,000 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 7.1 |
| O. Other Community, Social Activities | 22,300 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| P. Private Households with Employed Persons | 900 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Q. Extra-Territorial Organizations | 1200 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All Industry Tabulation Categories | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ |

## Main Occupation of Currently Employed Population

6.6 The currently employed population classified by major occupation group and sex is shown in Table 42. According to these estimates there were an estimated 33,300 legislators, senior officials and managers that amounted to $3.9 \%$ of the total employed population. What is most significant in the occupational distribution is the fact that 1 out of 8 of the employed persons were professionals which group was estimated at 103,300. The majority of professionals were females numbering 66,000 . As expected the large majority of the employed population estimated at $392,000(45.4 \%)$ were engaged in skilled agricultural activities including livestock production. The sectoral share of this sector was kept at this level by the participation of an estimated 181,000 women in these activities.

Table 42: Currently employed population classified by major occupation group of the main occupation

| Major Occupation Group | Both Sexes |  | Male |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 1. Legislators, senior officials and managers | 33,300 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 2.6 |
| 2. Professionals | 103,300 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 16.1 |
| 3. Technicians and associate professionals | 40,600 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 6.0 |
| 4. Clerks | 17,600 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 3.1 |
| 5. Service workers | 97,600 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 14.9 |
| 6. Skilled agricultural workers | 392,000 | 45.4 | 47.0 | 43.8 |
| 7. Craft and related trade workers | 68,200 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 5.7 |
| 8. Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 56,400 | 6.5 | 11.6 | 1.1 |
| 9. Elementary occupations | 51,200 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 6.6 |
| 10. Other | 2,300 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| All major occupation groups | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ |

The service workers were estimated at $11.3 \%$ of the total employed population. In this sector, the majority of workers were females which number was estimated at 62,000 . There were an estimated 68,200 craft and related trade workers, and a further 56,400 plant and machinery operators, when taken together these two major groups constituted $14.0 \%$ of the employed population.

## Employment Status

6.7 Altogether 7 employment status categories were identified including 2 categories of paid employees namely, paid employee on contract and paid employees under civil law. Nearly 2 out of every 5 workers were from these two categories and when taken together numerically they amounted to 338000 employed persons comprising 165,800 male workers and 172,800 female employees (See Table 10 in Annex 1). As seen in Graph 8, of the total employed, only 3.1\% were paid employees under civil law. More than one third of the work force was own account workers and a further 1 out of 4 employed were unpaid family workers and these two employment status categories accounted for nearly $60.0 \%$ of the total employed population. An estimated 131,900 or $31.0 \%$ of the employed females had been working as unpaid family workers. Another striking feature of the employment status distribution was the small proportion of employers that amounted to 0.5 percent. It is indicative of the low numerical magnitude and pattern of dispersal of small and medium scale businesses in the country.

Graph 8

## Employment status of the currently employed population in their main occupation



## Sector of Employment

6.8 The break down of employed population by sector of employment, region and urban and rural sector provided in Table 43 shows that $56.1 \%(484,000)$ were self-employed and about $10 \%$ had worked in limited liability companies. One out of $5(170,000)$ had worked in government enterprises. In the urban sector, as many as 3 out of 10 employees worked for government enterprises and this proportion was low as 1 out of 10 employees in the rural sector. In the rural sector, $80 \%$ of the employed persons were self-employed. In Ulaanbaatar, nearly one third of the employed persons had worked in government enterprises while another 3 out of 10 employed persons had worked in limited liability companies.

Table 43: Currently employed population aged 15 years and over by sector of employment and region

| Sector of employment cross | Mongolia |  | Sector/ Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Urban | Rural | Central | East | West | Hangai | UB |
|  | Number | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private enterprise | 33,100 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 1.2 |
| Partnership | 2,600 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Cooperative | 4,500 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Joint state and private company | 39,000 | 4.5 | 8.6 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 8.4 |
| Limited liability company | 84,000 | 9.7 | 19.4 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 28.8 |
| State industry | 32,200 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| Government enterprise | 170,000 | 19.7 | 30.5 | 10.7 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 31.2 |
| Non-profit organization | 13,100 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.3 |
| Self-employed | 484,000 | 56.1 | 27.4 | 80.0 | 55.6 | 67.9 | 78.1 | 68.1 | 22.3 |
| Total (\%) |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 862,500 |  | 392,300 | 470,200 | 167,300 | 68,300 | 175,600 | 233,300 | 218,000 |

## Occupational Distribution of Employment by Industrial Sector

6.9 The currently employed population grouped by industry tabulation categories crossclassified by occupation group is presented in Table 44. The composition of the industry sector in terms of the number employed in each major occupation group is given therein. According to the break down provided for the agriculture, hunting and forestry sector only $0.8 \%$ of professionals had worked in the sector although the sector is as large as $46.6 \%$ of total employment. The table also shows that more than 1 out of 4 senior officials and managers, $11.6 \%$ of professionals and $24.6 \%$ of technicians had worked in the public administration sector. More than 1 out of 3 professionals and 1 out of 8 technicians had worked in the education sector. The table is useful as an industry-occupation matrix which provides the current deployment or utilization pattern of manpower in industry tabulation categories.

Table 44: Population currently employed by main economic activity tabulation categories cross classified with major groups of primary occupations

|  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Legislat } \\ & \text { ors } \end{aligned}$ | Professiona 1s | Technici ans | Clerks | Service workers | Sk. Agri. workers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Craft } \\ \text { Trade. } \\ \text { workers } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plant. } \\ \text { Mach. } \\ \text { Operator } \end{gathered}$ | Element ary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, hunting ,forestry | 402,200 | 46.6 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 98.9 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 15.9 |
| Fishing | 500 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Mining, Quarrying | 23,300 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 16 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| Manufacturing | 46,200 | 5.4 | 10.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 40.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 15,800 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 8.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 |
| Construction | 17,500 | 2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 14.4 | 3.3 | 2.3 |
| Wholesale, Retail trade | 98,100 | 11.4 | 19.4 | 4.2 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 61.7 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 25.6 |
| Hotel, ,Restaurant Transport, | 13,700 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.6 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.7 |
| Communication | 51,000 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 22.8 | 3.1 | 0 | 4 | 50.8 | 8.3 |
| Financial | 6,300 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Renting, Business | 10,100 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Public Administration | 52,100 | 6 | 26.8 | 11.6 | 24.6 | 18.1 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 3 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Education | 63,300 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 37.9 | 15.9 | 10.7 | 4.7 | 0 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 10.2 |


| Health, Social Work | 38,000 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 18 | 23.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0 | 1 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Community | 22,300 | 2.6 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 0 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 5.9 |
| Private Households | 900 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Extra territorial organizations | 1,200 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total (\%) | I | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 862,500 |  | 33,300 | 103,300 | 40,600 | 17,600 | 97,600 | 392,000 | 68,200 | 56,400 | 53,500 |

## Secondary employment

6.10 The survey also collected information on persons who were engaged in a secondary occupation in addition to their primary occupation. The total number of persons who were engaged in such secondary occupations was estimated as 23,900 . Thus only a small percentage of $3.0 \%$ of the employed population had worked in a secondary occupation. This is consistent with the rather long hours that the working population had worked in their primary jobs. Highly seasonal weather conditions with severe winters could have had a bearing on the labour demand under the current occupational profile and industrial structure that restricted work in a second job. One out of 2 persons who had a second job were those who had worked in service and skilled agricultural and animal husbandry occupations. About 2,200 persons had worked as professionals on a second job while a further 1,000 persons had worked in a second occupation which is grouped under legislators, senior officials and managers.

Table 45: Employed population classified by major occupation group of the secondary occupation

| Major Occupation Group | Both Sexes |  | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 1. Legislators, senior officials and managers | 1,000 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 |
| 2. Professionals | 2,200 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 11.7 |
| 3. Technicians and associate professionals | 900 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| 4. Clerks | 300 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 5. Service workers | 4,500 | 18.8 | 13.6 | 26.9 |
| 6. Skilled agricultural workers | 7,200 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 30.7 |
| 7. Craft and related trade workers | 3,800 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 14.5 |
| 8. Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2,700 | 11.5 | 17.5 | 2.0 |
| 9. Elementary Occupations | 1,200 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 4.7 |
| 10. Other | 100 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| All Major Occupation Groups | $\mathbf{2 3 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## Underemployed Population

6.11 The resolution concerning statistics on the economically active population adopted at the ILO Thirteenth International Conference of Labour Statisticians, had described that "underemployment exists when a person's employment is inadequate in relation to specified norms or alternative employment, account being taken of his or her occupational skill (training and work experience). Two principal forms of underemployment may be distinguished: visible and invisible."
6.12 Visible underemployment is primarily a statistical concept directly measurable by labour force and other surveys, reflecting an insufficiency in the volume of employment. Invisible underemployment is primarily an analytical concept reflecting a misallocation of labour resources or a fundamental imbalance between labour and other factors of production. "For
operational reasons, the statistical measurement of underemployment may be limited to visible underemployment"
6.13 According to the ILO definition of visible underemployment, persons visibly underemployed comprise all persons in paid or self employment, whether at work or not at work, involuntarily working less than the normal duration of work determined for the activity, who were seeking or were available for additional work during the reference period.
6.14 In the measurement of visible underemployment there are two elements viz

- the number of persons visibly underemployed and
- the quantum of visible underemployment.
6.15 In order to obtain a comprehensive picture on the employment condition of the population, the survey collected information from the currently employed population on their availability for more work. This information was elicited from all persons aged 5 years and over who were currently employed. In order to estimate visible underemployment it is necessary to take account of the duration they had engaged themselves in work during the reference week include only those who had worked less than the minimum stipulated duration. Although the person may have sought additional work in spite of having worked long hours, such persons should not be included as the visible underemployed in any analysis as it would merely inflate the underemployed population. Their desire to seek additional work may have been guided by considerations such as, their low employment earnings in poorly remunerated low productivity tasks; and jobs where actual time spent on work is shorter than the duration accepted as working hours.
6.16 The survey had collected information on whether the respondents were available for more and if they had sought more work.
6.17 The employed population that reported that they were available for more work broken down by sex and sector is given in Table 46. The estimated total number of employed persons who were available for more work and accordingly underemployed based on the respondents own perceptions of their employment was estimated as 58,200 comprising 34,400 males and 23,800 females. Thus, the number who considered themselves to be underemployed was rather low. The proportions that sought additional work when related to the total number of employed amounted to only $7.7 \%$ and $5.8 \%$ in respect of both sexes, males and females. It should be noted that these estimates of underemployed are inclusive of those who had worked for more than say 40 hours in the reference week who may have been excluded if such a norm had been exceeded.

Table 46: Sectoral and regional distribution of the underemployed Population

| Sector/Region | Both Sexes |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
| Urban | 20,800 | 35.7 | 11,800 | 34.3 | 9,000 | 37.9 |
| Rural | 37,400 | 64.3 | 22,600 | 65.7 | 14,800 | 62.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 21,700 | 37.3 | 13,100 | 38.2 | 8,600 | 35.9 |
| East | 13,000 | 22.4 | 8,000 | 23.3 | 5,000 | 21.2 |
| West | 12,800 | 21.9 | 7,600 | 22.0 | 5,200 | 21.7 |
| Khangai | 3,300 | 5.7 | 1,900 | 5.6 | 1,400 | 5.9 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 7,400 | 12.7 | 3,800 | 10.9 | 3,600 | 15.3 |
| Mongolia | $\mathbf{5 8 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

6.18 Table 47 presents the employed population available for more work classified by employment status. It is observed that a total of 18,300 persons or $31.4 \%$ of the underemployed were paid employees on contract, employers and cooperative members. Further, the selfemployed and the unpaid family workers when taken together accounted for more than 2 out of 3 of all employed that sought additional work.

| Employment Status Category | Both Sexes |  | Male | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Female } \\ \hline \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | \% |  |
| 1. Paid employee on contract | 16,300 | 28.0 | 23.7 | 34.3 |
| 2. Paid employee under civil law | 1,500 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 3. Employer | 400 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 4. Member of cooperative | 100 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 6. Own account worker | 23,200 | 39.9 | 48.6 | 27.2 |
| 7. Unpaid family worker | 16,600 | 28.6 | 23.5 | 36.0 |
| 8. Other | 100 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| All Employment Status Categories | 58,200 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

6.19 As stated earlier, employed persons who sought additional work despite the fact they had worked for more than 40 hours could be those in receipt of low employment incomes and persons attempting to work additional hours to enhance their incomes. In order to derive an estimate taking the duration of work of the underemployed in to account, those who have worked for more than 40 hours in the reference week will have to be excluded. The reasons for not seeking additional work, was sought from the employed population who were not available for more work. It enables the population working less than 40 hours to be sub-divided into two groups with those who sought additional work and those who did not seek additional work.

## Chapter 7

## Unemployed Population

7.1 The international standard definition for unemployment developed by ILO was based on 3 criteria, namely: without work; currently available for work; and seeking work. In developing countries where the labour markets are not fully organized and where there are discouraged workers, the seeking work criterion is often not applied. The unemployed for the purpose of the LFS was defined as persons who were in the labour force who did not work or had no job or business during the reference week, but were reported available, and actively looking for work. Also considered as unemployed were persons without a job or business who were reported as available for work, but were not looking for work because of their belief that no work was available or because of temporary illness/disability, bad weather, pending job application or waiting for job interview.
7.2 The unemployment rates disclosed in the LFS 2002-2003 cannot be strictly compared with the estimates derived from previous statistical surveys. The definitions used in LFS 20022003 for measuring employment and unemployment that conform to international standards recommended by ILO are not strictly comparable to those used in the earlier inquiries. For instance the Census of Population 2000 defined unemployed as "persons who did not work during the past seven days were not temporarily absent from a job and were looking for work at the time of the census". Census of Population 2000 estimated the total unemployed population at 164,900 . The definition used in this survey that was described earlier is less restrictive allowing the inclusion of even discouraged workers who were available for work but did not actively seek employment because they thought no work was available, than the definition adopted in the Census of Population. The annual employment survey and monthly records define work age and work capable people, available and in active search of work registered with employment registered offices as unemployed in accordance with Resolution jointly issued by the NSO Chairman and SWL Minister dated on October 2002. By the end of 2003 the unemployed registered with the employment offices is 33,300 . This number is very restrictive to only registered unemployed.

## Unemployed Population

7.3 In terms of the current activity status based on the reference period of last 7 days before the survey, the total number of currently unemployed was estimated as 142,300 comprising 74,600 males and 67,700 females. The unemployment rate was reported as $14.2 \%$ with $14.2 \%$ for males and $14.1 \%$ for females. The unemployment rates among males were marginally higher in the urban sector but they were lower than that of females in the rural sector.

## Sectoral Distribution

7.4 The unemployed in the urban sector was higher with over $60 \%$ of the total unemployed population. The unemployment among males was even more concentrated in the urban sector with nearly 2 out of 3 unemployed being located within the sector. The regional distribution of unemployed is also skewed with more than 1 out of 4 unemployed being located in the Central Region while the Eastern Region had only about $10.8 \%$ of the total unemployed in the country. Ulaanbaatar had over 31,200 unemployed or $22.0 \%$ of total unemployed in the country. The male and female shares of unemployed in the regions have been close.

Table 48: Sectoral and Regional Distribution of the unemployed population by sex

| Sector/Region | Unemployed Population |  |  |  |  |  | Unemployment Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both Sexes |  | Males |  | Females |  | Both sexes | Male | Female |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Urban | 90,300 | 63.5 | 47,900 | 64.2 | 42,400 | 62.7 | 18.7 | 19.3 | 18.1 |
| Rural | 52,000 | 36.5 | 26,700 | 35.8 | 25,300 | 37.3 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.3 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 37,300 | 26.2 | 20,700 | 27.8 | 16,600 | 24.5 | 18.2 | 19.3 | 17.1 |
| East | 15,400 | 10.8 | 8,100 | 10.8 | 7,300 | 10.8 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 18.6 |
| West | 21,200 | 14.9 | 10,500 | 14.0 | 10,700 | 15.9 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 11.5 |
| Khangai | 37,200 | 26.1 | 18,200 | 24.4 | 19,000 | 28.0 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 14.6 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 31,200 | 22.0 | 17,100 | 23.0 | 14,100 | 20.8 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 11.6 |
| Mongolia | 142,300 | 100.0 | 74,600 | 100.0 | 67,700 | 100.0 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.1 |

## Unemployment Rates

7.5 The unemployment rate had declined from $18.0 \%$ in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to $15.0 \%$ in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter, then to $13.2 \%$ in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter and finally to $10.7 \%$ in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. The seasonal variations in the demand for labour had mainly contributed to the reported changes in the unemployment levels.

Graph 9


Graph 9 presents the changes in labour force indicators over the 4 quarters of the survey from October 2002 to September 2003. The unemployment rates for males and females by quarters have been close.

Table 49: The number of unemployed persons and unemployment rate by sectors, regions and sex

| Region | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed person |  |  |  |
| Total | 142,300 | 74,600 | 67,700 |
| Urban | 90,300 | 47,900 | 42,400 |
| Rural | 52,000 | 26,700 | 25,300 |
| Unemployement rate, \% |  |  |  |
| Total | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.1 |
| Urban | 18.7 | 19.3 | 18.1 |
| Rural | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.3 |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.0 | 17.8 | 18.2 |
| Urban | 22.0 | 23.1 | 20.7 |
| Rural | 14.0 | 12.6 | 15.6 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter |  |  |  |
| Total | 15.0 | 15.4 | 14.5 |
| Urban | 19.4 | 20.9 | 18.0 |
| Rural | 10.8 | 10.6 | 11.1 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter 10.6 |  |  |  |
| Total | 13.2 | 13.5 | 12.9 |
| Urban | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.2 |
| Rural | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.1 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter |  |  |  |
| Total | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.9 |
| Urban | 15.1 | 14.8 | 15.4 |
| Rural | 6.9 | 6.8 | 7.0 |

## Age Distribution of Unemployed

7.6 The distribution of unemployed by age, presented in Table 50 shows that $57.3 \%$ of the unemployed were in the age groups of 15-34 years. The percentage of unemployed in the age groups between 35-49 years had amounted to $35.9 \%$ of the unemployed population. As much as $18.4 \%$ of all unemployed both males and females were concentrated in the age group of 20-24 years. Number of unemployed declines thereinafter and reaches 12,100 in the age group 45-49 years. Thus, it is seen that unemployment is a phenomenon that afflicts primarily persons in youth age groups particularly those who were new entrants to the workforce.
7.7 There is a broad similarity in the age distributions of unemployed males and females. The incidence of teenage unemployment was higher than other age groups. The unemployment rate of $13.1 \%$ reported for teenage females aged 15-17 years increases to $25.3 \%$ in respect of females aged 18-19 years. It declines to $21.2 \%$ for females aged $20-24$ years. Unemployment rates of males increase steadily in the age groups between 15 years to 24 years and decline thereafter. Involuntary worklessness had affected males in working age groups and it is important to note that males in the age group 25-54 years had unemployment rates as high as $13 \%$ to $15.0 \%$.

Table 50: Age Distribution of Unemployed

| Age group | Both sexes |  | Male \% | Female \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% |  |  |
| 15-17 | 4,400 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| 18-19 | 9,300 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
| 20-24 | 26,200 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 17.9 |
| 25-29 | 21,400 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.1 |


| 30-34 | 20,400 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 15.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $35-39$ | 22,300 | 15.7 | 13.0 | 18.7 |
| $40-44$ | 17,000 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| $45-49$ | 12,100 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 7.3 |
| $50-54$ | 5,600 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 2.8 |
| $55-59$ | 2,000 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| $60-64$ | 1,200 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| $65-69$ | 300 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| $70+$ | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All age groups |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Number | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 3 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 7 0 0}$ |

Graph 10
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## Duration of Unemployment

7.8 Table 51 shows that the majority of unemployed persons both males and females had been unemployed only for brief periods with nearly 3 out of 5 unemployed persons having been unemployed for a short duration of less than 1 month. A further, $8.0 \%$ or 1 out of 12 unemployed have been seeking work for periods ranging from 1 month to 1 year. It is also seen that 1 in 3 unemployed persons had been unemployed for periods in excess of one year with more than $22.8 \%$ of the unemployed having been in a state of workless-ness for periods in excess of 3 years. Thus it is seen that there is a hard core of unemployed numerically equivalent to 1 out of 5 unemployed who had failed to secure employment for durations longer than 3 years. There were no major differences in the unemployment duration of males and females. The proportion of males unemployed for periods in excess of 1 year are somewhat higher at $37.1 \%$ of total number of males unemployed, whereas in the case of females this percentage was lower at $32.9 \%$.

Table 51: Duration of unemployment by sector and sex

|  | Mongolia |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| Unemployed | sexes | $\%$ | sexes | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |
|  | $\%$ |  |  | $\%$ |  |  | $\%$ |  | $\%$ |


| <1 month | 56.9 | 54.7 | 59.3 | 51.5 | 48.8 | 54.6 | 66.2 | 65.2 | 67.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-3 month | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 4-6 month | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| 7-11 month | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 1-2 years | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| 3+ years | 22.8 | 24.6 | 20.8 | 24.7 | 27.2 | 21.9 | 19.4 | 19.9 | 18.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All durations \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Number | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 3 0 0}$ |

## Educational Level of the Unemployed

7.9 One in four unemployed persons have technical and vocational diploma level or over. Persons who had no education or only primary level education appear to accept any kind of work that is available and unemployment among them is rather low. Table 52 shows that about $17.7 \%$ of the unemployed were those with technical and vocational diploma level qualifications and with degrees from universities. It should be noted that 1 out of 12 unemployed males had a degree or a post graduate qualification and this percentage is higher among females rising to $10 \%$ of the total unemployed female population. At the other end of the spectrum, only $9 \%$ of the unemployed were those with no education or only a primary education. In the population aged 15 years and over the percentage of persons who had no education or only primary level education amounted to $21.7 \%$, a percentage that is twice the proportion recorded in the unemployed population (see Table 23).

Table 52: Unemployed population by the highest grade/level successfully completed

| Educational Level | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Both } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Male } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| None | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Primary | 6.4 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 10.4 | 9.0 |
| Incomplete secondary | 33.5 | 38.3 | 28.1 | 34.0 | 22.5 | 46.3 | 37.6 |
| Completed secondary | 31.6 | 28.3 | 35.3 | 32.4 | 39.4 | 21.0 | 28.4 |
| Initial technical/vocational diploma | 8.2 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| Technical/vocational diploma | 8.9 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 7.3 | 12.7 | 6.1 | 8.3 |
| University graduate | 8.8 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 13.4 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| All educational levels \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 142,300 | 74,600 | 67,700 | 47,900 | 42,400 | 26,700 | 25,300 |

## Occupational Preferences

7.10 The occupational preferences of the unemployed are of special significance as they do not match with the occupational profile of the employed population shown in Table 53. Only $4.1 \%$ of the unemployed had opted for skilled agricultural and animal husbandry occupations. The occupational profile of the employed population given in Table 42 shows that a percentage as high as $45 \%$ had been working as skilled agricultural workers and therefore the opportunities that arise through the withdrawal of workers as a result of retirements and deaths would primarily
emerge in these occupational categories. The better educational attainments of the new entrants to the labour force had shifted the occupational aspirations out of skilled agricultural occupations and elementary occupations into work as service workers, craft and related trade workers and plant and machine operators and assemblers. Thus, it is seen that there is already a mismatch between the available opportunities and expectations of the new entrants to the labour force. About $9.2 \%$ or 1 out of 11 unemployed had expectations of finding employment in professional level occupations and this percentage among females was higher and rises to $13 \%$. Nearly $19.3 \%$ of the unemployed have desires of securing work as service and sales workers and this proportion is higher among females where 1 out of 3 unemployed females seek such work. An examination of the occupational aspirations of the males shows that over $61.2 \%$ of the unemployed males have been aspiring to be craft and related trade workers, plant and machine operators and assemblers, but in the current profile the share of these two occupational groups amounted to less than $14.4 \%$ of the total employed population (See Table 42). This means that apart from the opportunities that accrue through withdrawals from the labour force through retirements and deaths in these occupations, they will have to be supplemented by new opportunities to meet the demand in these occupations. Although the new entrants to the labour force have better educational attainments, 1 out of 5 females are still willing to accept elementary occupations where no skills are required.

Table 53: Percentage distribution of occupations desired by unemployed persons by major occupation group

| Major Occupation Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Both sexes |  |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislators, senior officials and <br> managers | 1,100 | 0.8 | 800 | 1.1 | 300 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professionals | 13,100 | 9.2 | 4,300 | 5.8 | 8800 | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technicians and associate <br> professionals | 5,500 | 3.9 | 2,500 | 3.3 | 3000 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerks | 3,900 | 2.7 | 1,200 | 1.5 | 2700 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service and shop and market sales <br> workers | 27,500 | 19.3 | 6,800 | 9.0 | 20700 | 30.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| workers | 5,900 | 4.1 | 3,500 | 4.7 | 2400 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Craft and related trades workers | 36,100 | 25.4 | 20,900 | 28.1 | 15200 | 22.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant and machine operators and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| assemblers | 26,400 | 18.6 | 24,700 | 33.2 | 1700 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary occupations | 22,700 | 15.9 | 9,800 | 13.2 | 12900 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 100 | 0.1 | 100 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Major Occupation Groups | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Steps Taken to Find Work

7.11 The LFS also canvassed information from the unemployed on what steps they had taken to seek work during the 30 days preceding the survey. Of the total unemployed population of 142,300 only an estimated $62,600(44 \%)$ had reported that they had taken steps to seek work during the 30 days preceding the survey.

Table 54: Steps taken to find employment by unemployed persons

| Steps taken to find Employment | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Both } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Male $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Both } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Female \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Both } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Male \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Registered at Employment Office | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 16.4 |
| Responded to job advertisements | 22.4 | 21.9 | 23.0 | 29.8 | 28.7 | 31.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Applied to prospective employers | 8.2 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 15.7 | 14.8 | 16.7 |
| Checked at farms, factories, work sites etc | 23.0 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 23.9 | 23.5 | 24.3 |
| Sought help from friends or relatives | 30.3 | 31.1 | 29.3 | 28.7 | 29.7 | 27.5 | 34.4 | 34.9 | 33.7 |
| Looked for land and property to set up business | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.8 |
| Other | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| All methods used \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 62,600 | 34,500 | 28,100 | 44,800 | 25,100 | 19,700 | 17,800 | 9,400 | 8,400 |

Table 54 shows that the main method resorted to find work had been to seek help from friends or relatives and $30.3 \%$ had used this method. The proportion amounting to $22.4 \%$ had responded to job advertisements and $23.0 \%$ checked at farms, factories, worksites, etc. in their search for work. Less than 1 out of 12 unemployed persons who had used any method to seek work, had registered at the Employment Offices to find work. While only $9.5 \%$ of the unemployed in the urban sector who used any method to seek work had registered themselves at Employment Offices, a relatively higher percentage amounting to $17.6 \%$ of rural unemployed had registered themselves in these Employment Offices.

## Reasons for Not Seeking Work

7.12 The survey also collected information as to the main reason, which made them not to look for work during the 30 days preceding the survey. Of the total unemployed population as much as $55.9 \%$ of the unemployed had not been looking for work. Table 55 shows that the majority of those who did not look for work that amounted to $68.9 \%$ had not sought work as they thought that no work was available.

Graph 11
Main reasons for unemployed persons not seeking work during the last 30 days preceding the survey


In the rural sector this was the main reason given by more than $80.2 \%$ of the unemployed who did not look for work. In the urban sector despite the fact that there were Employment Registration Offices the same reason was given by $60.4 \%$ of the unemployed who did not look for work. The table also shows that there is a broad similarity in the perceptions of males and females on this issue. Nearly $7.0 \%$ of the persons had not looked for work because it was the off season, this percentage was higher at around $8.9 \%$ in urban areas whereas it was less than $4.6 \%$ in the rural sector. Household duties had been sighted as the main reason by $4.9 \%$ of those who did not look for work. This percentage was higher at $9.5 \%$ among females in the urban sector, whereas it was $4.0 \%$ among females in the rural sector.

Table 55: Main reasons for unemployed persons not seeking work during the 30 days preceding the survey by sector and sex

| Steps taken to find Employment | Mongolia |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Both } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Male } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Female | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Both } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Male } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Female \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Both } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Male } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Female |
| Thought no work available | 68.9 | 69.9 | 67.9 | 60.4 | 61.4 | 59.4 | 80.2 | 81.0 | 79.4 |
| Off season | 7.0 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 3.9 |
| Has no skills or training | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Household duties | 4.9 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 4.0 |
| Studies | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Not available | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Person below working age | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Retired | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Other | 10.9 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 8.1 |
| All methods used \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 79,600 | 40,000 | 39,600 | 45,400 | 22,700 | 22,700 | 34,200 | 17,300 | 16,900 |

## Chapter 8

## Usual Activity

## Introduction

8.1 In order to obtain a more complete picture of the labour supply and demand situation, the economic activity status of the population over a long reference period of 12 months based on the usually active population measurement concept recommended by the ILO was also adopted in the survey. Where agriculture, animal husbandry and informal sectors are large and where there is seasonality of employment the measurement of the activity status over a long reference period is particularly necessary to make an accurate assessment of the employment situation. According to the concept of usually active population used in conjunction with the reference period of the last 12 months, persons who had worked (employed) and or are available or are seeking work (unemployed) when taken together are more than 6 months then they are reckoned as economically active and if it is less than 6 months then the persons are treated as economically inactive. Usually active persons who had been employed for a longer period than the duration for which the person was unemployed in the last 12 months is accepted as employed, and if the person had worked less than the number of months he was unemployed is reckoned as unemployed.
8.2 There are certain distinguishing characteristics of usually economically active status. The measurements based on the usual status concept are for all practical purposes not affected by seasonality since it takes the entire one full year as the reference period. Whereas in the case of the current activity concept the employment and unemployment measures are affected by seasonality due to changes in the volume of economic activity in the different seasons. Another major difference arises due to the fact that some of the unemployed under the usually active concept include persons who had been unemployed for a longer duration though they may have worked and been employed sometime during the year. In the case of unemployed under the currently active concept, unemployed are persons who had not worked at all during the brief reference period used in the measurement. There is an important difference in the classification into economically active and economically inactive under the two concepts. Under the usually active concept first a decision is made as to whether the respondent was usually active or usually inactive considering the persons activities over the one year reference period. Only after the classification of the person as usually active or inactive, the person's status as employed or unemployed is decided upon taking the longer of the two durations of employment and unemployment status over the whole one year reference period as the activity status
8.3 The usually active population aged 15 years and over broken down by activity status is presented in Table 56 which shows that 941,500 persons comprising 492,200 males and 449,300 females were estimated as usually active. The usually active employed population was marginally lower at 856,600 than the estimated currently active population that was estimated as 862,500 by 5,900 . The unemployed population under the usually active concept was estimated at 84,900 which is substantially lower than the current status estimate of 142,300 . The lowering of the number unemployed under the usually active concept had raised the not usually active population to 597,800 . Thus it is evident that as many as 63,400 persons who were classified as unemployed under the labour force concept had been transferred into the usually inactive and other categories under the usually active population concept. The usually active population
concept had not been used in any survey conducted previously in Mongolia and there are no estimates against which these survey estimates can be compared.

Table 56: Usually active population aged 15 years and over by sector and sex

| Table 56: Usually active population aged 15 years and over by sector and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Both Sexes |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usually active | 941,500 | 61.2 | 492,200 | 65.5 | 449,300 | 57.0 |
| Employed | 856,600 | 55.7 | 446,800 | 59.5 | 409,800 | 52.0 |
| Unemployed | 84,900 | 5.5 | 45,400 | 6.0 | 39,500 | 5.0 |
| Not Currently Active | 597,800 | 38.8 | 258,700 | 34.5 | 339,100 | 43.0 |
| Population 15+ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 9 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 750,900 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 8 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usually active | 446,700 | 52.2 | 230,300 | 56.3 | 216,400 | 48.5 |
| $\quad$ Employed | 394,000 | 46.1 | 201,400 | 49.3 | 192,600 | 43.1 |
| $\quad$ Unemployed | 52,700 | 6.1 | 28,900 | 7.1 | 23,800 | 5.3 |
| Not Currently Active | 408,500 | 47.8 | 178,400 | 43.6 | 230,100 | 51.5 |
| Population 15+ | 855,200 | 100.0 | 408,700 | 100.0 | 446,500 | 100.0 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usually active | 494,800 | 72.3 | 261,900 | 76.5 | 232,900 | 68.1 |
| $\quad$ Employed | 462,600 | 67.6 | 245,400 | 71.7 | 217,200 | 63.5 |
| Unemployed | 32,200 | 4.7 | 16,500 | 4.8 | 15,700 | 4.6 |
| Not Currently Active | 189,300 | 27.7 | 80,300 | 23.5 | 109,000 | 31.9 |
| Population 15+ | 684,100 | 100.0 | 342,200 | 100.0 | 341,900 | 100.0 |

## Age Specific Participation Rates

8.4 The age specific participation rates of the usually active population aged 15 years and over by sex and sector are presented in Table 57. The age specific participation rates under the usually active population concept are lower than the participation rates under the current economically active or labour force concept. As already mentioned this is primarily the result of a lower estimate of unemployed population and a consequent increase of the not usually active population. Whereas the age specific participation rates under the current status in the age group 25-49 presented in Table 36 had reached or exceeded $85.0 \%$, age specific participation rates of the usually active population are lower by 5 percentage points or more.

Table 57: Age Specific participation rates of usually active population aged 15 years and over by sex and sector

| Age group | Mongolia |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.0 | 21.1 | 13.0 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 33.0 | 40.4 | 25.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $18-19$ | 38.6 | 43.3 | 33.4 | 19.4 | 22.2 | 16.6 | 62.1 | 68.1 | 55.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20-24$ | 58.6 | 63.6 | 53.7 | 40.1 | 45.6 | 34.8 | 80.3 | 83.8 | 76.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 77.9 | 82.0 | 74.0 | 67.7 | 73.9 | 62.1 | 88.1 | 89.6 | 86.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 81.7 | 85.9 | 77.8 | 76.8 | 82.1 | 72.2 | 87.5 | 90.1 | 84.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $35-39$ | 83.4 | 86.5 | 80.7 | 81.1 | 85.2 | 77.6 | 86.7 | 88.4 | 85.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40-44$ | 83.3 | 85.6 | 81.2 | 81.0 | 83.3 | 79.0 | 86.6 | 88.8 | 84.5 |
| $45-49$ | 81.2 | 85.0 | 77.4 | 77.6 | 82.1 | 73.2 | 85.7 | 88.8 | 82.7 |
| $50-54$ | 66.4 | 76.7 | 57.3 | 60.2 | 69.5 | 52.1 | 75.0 | 86.6 | 64.7 |
| $55-59$ | 50.8 | 68.0 | 35.2 | 40.8 | 58.4 | 26.5 | 64.6 | 79.6 | 48.8 |
| $60-64$ | 29.4 | 35.7 | 22.3 | 18.6 | 23.1 | 13.2 | 45.0 | 54.7 | 34.7 |
| $64-69$ | 22.4 | 29.0 | 16.3 | 10.4 | 17.5 | 4.5 | 35.3 | 40.2 | 30.4 |
| $70+$ | 9.0 | 11.1 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 17.8 | 20.1 | 15.5 |
| All age groups | $\mathbf{6 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 1}$ |

## Industrial Distribution

8.5 The industrial distribution of the usually active employed population aged 15 years and over by sex and sector is shown in Table 58.

Table 58: Usually active employed population aged 15 years and over classified by industry tabulation categories of main occupation

| Industry Tabulation Category | Both Sexes |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
| A. Agriculture, Hunting and Forestry | 396,400 | 46.3 | 213,700 | 47.8 | 182,700 | 44.6 |
| B. Fishing | 400 | 0.1 | 200 | 0.1 | 200 | 0.0 |
| C. Mining and Quarrying | 21,200 | 2.5 | 15,900 | 3.5 | 5,300 | 1.3 |
| D. Manufacturing | 47,400 | 5.5 | 21,200 | 4.7 | 26,200 | 6.4 |
| E. Electricity, Gas and Water Supply | 16,200 | 1.9 | 11,800 | 2.6 | 4,400 | 1.1 |
| F. Construction | 18,600 | 2.2 | 13,700 | 3.1 | 4,900 | 1.2 |
| G. Wholesale and Retail Trade | 96,900 | 11.3 | 42,400 | 9.5 | 54,500 | 13.3 |
| H. Hotels and Restaurants | 13,500 | 1.6 | 4,900 | 1.1 | 8,600 | 2.1 |
| I. Transport, Storage and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Communications | 50,800 | 5.9 | 37,200 | 8.3 | 13,600 | 3.4 |
| J. Financial Intermediation | 6,300 | 0.7 | 2,900 | 0.7 | 3,400 | 0.8 |
| K. Real Estate, Renting and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Business Activities | 9,600 | 1.1 | 5,800 | 1.3 | 3,800 | 0.9 |
| L. Public Administration | 53,700 | 6.3 | 36,200 | 8.1 | 17,500 | 4.3 |
| M. Education | 63,500 | 7.4 | 20,400 | 4.6 | 43,100 | 10.5 |
| N. Health and Social Work | 38,100 | 4.4 | 8,900 | 2.0 | 29,200 | 7.1 |
| O. Other Community, Social, ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Activities | 22,000 | 2.6 | 10,800 | 2.4 | 11,200 | 2.7 |
| P. Private Households with |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Employed Persons | 1,000 | 0.1 | 300 | 0.1 | 700 | 0.2 |
| Q. Extra-Territorial Organizations | 1,000 | 0.1 | 500 | 0.1 | 500 | 0.1 |
| $\quad$ All tabulation categories | $\mathbf{8 5 6 , 6 0 0}$ | 100.0 | $\mathbf{4 4 6 , 8 0 0}$ | 100.0 | $\mathbf{4 0 9 , 8 0 0}$ | 100.0 |

The industrial distribution of the usually active population shows that nearly one out of two male workers and more than two out of five female workers are engaged in agriculture and animal husbandry activities. The next largest industrial sector was the wholesale and retail trade which had employed $11.3 \%$ of the total employed population comprising 42,400 males and 54,500 females. Education, health and social work categories when taken together had contributed to 123,600 or $14.4 \%$ of total employment opportunities. Here females had dominated these three sectors with 83,500 females as against 40,100 males working in these sectors. It is seen that public administration, defence and compulsory social security had provided employment to 53,700 or $6.3 \%$ of the total employed population. In this sector 36,200 males and 17,500 females had been reported. A comparison with the industrial distribution of the employed population under the currently active status approach shows the numerical magnitudes and relative shares are broadly the same except for modest increases in the number employed in the agriculture,
hunting and forestry and mining and quarrying categories under the usually active population concept.

## Occupational Distribution

8.6 The occupational distribution of the employed population under the usual activity status for the main occupation broken down by sex and sector is given in Table 59. Comparison with the corresponding Table 42 for current activity status shows that there are no major differences in the occupational distribution of the employed population under the usual activity status and labour force concept.

Table 59: Usually active employed population aged 15 years and over classified by major occupation group

| Major Occupation Group | Both Sexes |  | Males |  | Females |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |  |
| Legislators, senior officials | 33,100 | 3.9 | 22,700 | 5.1 | 10,400 | 2.5 |  |
| and managers | 103,100 | 12.0 | 36,800 | 8.2 | 66,300 | 16.2 |  |
| Professionals | 40,300 | 4.7 | 15,700 | 3.5 | 24,600 | 6.0 |  |
| Technicians and associate | 17,500 | 2.0 | 4,800 | 1.1 | 12,700 | 3.1 |  |
| professionals <br> Clerks | 100,000 | 11.7 | 38,100 | 8.5 | 61,900 | 15.1 |  |
| Service and shop and market <br> sales workers | 385,300 | 45.0 | 207,800 | 46.5 | 177,500 | 43.3 |  |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| workers | 67,900 | 7.9 | 44,300 | 9.9 | 23,600 | 5.8 |  |
| Craft and related trade | 57,600 | 6.7 | 52,700 | 11.8 | 4,900 | 1.2 |  |
| workers | 51,800 | 6.1 | 23,900 | 5.4 | 27,900 | 6.8 |  |
| Plant and machinery operators | $\mathbf{8 5 6 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| and assemblers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary occupations | All major occupation groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Unemployed

8.7 The unemployed number and unemployed rates are lower under the usually active concept when compared with the rates reported under the currently active concept. Under the usually active concept a person to qualify to be treated as unemployed should be unemployed for a longer duration than the period for which the person was employed, and also the period for which the person was economically inactive and should also be less than 6 months. These two qualifications generally lower the unemployed number and rates, especially in situations where the unorganized sectors are large and work availability and intensity varies over the seasons. In this situation where any casual employment undertaken intermittently is added together usually results in raising the duration employed. Similarly, the economically inactive duration is also raised due to major changes in work availability due to seasonality.

| Table 60: Age specific unemployment rates of usually active population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | Unemployment rate |  |  |
| Age group | Both sex | Male | Female |
| 15-17 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 10.1 |
| 18-19 | 18.1 | 17.1 | 19.4 |
| 20-24 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 13.2 |
| 25-29 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.6 |


| $30-34$ | 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35-39$ | 8.7 | 7.9 | 9.4 |
| $40-44$ | 7.8 | 8.4 | 7.3 |
| $45-49$ | 7.9 | 9.8 | 5.9 |
| $50-54$ | 6.7 | 7.7 | 5.6 |
| $55-59$ | 3.9 | 3.2 | 5.2 |
| $60-64$ | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.1 |
| $64-69$ | 1.3 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| All age groups | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |

8.8 Age specific unemployment rate of both sexes for the age group 18-19 years, 20-24 years and $25-29$ years were estimated as $18.1 \%, 13.8 \%$ and $8.4 \%$ for the usually active population whereas these rates were $6.5 \%, 18.4 \%$ and $15.0 \%$ (See Table 50) respectively under the currently active population.

## Relationship between Current and Usual Activity Status

8.9 The relationship between current and usual activity status of the population is shown in Table 61. The majority of persons had retained the same status under both statuses. For example, of the estimated employed population of 862,500 under the currently active concept, Table 61 shows that 840,700 persons were employed under the usual activity status, 5,200 were unemployed and a further 16,600 were classified as inactive. Of the unemployed population of 142,300 persons estimated under the short reference of 1 week based on the current activity status, only $73,200(51.4 \%)$ were unemployed under the usually active population concept and 58,400 or $41.0 \%$ were enumerated as inactive and 10,700 persons were enumerated as employed. The classification of 58,400 persons as usually inactive had caused the unemployed number and rate to decline substantially under the usual activity status. Table 61 also shows that out of the estimated usually inactive population numbering 597,700 , some 75,000 persons had been transferred to the current activity status under the labour force concept. This is the main reason for the decrease in the dimensions of the usually inactive population.

Table 61: Relationship between current and usual activity status of the population aged 15 years and over

| Currently Active | Total | Usually active population |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population |  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently active | $1,004,800$ | 929,800 | 851,400 | 78,400 | 75,000 |
| Employed | 862,500 | 845,900 | 840,700 | 5,200 | 16,600 |
| Unemployed | 142,300 | 83,900 | 10,700 | 73,200 | 58,400 |
| Not Currently Active | 534,400 | 11,700 | 5,200 | 6,500 | 522,700 |
| All Statuses | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 9 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 1 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 6 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 , 9 0 0}$ | $5 \mathbf{9 7 , 7 0 0}$ |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently active | 523,500 | 486,800 | 444,600 | 42,200 | 36,700 |
| $\quad$ Employed | 448,900 | 441,500 | 438,300 | 3,200 | 7,400 |
| $\quad$ Unemployed | 74,600 | 45,300 | 6,300 | 39,000 | 29,300 |
| Not Currently Active | 227,400 | 5,400 | 2,200 | 3,200 | 222,000 |
| All Statuses | 750,900 | $\mathbf{4 9 2 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8 , 7 0 0}$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently active | 481,300 | 443,000 | 406,800 | 36,200 | 38,300 |
| $\quad$ Employed | 413,600 | 404,400 | 402,400 | 2,000 | 9,200 |
| Unemployed | 67,700 | 38,600 | 4,400 | 34,200 | 29,100 |


| Not Currently Active | 307,000 | 6,300 | 3,000 | $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 0}$ | 300,700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Statuses | $\mathbf{7 8 8 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 9 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 9 , 0 0 0}$ |

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CURRENT AND USUAL ACTIVITY STATUSES OF THE POPULATION AGED 15 YEARS AND OVER


Child Labour
8.10 As a child activities or labour module was included in the survey, information was canvassed from all persons aged 5 or more years to ascertain whether they were employed or available for work for most of the year during the last 12 months preceding the survey. Unlike in the case of the currently active population where any duration in excess of one hour during the reference week would qualify a child to be considered as employed, the usually active status approach requires that the person should be employed or unemployed for a duration exceeding 6 months to be treated as usually active. Accordingly, the employment and unemployment rates under the usually active concept for children of school going age were lower than the rates disclosed in the currently active population.

Table 62: Activity status of children aged 5-17 years based on currently active and usually active concepts

|  |  | Of which: |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group | Total | Economically <br> Active | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive |
| Currently active |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 5 , 5 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 212,100 | 15,300 | 15,300 | - | 196,800 |
| $10-14$ | 294,900 | 21,900 | 21,400 | 500 | 273,000 |
| $15-17$ | 172,000 | 36,300 | 31,900 | 4,400 | 135,700 |
| Male | $\mathbf{3 4 7 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 3 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 109,200 | 9,000 | 9,000 | - | 100,200 |
| $10-14$ | 152,000 | 14,000 | 13,800 | 200 | 138,000 |
| $15-17$ | 86,400 | 21,600 | 19,100 | 2,500 | 64,800 |
| Female | $\mathbf{3 3 1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2 , 5 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 102,900 | 6,300 | 6,300 | - | 96,600 |
| $10-14$ | 142,900 | 7,900 | 7,600 | 300 | 135,000 |
| $15-17$ | 85,600 | 14,700 | 12,800 | 1,900 | 70,900 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{U s u a l l y}$ active |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 7 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 212,100 | 9,100 | 9,000 | 100 | 203,000 |
| $10-14$ | 294,900 | 13,600 | 13,300 | 300 | 281,300 |
| $15-17$ | 172,000 | 29,300 | 26,700 | 2,600 | 142,700 |
| Male | $\mathbf{3 4 7 , 6 0 0}$ | 32,700 | $\mathbf{3 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 4 , 9 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 109,200 | 5,600 | 5,500 | 100 | 103,600 |
| $10-14$ | 152,000 | 8,900 | 8,800 | 100 | 143,100 |
| $15-17$ | 86,400 | 18,200 | 16,700 | 1,500 | 68,200 |
| Female | $\mathbf{3 3 1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2 , 1 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 102,900 | 3,500 | 3,500 | - | 99,400 |
| $10-14$ | 142,900 | 4,700 | 4,500 | 200 | 138,200 |
| $15-17$ | 85,600 | 11,100 | 10,000 | 1,100 | 74,500 |

## Chapter 9

## Informal Sector Employment

## Introduction

9.1 The informal sector activities are known to account for a significant proportion of employment and income generation in Mongolia. In view of the special interest of this sector in employment generation in the short term, a brief analysis based on the data canvassed in the survey is provided in this section. It must be mentioned in this connection that the survey did not specifically focus on this issue at the stage when the survey questionnaire was designed, and therefore only some selected aspects can be studied from the data compiled through the survey.
9.2 According to the concept developed and adopted as a resolution at the ILO Fifteenth International Conference of Labour Statisticians (January 1993), the informal sector can be broadly characterized as consisting of units engaged in the production of goods and services with the primary objective of generating employment and income to the persons concerned. Informal sector units typically operate at a low level of organization with little or no division between labour and capital as factors of production and on a small scale. Production units of the informal sector have the characteristic features of household enterprises.

## Concept

9.3 For statistical purposes, "the informal sector is regarded as a group of production units which, according to the definitions and classifications provided in the United Nations System of National Accounts (REV.4), form part of the household sector as household enterprises or, equivalently, unincorporated enterprises owned by households". Within the household sector informal sector comprises (i) informal own account enterprises and (ii) enterprises of informal employers.
9.4 Informal own-account enterprises are household enterprises owned and operated by ownaccount workers, either alone or in partnership with members of the same or other households, which may employ contributing family workers and employees on an occasional basis, but do not employ employees on a continuous basis.
9.5 Enterprises of informal employers are household enterprises owned and operated by employers either alone or in partnership with members of the same or other households, which employ one or more employees on a continuous basis. For operational purposes enterprises of informal employers may be defined, depending on national circumstances in terms of:
(i) size of the unit below specified level of employment and, or
(ii) non-registration of the enterprise or its employees
9.6 Household enterprises which are exclusively engaged in non-market production i.e. the production of goods or services for own final consumption or own capital formation should be excluded from the scope of the informal sector for the purpose of statistics of employment in the informal sector. For practical reasons, the scope of the informal sector may be limited to household enterprises engaged in non-agricultural activities. Units engaged in professional and technical activities carried out by self-employed persons, such as doctors, lawyers or accountants,
or engineers should be included in the informal sector if they fall within the scope of the definition described earlier.
9.7 The ILO has recommended the integration of the collection of data on the informal sector into the regular national statistical system. The need to compile data at regular intervals is emphasized in order to monitor the changes in the size and characteristics of the informal sector over time. The labour force surveys of the economically active populations and similar household surveys provide useful and economical methods of collecting data on employment in the informal sector in terms of the number and characteristics of the persons concerned and the conditions of their employment and work. This data from household surveys will have to be supplemented with data from the establishments or economic censuses or surveys which collect data on employment, on production and other characteristics of informal sector units and their employers.

## Exclusion of Agricultural Employment

9.8 As it is difficult to identify and define informal sector activities in the agricultural sector in developing countries, the ILO has excluded the agricultural sector and the scope of the informal sector is limited to non-agricultural activities. Although the agricultural sector is large in Mongolia where animal husbandry and agriculture activities account for $46.6 \%$ of total employment and these activities for all practical purposes are operated as household based small scale activities, they therefore should be excluded from any assessment of the informal sector. Thus, it becomes necessary in terms of the ILO recommendations to separate non-agricultural employment from agricultural employment and thereafter divide non-agricultural employment into employment in informal sector activities and employment in the organized sector to estimate the dimensions and characteristics of the informal sector.

## Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Employment

9.9 The bifurcation into agricultural and non-agricultural employment that is essential as a preliminary step in the assessment of employment in the informal sector is presented in Table 63. Thus, 402,200 persons or $46.6 \%$ of the total employed population were engaged in agriculture and livestock production activities. These persons will not be considered in an assessment of the informal sector. But in view of the sheer size of the agriculture and animal husbandry sector and its importance in growth and employment, same details that were extracted for non-agriculture are presented for agricultural employment too, for the benefit of interested users.
9.10 The total volume of employment in non-agricultural activities amounts to 460,300 or $53.4 \%$ of total employment. Only about $20.0 \%$ of non-agricultural employment opportunities were located in the rural sector and that nearly 4 out of 5 persons employed in non-agricultural activities had resided in the urban sector. In other words, with $45.0 \%$ of total non-agricultural employment being located in, Ulaanbaatar city, absorbs a bigger share of employment in the informal sector.

Table 63: Currently employed agricultural and non-agricultural population aged 15 years and over by sector, region and sex

| Sector/Region | Mongolia |  |  |  | Agriculture |  | Non-Agriculture |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |
| Urban | 392,300 | 199,900 | 192,400 | 15,300 | 12,800 | 184,600 | 179,600 |  |
| Rural | 470,200 | 249,000 | 221,200 | 200,900 | 173,200 | 48,100 | 48,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 167,300 | 86,700 | 80,600 | 48,100 | 37,800 | 38,600 | 42,800 |  |


| East | 68,300 | 36,400 | 31,900 | 22,300 | 18,700 | 14,100 | 13,200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| West | 175,600 | 93,100 | 82,500 | 63,900 | 55,900 | 29,200 | 26,600 |
| Hangai | 233,300 | 122,000 | 111,300 | 80,600 | 71,700 | 41,400 | 39,600 |
| UB | 218,000 | 110,700 | 107,300 | 1,300 | 1,900 | 109,400 | 105,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 6 0 0}$ |
| Sector/Region | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Urban | 45.5 | 44.5 | 46.5 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 79.3 | 78.9 |
| Rural | 54.5 | 55.5 | 53.5 | 92.9 | 93.1 | 20.7 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 19.4 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 22.2 | 20.4 | 16.6 | 18.8 |
| East | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| West | 20.4 | 20.7 | 20.0 | 29.6 | 30.0 | 12.5 | 11.7 |
| Khangai | 27.0 | 27.2 | 26.9 | 37.3 | 38.5 | 17.8 | 17.4 |
| UB | 25.3 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 47.0 | 46.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

9.11 The age distribution of the agricultural and non-agricultural employment clearly shows that a higher proportion of younger workers were working in agricultural pursuits with only $34.2 \%-37.4 \%$ of workers being 35 years to 59 years old, whereas the corresponding proportions in the case of non-agricultural workers being closer to $60 \%$ in respect of both males and females. The participation of young workers in non-agricultural activities is relatively small with 5,300 males and 4,000 females in the age group 15-19 years or $2.3 \%$ and $1.8 \%$ of the corresponding total employed population being reported as engaged in work. Whereas, as many as 53,000 or $13.2 \%$ of employed persons in agriculture and livestock production activities were youth in the age group 15-19 years. The lower educational enrollment rates in the rural areas and the demand for labour and opportunities for work in family farms had raised the number of young workers who were engaged in economic activities.

## Enterprises by Number of Employees

9.12 Non-agricultural activities with no regular employees or 1-4 employees which are owned by neither state nor large private establishment may be included in the informal sector.

Table 64: Currently employed agricultural and non-agricultural population aged 15 years and over by age and sex

| Age group | Mongolia |  |  | Agriculture |  | Non-Agriculture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| 15-19 | 62,300 | 37,000 | 25,300 | 31,700 | 21,300 | 5,300 | 4,000 |
| 20-24 | 97,200 | 52,200 | 45,000 | 33,000 | 28,400 | 19,200 | 16,600 |
| 25-34 | 257,400 | 130,900 | 126,500 | 63,800 | 56,500 | 67,100 | 70,000 |
| 35-59 | 415,400 | 210,100 | 205,300 | 73,800 | 69,700 | 136,300 | 135,600 |
| 60+ | 30,200 | 18,700 | 11,500 | 13,900 | 10,100 | 4,800 | 1,400 |
| Total | 862,500 | 448,900 | 413,600 | 216,200 | 186,000 | 232,700 | 227,600 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 15-19 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
| 20-24 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 8.2 | 7.3 |
| 25-34 | 29.8 | 29.2 | 30.6 | 29.5 | 30.4 | 28.9 | 30.7 |
| 35-59 | 48.2 | 46.8 | 49.6 | 34.2 | 37.4 | 58.6 | 59.6 |
| 60+ | 3.5 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 0.6 |


| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.13 The survey collected information on the number of employees that worked in the establishment in which the respondent was employed, thus providing for a classification of the employed population by the number of employees in the enterprise. The currently employed population 15 years and over by sector of employment and number of employees in the enterprise is presented in Table 65.

Table 65: Currently employed population aged 15 years and over by sector of employment and number of employees in the enterprise

| Sector of employment | Total |  | Number of employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No regular employees |  | 1 to 4 paid employees |  | 5 to 9 paid employees |  | 10 or more paid employees |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Private enterprise | 33,100 | 3.8 | 22,600 | 4.6 | 6,600 | 12.7 | 1,800 | 5.3 | 2,100 | 0.7 |
| Partnership | 2,600 | 0.3 | 100 | 0.0 | 1,000 | 1.9 | 500 | 1.5 | 1,000 | 0.3 |
| Cooperative | 4,500 | 0.5 | 600 | 0.1 | 1,200 | 2.3 | 1,100 | 3.2 | 1,600 | 0.6 |
| Joint state and private company | 39,000 | 4.5 | 400 | 0.1 | 2,500 | 4.8 | 3,300 | 9.7 | 32,800 | 11.3 |
| Limited liability company | 84,000 | 9.7 | 700 | 0.1 | 10,100 | 19.4 | 10,600 | 31.3 | 62,600 | 21.6 |
| State industry | 32,200 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,300 | 2.5 | 1,200 | 3.5 | 29,700 | 10.2 |
| Government enterprise | 169,900 | 19.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 12,000 | 23.0 | 10,800 | 31.9 | 147,100 | 50.8 |
| Non-profit organization | 13,200 | 1.5 | 100 | 0.0 | 2,000 | 3.8 | 2,500 | 7.4 | 8,600 | 3.0 |
| Self-employed | 484,000 | 56.1 | 462,200 | 94.9 | 15,400 | 29.6 | 2,100 | 6.2 | 4,300 | 1.5 |
| All sectors of employment | 862,500 | 100.0 | 486,700 | 100.0 | 52,100 | 100.0 | 33,900 | 100.0 | 289,800 | 100.0 |

9.14 Only 33,900 persons had worked in enterprises that had 5-9 paid employees and 298,800 had worked enterprises that had 10 or more paid employees. Thus, the number of employed persons in enterprises that had 1 to 4 paid employees had amounted to 52,100 and that in enterprises which had no regular employees stood at 486,700 . The distribution of enterprises by number of employees is useful to decide on the cut off size to be used in the subdivision of enterprises in the different sectors into informal and formal sectors.
9.15 The self-employed constitute 484,000 or more than $56.1 \%$ of the total employed population. About $95.4 \%$ of the $(462,200)$ self-employed had worked in establishments without any regular employees. The number of employees in government enterprises, state industry and joint state and private companies when taken together amounts to 241,100 or $28.0 \%$ of the total employed population in the country. These categories of institutions and organizations had contained large establishments and 209,600 or $72.3 \%$ of the total number of employees in them had worked in establishments that had 10 or more employees. The private enterprise category had provided employment to 33,100 persons, and its percentage share was small and amounted to only $3.8 \%$ of total employed population.

## Definition of the Informal Sector

9.16 A government policy decision that defines and describes the informal sector has not been formulated for the statistical authorities to adopt in carrying out household and establishment based surveys for the collection and compilation of data on informal sector activities and on
employment in the informal sector. ILO also had not made any specific recommendations which could be used as guidelines by the national authorities to directly use in measuring the informal sector. Additionally this topic was not considered at the time the scope of the survey was determined, and interest was evinced on this topic only when work on the preparation of tabulations and survey report was commenced. Thus, only some specific aspects of employment in the informal sector can be examined at this stage. Therefore, it was necessary to develop a definition of the informal sector to meet the specific immediate needs and restricted purposes of studying the sector using the data available from the survey.
9.17 The survey had canvassed data on the sector of employment and size of the establishment in which the person worked, in addition to other details relating to industry, occupation, employment status, and place of residence of the household by urban, rural, and regional subdivisions. The sector of employment was sub-divided according to the classification of organization type used by the NSO ( private enterprise; partnership; cooperative; joint state and private company; limited liability company; state industry; government enterprise; non-profit organization and self employed) and it was decided to include private enterprise, partnerships and self-employed categories and exclude the other sub-divisions in the determination of the coverage of the informal sector. The cut-off point for the sub-division into formal and informal sectors was determined from the data on the size of establishment which was based on the number of employees that had worked in the establishment. The units that had no regular employees and those with 1-4 employees were accepted as falling within the informal sector and those that had 5 or more employees were treated as coming within the formal sector. . In the estimation of employment in the informal sector, employment in both primary occupation and secondary occupations were included.
9.18 According to the description of the sector as outlined above only employment in nonagricultural economic activities and non-agricultural enterprises, of those who were self employed, or in private enterprises and partnerships that had no paid employees or 1-4 employees were treated as employment that fell within the scope of the definition of the informal sector.

## Employment Estimate

9.19 Total employed population of 862,500 consisted of 402,200 persons employed in agricultural activities and 460,300 persons in non-agricultural employment (See Table 64). The population employed in agricultural activities was excluded in the estimation of the informal sector. The breakdown of employment by sector of employment presented in Table 65 shows that 484,000 were self-employed; 33,100 were employed in private enterprises; and 2,600 were employed in partnerships. From this total employed population in the 3 sectors that were covered, the employed population in agricultural sector was excluded and non-agricultural employed population was extracted which amounted to 125,100 (See Table 66).

Table 66: Currently employed population aged 15 years and over by sector of employment and number of employees in the enterprise

| Sector of employment | Total |  | Number of employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No regular employees |  | 1 to 4 Paid employees |  | 5 to 9 Paid employees |  | 10 or more paid employees |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | number | \% | number | \% | number | \% |
| Private enterprise | 14,400 | 100.0 | 5,100 | 35.5 | 5,500 | 38.2 | 1,800 | 12.2 | 2,000 | 14.2 |
| Partnership | 2,200 | 100.0 | 100 | 3.8 | 800 | 36.1 | 500 | 23.5 | 800 | 36.5 |


| Self-employed | 108,500 | 100.0 | 96,500 | 89.0 | 6,500 | 6.0 | 1,800 | 1.7 | 3,700 | 3.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non- | $\mathbf{1 2 5 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private | 18,700 | 100.0 | 17,600 | 93.8 | 1,000 | 5.5 | - | 0.3 | 100 | 0.4 |
| enterprise | 300 | 100.0 | - | 5.6 | 200 | 53.4 | - | 0.0 | 100 | 41.0 |
| Partnership | 375,500 | 100.0 | 365,600 | 97.4 | 8,900 | 2.4 | 300 | 0.1 | 700 | 0.2 |
| Self-employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | $\mathbf{3 9 4}, \mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 3 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 9 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |

9.20 The number employed in informal sector activities in these 3 sectors was derived by excluding the persons employed in enterprises having 5 or more employees. Thus, the number employed in primary occupations which conformed to the definition of the informal sector described earlier amounted to 114,500 . Similarly, the number employed in secondary occupations which conformed to this definition of the informal sector was estimated similarly and it amounted to 11,500 . Thus, the total magnitude of the informal sector was estimated as 126,000 work and job opportunities.

## Distribution by Sex and Sector

9.21 The employment in the informal sector disaggregated by primary and secondary occupations, sex and sector is presented in Table 67. Of the 126,000 employment opportunities, $69,600(55.2 \%)$ occupations were filled by males and $56,400(44.8 \%)$ occupations were filled by females who worked in their primary and secondary occupations. Table 69 also shows the distribution of the opportunities by sector where 113,700 occupations were in self employment; 11,200 occupations were in private enterprise and remaining 1,100 occupations being grouped under partnerships.

Table 67: Currently employed population in the informal sector by sector of employment, primary and secondary occupation

|  | Total |  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |  |
|  | Primary | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 4}$ |  |
|  | Secondary | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ |  |
| Private | Primary | 10,600 | 100.0 | 5,200 | 49.6 | 5,400 | 50.4 |  |
| enterprise | Secondary | 600 | 100.0 | 400 | 69.0 | 200 | 31.0 |  |
| Partnership | Primary | 900 | 100.0 | 400 | 46.0 | 500 | 54.0 |  |
|  | Secondary | 200 | 100.0 | 100 | 49.9 | 100 | 50.1 |  |
|  | Primary | 103,000 | 100.0 | 56,900 | 55.2 | 46,100 | 44.8 |  |
|  | Secondary | 10,700 | 100.0 | 6,600 | 61.3 | 4,100 | 38.7 |  |

## Breakdown by Urban and Rural Sectors

$9.22126,000$ employment opportunities in primary and secondary occupations in the informal sector consisted of $89,300(70.9 \%$ ) occupations in the urban sector and $36,700(29.1 \%)$
occupations in the rural sector (Graph 13). Every 3 out of 10 employment opportunities in self employment, private enterprise and partnerships are located in rural areas.

Employees in the informal sector, by sectors Graph 13


## Occupational Distribution

9.23 The occupational distribution of informal sector employment shows that 56,600 (44.9\%) of the employment opportunities in informal sector activities were grouped under service, shop and market sales workers occupational group; 43,900 or $34.9 \%$ were under craft and related workers and plant and machinery operators major group. About $80.0 \%$ of employment in the informal sector were grouped under these two occupational groups. There were 1,200 and 3,000 occupations that were grouped under the occupation categories of senior officials and managers and professionals. As seen in the table below 3100 persons were engaged in agriculture and fishery activities.

Table 68: Occupational distribution of employed in the informal sector by sector of employment

| Occupation group | Total |  | Informal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Private enterprise |  | Partnership |  | Self-employed |  |
|  | number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Legislators, senior officials and managers | 1,200 | 1.0 | 300 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 900 | 0.8 |
| Professionals | 3,000 | 2.4 | 200 | 1.8 | 300 | 27.3 | 2,500 | 2.2 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 3,800 | 3.0 | 300 | 2.7 | 100 | 9.1 | 3,400 | 3.0 |
| Clerks | 1,000 | 0.8 | 200 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 800 | 0.7 |
| Service and shop and market sales workers | 56,600 | 44.9 | 4,600 | 41.1 | 100 | 9.1 | 51,900 | 45.6 |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery workers | 3,100 | 2.5 | 500 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,600 | 2.3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 22,900 | 18.2 | 2,600 | 23.2 | 200 | 18.2 | 20,100 | 17.7 |
| Plant and machinery operators and assemblers | 21,000 | 16.7 | 1,500 | 13.4 | 200 | 18.2 | 19,300 | 17.0 |
| Elementary occupations | 13,400 | 10.6 | 1,000 | 8.9 | 200 | 18.2 | 12,200 | 10.7 |
| All occupational groups | 126,000 | 100.0 | 11,200 | 100.0 | 1,100 | 100.0 | 113,700 | 100.0 |

Educational Attainment of Employed
9.24 The employed persons in the informal sector grouped by educational attainment is presented in Table 69. Two out of 5 persons who were employed in the informal sector had completed their secondary education. There were only 37,100 or about 3 out of 10 occupations in the informal sector that were occupied by persons with incomplete secondary or lower educational attainments.

Education attainment of employed in the informal sector Graph 14

9.25 There were 13,500 occupations in which graduates were employed and 17,400 occupations in which persons with technical and diploma level qualifications were employed.

Table 69: Currently employed population aged 15 years and over by sector of employees in the enterprise and education

| Sector of employment cross |  | Total |  | Informal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Private enterprise |  | Partnership |  | Self employed |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Informal sector | Total | 126,000 | 100.0 | 11,200 | 8.9 | 1,100 | 0.9 | 113,700 | 90.3 |
|  | Primary | 114,500 | 100.0 | 10,600 | 9.3 | 900 | 0.8 | 103,000 | 90.0 |
|  | Secondary | 11,500 | 100.0 | 600 | 4.8 | 200 | 1.5 | 10,700 | 93.7 |
| None | Primary | 1,000 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 1,000 | 100.0 |
|  | Secondary | 500 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 500 | 100.0 |
| Primary | Primary | 5,300 | 100.0 | 500 | 9.4 | - | 0.0 | 4,800 | 90.6 |
|  | Secondary | 1,100 | 100.0 | 100 | 5.2 | - | 0.0 | 1,000 | 94.8 |
| Incomplete secondary | Primary | 26,200 | 100.0 | 3,400 | 13.0 | 100 | 0.4 | 22,700 | 86.6 |
|  | Secondary | 3,000 | 100.0 | - | 1.9 | 100 | 2.4 | 2,900 | 95.7 |
| Completed secondary | Primary | 44,100 | 100.0 | 3,600 | 8.2 | 500 | 1.1 | 40,000 | 90.7 |
|  | Secondary | 2,400 | 100.0 | 200 | 8.0 | - | 0.0 | 2,200 | 92.0 |
| Initial technical/vocational diploma/certificate | Primary | 10,600 | 100.0 | 1,000 | 9.4 | 100 | 0.9 | 9,500 | 89.6 |
|  | Secondary | 900 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 900 | 100.0 |
| Technical/vocational diploma/certificate | Primary | 15,300 | 100.0 | 1,600 | 10.5 | 100 | 0.7 | 13,600 | 88.9 |
|  | Secondary | 2,100 | 100.0 | 100 | 4.1 | - | 2.4 | 2,000 | 93.5 |


| University graduate | Primary | 12,000 | 100.0 | 500 | 4.2 | 100 | 0.8 | 11,400 | 95.0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Secondary | 1,500 | 100.0 | 200 | 10.9 | 100 | 3.5 | 1,200 | 85.6 |

## Chapter 10

## Child Activities

## Introduction

10.1 The child activities module, which was incorporated as the last section of the survey questionnaire, had canvassed information on the participation of children in both economic and non-economic activities. In addition information on working children was also collected by lowering the age cut off on questions on labour force status to 5 years and over. Thus, information on a wide range of child activities is available through the survey as data was collected using both currently active and usually active concepts of measuring employment. In addition data was also collected on child participation in non-economic activities including household chores. As already mentioned, the information from the child activities module will provide important information on the magnitude, nature and distribution of child labour as well as its determinants and consequences which should help in identifying the children who are at risk and require urgent assistance through measures for the protection of working children. ILO IPEC is assisting the NSO in analyzing the data from the child activities module and preparing a report. Therefore, only some material that will provide an overview of child activities and incidence of child labour are presented in the paragraphs that follow.

## School Avoidance

10.2 The survey estimated that 171,200 or $25.2 \%$ of the 679,049 children aged 5-17 years had not been attending school (see Annex 1) and the reasons for school avoidance was ascertained from those who did not attend school.

Table 70: Main reason for not attending school by age and sex

| Reason | 5-17 Years |  |  | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Both Sexes | Both Sexes |
| 1. Under-aged | 64.3 | 60.6 | 68.8 | 94.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. School too far | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| 3. Cost of school materials, clothing etc | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 17.9 | 10.6 |
| 4. Poor performance in studies /not interested in studies | 6.6 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 20.2 | 19.1 |
| 5. Add to household income | 5.0 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 18.5 |
| 6. Help with household duties | 9.0 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 20.4 | 29.4 |
| 7. Sick | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 13.4 | 5.8 |
| 8. Disabled | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 2.8 |
| 9. Other | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 10.4 |
| 10. All reasons \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 171,200 | 94,400 | 76,800 | 116,300 | 18,400 | 36,400 |

Table 70 shows that of the children who had not been attending school, as many as $64.3 \%$ comprising $60.6 \%$ males and $68.8 \%$ females had not attended school as they were under-age. The minimum age for school attendance, which is 7 years, had raised this percentage through the inclusion of children aged 5-6 years who were ineligible to enter school. About $14.0 \%$ of nonschooling children had not attended school, as they had to engage in economic activities to enhance household incomes and help their elders with household duties. A further $4.8 \%$ had not attended school because of the cost of schooling. About $4.9 \%$ had not been schooling as they were sick or disabled.

## Economic Activities

10.3 Table 71 shows that 65,729 children aged 5-17 years comprising 40,406 male and 25,323 female children had participated in economic activities during the reference week preceding the survey. Of them $93.0 \%$ of children of both sexes had been engaged in animal husbandry, agricultural and forestry related work activities. $4.7 \%$ had been engaged in wholesale and retail trade and business activities. An estimated 706 children 451 males and 254 females or about $1.1 \%$ of the children who had been engaged in any economic activity had worked as paid employees.

Table 71: Number and percentage of persons aged 5-17 who had engaged in current economic activity during the reference week by sex and sector

| Economic Activity | Mongolia |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 706 | 451 | 254 |
| Any business (other than those listed below) | 3122 | 1809 | 1313 |
| Animal husbandry | 58433 | 35759 | 22674 |
| Agricultural activity | 2536 | 1755 | 781 |
| Forestry and logging | 45 | 45 | 0 |
| Transportation | 194 | 194 | 0 |
| Mining and quarrying | 615 | 410 | 205 |
| Food processing | 157 | 0 | 157 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 525 | 151 | 374 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 70 | 70 | 0 |
| Handicrafts | 136 | 50 | 86 |
| Construction and major repairs | 172 | 119 | 52 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Total number of persons who had engaged in any economic activity | 65,729 | 40,406 | 25,323 |
| Wage job | 706 | 451 | 254 |
| Self employed | 65,023 | 39,955 | 25,068 |
| Economic Activity | \% | \% | \% |
| Wage job | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Any business (other than those listed below) | 4.7 | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| Animal husbandry | 88.9 | 88.5 | 89.5 |
| Agricultural activity | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.1 |
| Forestry and logging | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Transportation | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Food processing | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.5 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Handicrafts | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Construction and major repairs | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total number of persons who had engaged in any economic activity | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage job | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Self employed | 98.9 | 98.9 | 99.0 |

## Non-economic activities

10.4 The participation of children in current non-economic activities of the household presented in Table 72 shows that 567,400 children aged $5-17$ years had been engaged in noneconomic activities during the reference week preceding the survey. Of them $76.4 \%$ of the children aged 5-17 years had engaged themselves in cooking and serving food in the household. Cleaning utensils and house had been other household chores engaged in by a high percentage of children with $85.8 \%$ of female and $62.5 \%$ of male children had attended to these tasks. $8.1 \%$ of the children comprising $6.5 \%$ of the male and $9.8 \%$ of the female looked after children and $12.4 \%$ had been engaged in caring for pets and plants and community work without pay.
10.5 $53 \%$ of the children who had engaged in household activities comprising $61.8 \%$ males and $44.0 \%$ females had attended to the tasks of fetching water. Further, $47.0 \%$ of children aged $5-17$ years comprising $56.0 \%$ males and $37.0 \%$ female children had fetched fuel and prepared firewood. Fetching water for drinking and other household purposes and fetching fuel and preparing firewood have been included as economic activities under UN SNA as already discussed in Chapter 5: Current Activities. An estimated 300,400 children aged 5-17 years had been engaged in fetching water while the number of children that had attended to fetching fuel and preparing firewood amounted to 265,100 . (See table 32, 33) If these children were to be included as economically active and therefore as employed persons, the number of economically active children would amount to approximately 30.0-40.0\% of the current total labour supply.

Table 72: Percentage of persons aged 5-17 years who had engaged in household economic activities in the reference period of 7 days before the survey

| Activity | All |  |  |  |  | $5-9$ |  |  |  | $10-14$ | $15-17$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Male | Female | All | All | All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 76.4 | 66.9 | 86.1 | 73.8 | 76.4 | 78.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 74.1 | 62.5 | 85.8 | 67.3 | 76.7 | 76.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 45.8 | 35.7 | 56.1 | 15.0 | 48.9 | 68.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor household repairs | 5.9 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shopping for household | 21.1 | 18.3 | 23.9 | 11.3 | 22.6 | 27.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 5.8 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fetching water | 53.0 | 61.8 | 44.0 | 39.5 | 57.2 | 58.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 46.7 | 56.3 | 37.1 | 40.5 | 47.0 | 52.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looking after children | 8.1 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 12.4 | 7.4 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caring for household pets | 6.4 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntary/community services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| without pay | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 6 7 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 9 0 0}$ |

Further, the information on the unemployed condition of the population will be distorted. In addition, there is also the difficulty of classifying the industrial and occupational statuses of the employed persons as appropriate sub-sectors and occupations in relation to these activities had not been designated and described. Considering these issues, although data was compiled it was left to the data user to appropriately group them to meet research needs.

## Child labour

10.6 The total number of child workers in the age group 5-17 years comprising employed children and potential workers who had sought work is estimated as 73,484 comprising 68,580 employed and 4,904 children who were seeking work (unemployed). The labour force status of
working children in single years covering the age group 5-17 years is presented in table 73. The estimated size of the child labour pool increases from 2,482 at age 5 years to nearly 12,355 at age 17 years. Children of 15-17 constitutes $7.3 \%$ of the total supply of labour(See Annex 1).
10.7 An estimated 68,580 children of both sexes comprising 41,874 males and 26,706 females aged 5-17 years had been currently employed. The number of child workers in the age group 5-9 years was estimated as 15,320 and there were 21,407 child workers in the age group 10-14 years, while the majority numbering 31,854 were in the age group 15-17 years. Almost $50.0 \%$ of child workers are in the age group of 15-17.

Table 73: Labour force status of working children aged 5-17 years

| Age | All | Male | Female | Labour Force |  |  | Employed |  |  | Unemployed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group |  |  |  | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 679049 | 347652 | 331397 | 73484 | 44573 | 28911 | 68580 | 41874 | 26706 | 4904 | 2700 | 2204 |
| 5-9 | 212145 | 109205 | 102940 | 15344 | 9030 | 6315 | 15320 | 9005 | 6315 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 294841 | 151999 | 142843 | 21925 | 13990 | 7935 | 21407 | 13762 | 7645 | 518 | 229 | 289 |
| 15-17 | 172063 | 86449 | 85614 | 36215 | 21553 | 14661 | 31854 | 19107 | 12746 | 4361 | 2446 | 1915 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 360750 | 184932 | 175818 | 9961 | 6232 | 3729 | 6894 | 4558 | 2336 | 3067 | 1674 | 1393 |
| 5-9 | 97575 | 50591 | 46984 | 585 | 353 | 231 | 560 | 328 | 231 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 167360 | 86365 | 80995 | 3042 | 2137 | 906 | 2662 | 2004 | 659 | 380 | 133 | 247 |
| 15-17 | 95816 | 47976 | 47839 | 6334 | 3742 | 2592 | 3672 | 2226 | 1446 | 2662 | 1516 | 1146 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 318299 | 162720 | 155579 | 63523 | 38341 | 25181 | 61686 | 37316 | 24370 | 1837 | 1026 | 811 |
| 5-9 | 114570 | 58614 | 55956 | 14760 | 8676 | 6083 | 14760 | 8676 | 6083 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 127482 | 65634 | 61848 | 18883 | 11854 | 7029 | 18745 | 11758 | 6987 | 138 | 96 | 42 |
| 15-17 | 76247 | 38472 | 37775 | 29880 | 17811 | 12069 | 28182 | 16882 | 11300 | 1699 | 930 | 769 |

## Occupational Distribution of Child Workers

10.8 The breakdown of child workers by occupational group presented in Graph 15 shows that the majority of child workers or $90.8 \%$ of the total population of employed children had been working as animal husbandry and farming workers. Among them is $61.1 \%$ of the male. In addition, $2.8 \%$ of the children had worked in elementary occupations engaging in diverse tasks. The numbers reported as professionals, technicians and clerks could be due to reporting errors.
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## Employment Status

10.9 The employment status distribution of children shows that an estimated 61,677 working children comprising 37,379 male and 24,298 female children had been employed as unpaid family workers and a further 5,688 were self employed. Table 74 shows that only 1,154 or $1.7 \%$ of children in the age group 5-17 years had been employed as paid employees. Most importantly child labour has been largely a rural problem with as much as $89.9 \%$ of child labour having been reported from the rural sector. The total number of child workers in the urban sector is estimated as 6,894 .

Table 74: Employment status of child workers aged 5-17 years by sex and sectors

| Employment status | All | Male | Female | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-17$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mongolia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | $\mathbf{6 8 5 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 5 4}$ |
| Paid employee on contract | 694 | 440 | 254 | 0 | 38 | 657 |
| Paid employee on civil law | 460 | 139 | 322 | 0 | 195 | 265 |
| Self employed | 5688 | 3855 | 1832 | 119 | 1822 | 3747 |
| Unpaid family workers | 61677 | 37379 | 24298 | 15200 | 19291 | 27185 |
| Other | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arban | $\mathbf{6 8 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 7 2}$ |
| Aaid employee on contract | 568 | 350 | 218 | 0 | 38 | 531 |
| Paid employee on civil law | 207 | 102 | 105 | 0 | 102 | 105 |
| Self employed | 1020 | 776 | 245 | 0 | 621 | 399 |
| Unpaid family workers | 5098 | 3329 | 1769 | 560 | 1901 | 2638 |
| $\quad$ Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | $\mathbf{6 1 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1 8 2}$ |
| Paid employee on contract | 126 | 90 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| Paid employee on civil law | 254 | 36 | 217 | 0 | 93 | 161 |
| Self employed | 4667 | 3080 | 1588 | 119 | 1201 | 3347 |
| Unpaid family workers | 56579 | 34050 | 22529 | 14641 | 17391 | 24547 |
| Other | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 |

## Child Labour Estimates based on Usually Active Concept

10.10 Unlike in the case of the currently active population where any duration in excess of one hour during the reference week would qualify a child to be considered as employed, the usually active status approach requires that the person should be employed or unemployed for a duration exceeding 6 months to be treated as usually active. Accordingly, the employment and unemployment rates under the usually active concept for children of school going age will be lower than the rates disclosed under currently active or labour force concept of measuring employment and unemployment
10.11 As a child labour module was included in the survey, information was canvassed from all persons aged 5 or more years to ascertain whether they were employed or available for work for most of the year during the last 12 months preceding the survey. Table 75 shows that the number of currently active children aged 5-17 years which is estimated at 73,484 comprising 68,580 employed and 4,904 children in search of employment had declined to 52,024 children under the usually economically active population concept comprising 48,937 and 3,087 employed and unemployed children. Thus the decline in the number of economically active children under the usually active population concept amounts to approximately $29.2 \%$. The number of employed children had declined from 68,580 to 48,937 by nearly 19,643 under the usually active population concept.

Table 75: Employment status of children aged 5-17 years based on currently active and usually active concepts by sex

| Age group | Total | Of which: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Economically Active | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive |
| Currently active |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 679050 | 73484 | 68580 | 4904 | 605566 |
| 5-9 | 212145 | 15344 | 15320 | 25 | 196801 |
| 10-14 | 294842 | 21925 | 21407 | 518 | 272917 |
| 15-17 | 172063 | 36215 | 31854 | 4361 | 135848 |
| Male | 347653 | 44573 | 41874 | 2700 | 303080 |
| 5-9 | 109205 | 9030 | 9005 | 25 | 100175 |
| 10-14 | 151999 | 13990 | 13762 | 229 | 138009 |
| 15-17 | 86449 | 21553 | 19107 | 2446 | 64896 |
| Female | 331397 | 28911 | 26706 | 2204 | 302486 |
| 5-9 | 102940 | 6315 | 6315 | 0 | 96625 |
| 10-14 | 142843 | 7935 | 7645 | 289 | 134908 |
| 15-17 | 85614 | 14661 | 12746 | 1915 | 70953 |
| Usually active |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 679050 | 52024 | 48937 | 3087 | 627026 |
| 5-9 | 212145 | 9074 | 9017 | 57 | 203071 |
| 10-14 | 294842 | 13625 | 13274 | 351 | 281217 |
| 15-17 | 172063 | 29325 | 26646 | 2679 | 142738 |
| Male | 347653 | 32731 | 30978 | 1753 | 314922 |
| 5-9 | 109205 | 5590 | 5533 | 57 | 103615 |
| 10-14 | 151999 | 8943 | 8800 | 143 | 143056 |
| 15-17 | 86449 | 18198 | 16645 | 1553 | 68251 |
| Female | 331397 | 19293 | 17959 | 1334 | 312104 |
| 5-9 | 102940 | 3484 | 3484 | 0 | 99456 |
| 10-14 | 142843 | 4682 | 4474 | 208 | 138161 |
| 15-17 | 85614 | 11127 | 10001 | 1126 | 74487 |

Table 76: Employment status of children aged 5-17 years based on currently active and usually active concepts by U-R

| Age <br> group | Total | Economically <br> Active | Of which: |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unemployed | Inactive |  |  |  |
| Currently active |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 9 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 5 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 5 5 6 6}$ |
| $5-9$ | 212145 | 15344 | 15320 | 25 | 196801 |
| $10-14$ | 294842 | 21925 | 21407 | 518 | 272917 |
| $15-17$ | 172063 | 36215 | 31854 | 4361 | 135848 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{3 6 0 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 7 8 9}$ |
| $5-9$ | 97575 | 585 | 560 | 25 | 96990 |
| $10-14$ | 167360 | 3042 | 2662 | 380 | 164318 |
| $15-17$ | 95816 | 6334 | 3672 | 2662 | 89482 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{3 1 8 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4 7 7 6}$ |
| $5-9$ | 114570 | 14760 | 14760 | 0 | 99811 |
| $10-14$ | 127482 | 18883 | 18745 | 138 | 108599 |
| $15-17$ | 76247 | 29880 | 28182 | 1699 | 46367 |
| Usually active |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 9 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 7 0 2 6}$ |
| $5-9$ | 212145 | 9074 | 9017 | 57 | 203071 |
| $10-14$ | 294842 | 13625 | 13274 | 351 | 281217 |


| $15-17$ | 172063 | 29325 | 26646 | 2679 | 142738 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Urban | $\mathbf{3 6 0 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 4 4 0 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 97575 | 527 | 527 | 0 | 97048 |
| $10-14$ | 167360 | 1625 | 1412 | 213 | 165735 |
| $15-17$ | 95816 | 4198 | 2753 | 1445 | 91618 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{3 1 8 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 6 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 2 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2 6 2 6}$ |
| $5-9$ | 114570 | 8547 | 8490 | 57 | 106023 |
| $10-14$ | 127482 | 12000 | 11862 | 138 | 115482 |
| $15-17$ | 76247 | 25126 | 23892 | 1234 | 51121 |

## Chapter 11

## Seasonal Variations in Labour Supply and Demand

## Introduction

11.1 The survey was designed to capture seasonal variations in the labour supply and demand in the whole country, urban and rural sectors as well as in the regions in to which the country is divided. For this purpose the sample was divided into 4 equal sub-samples and the sub-samples were independently selected and data collection was carried out in 4 quarters of 3 months each that covered the 12 months of the year. The samples drawn were sufficiently large with 3,200 households or more than 12,000 persons being included in the sample in each round that would enable the preparation of statistically reliable estimates on key variables based on the data from the 4 quarterly rounds. The sample population enumerated through the survey amounted to 49,948. The data collection in the survey began in October 2002 and ended in September 2003. The 3 month period from October to December 2002 during which field work was carried out was treated as the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter; the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarters were conducted in the following 6 months and field work on the $4^{\text {th }}$ and final quarter was undertaken during July to September 2003. In this chapter the quarterly estimates derived in respect of a few key topics are examined.

## Population

11.2 The survey estimated the total population of Mongolia at $2.402,8$ million ${ }^{1}$. (Table 77)

Table 77: Distribution of the population of private households: Quarterly estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct 02-Sep 03 | Oct-Dec | Jan- Mar | Apr-Jun | Jul - Sep |
| Total Population | 2,402,800 | 2,372,300 | 2,401,500 | 2,474,700 | 2,359,200 |
| Number of Households | 568,800 | 554,200 | 565,300 | 588,700 | 566,600 |
| Population who lived away for>6months: | 174,000 | 189,700 | 190,700 | 179,400 | 137,800 |
| Of which : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently attending | 121,000 | 136,100 | 140,300 | 122,600 | 84,600 |
| Working | 34,600 | 32,600 | 32,900 | 38,700 | 36,000 |
| Other | 18,400 | 21,000 | 17,500 | 18,100 | 17,200 |
| Enumerated Population | 2,228,800 | 2,182,600 | 2,210,800 | 2,295,300 | 2,221,400 |
| Male | 1,106,100 | 1,080,100 | 1,099,200 | 1,137,300 | 1,107,000 |
| Female | 1,122,700 | 1,102,500 | 1,111,600 | 1,158,000 | 1,114,400 |
| Population 0-14 | 689,600 | 677,800 | 694,700 | 722,900 | 661,200 |
| Male | 355,300 | 348,900 | 358,200 | 373,000 | 341,600 |
| Female | 334,300 | 328,900 | 336,500 | 349,900 | 319,600 |
| Population 15+ | 1,539,200 | 1,504,800 | 1,516,100 | 1,572,400 | 1,560,200 |
| Male | 750,800 | 731,200 | 741,000 | 764,300 | 765,400 |
| Female | 788,400 | 773,600 | 775,100 | 808,100 | 794,800 |
| Population 5-17 | 679,000 | 673,500 | 664,600 | 706,300 | 669,000 |
| Male | 347,600 | 343,900 | 346,600 | 355,800 | 346,000 |
| Female | 331,400 | 329,600 | 318,000 | 350,500 | 323,000 |

[^0]
## Economically Active Population

11.3 The estimate of the labour force or economically active persons from the different quarters range from a low estimate of 986.8 thousand based on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter which fell mainly within the winter season to 1.020 million based on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter which fell within spring and summer seasons. Thus, the estimated economically active population had remained remarkably close to 1.0 million in all 4 quarters of the survey. This variation in the estimates is to be expected, because of the effects of sampling errors in the estimates.

Table 78: Activity status of the population : Quarterly estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Oct 02- Sep 03 | Oct-Dec | Jan- Mar | Apr-Jun | Jul - Sep |
| Labour Force 15+ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 4 , 8 0 0}$ | $1,002,600$ | 986,800 | $1,020,000$ | $1,014,100$ |
| Male | $\mathbf{5 2 3 , 5 0 0}$ | 527,700 | 514,500 | 526,800 | 525,900 |
| Female | $\mathbf{4 8 1 , 3 0 0}$ | 474,900 | 472,300 | 493,200 | 488,200 |
| LFPR 15+ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 3}$ | 66.6 | 65.1 | 64.9 | 65.0 |
| Male | $\mathbf{6 9 . 7}$ | 72.2 | 69.4 | 68.9 | 68.7 |
| Female | $\mathbf{6 1 . 1}$ | 61.4 | 60.9 | 61.0 | 61.4 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{5 6 . 4}$ | 59.9 | 55.8 | 55.3 | 55.1 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3}$ | 75.1 | 77.1 | 76.8 | 76.8 |
| Economically Inactive | $\mathbf{5 3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | 502,200 | 529,300 | 552,400 | 546,100 |
| Male | $\mathbf{2 2 7 4 0 0}$ | 203,600 | 226,500 | 237,500 | 239,400 |
| Female | $\mathbf{3 0 7 , 0 0 0}$ | 298,600 | 302,800 | 314,900 | 306,700 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{3 7 2 , 5 0 0}$ | 337,400 | 378,000 | 389,600 | 380,500 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 6 1 , 9 0 0}$ | 164,800 | 151,300 | 162,800 | 165,600 |

11.4 According to these estimates, the economically inactive population had increased by about 50,000 between the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter and the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter and therefore there is no evidence that persons who are inactive during winter had become economically active during summer, in fact the available evidence point to a contrary position. The labour force participation rates vary between $64.9 \%$ from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Round to $66.6 \%$ in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter. Some of these variations could be attributed to the effects of sampling errors.

## Employed Population

11.5 The data presented in Table 79 shows that there were seasonal changes in labour demand. The number of employed was lowest in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter that amounted to 822,300 and this number had increased in each subsequent quarter to reach 906,000 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. The variations in the dimensions of the economically active population cannot account for changes in the size of the employed population, it is seen that the additions to the employed population had resulted through a decline in the number of unemployed. It is of course possible that some persons who were in the labour force had become inactive during certain times of the year and others had joined to take their place. The extent of occurrence of such changes will have to be established through detailed analysis of the survey data. But it is evident that the increase in the number of employed had arisen mainly by the unemployed taking up work that becomes available when the winter season ends.

Table 79: Employment Indicators based on the currently active population aged 15 and over: Quarterly estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Oct 02-Sep 03 | Oct-Dec | Jan- Mar | Apr-Jun | Jul - Sep |
| Total employed | $\mathbf{8 9 7 , 1 0 0}$ | 854,900 | 871,600 | 924,300 | 942,000 |


| Employed away from home | $\mathbf{3 4 , 6 0 0}$ | 32,600 | 32,900 | 38,700 | 36,000 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Currently employed $15+$ | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ | 822,300 | 838,700 | 885,600 | 906,000 |
| Male | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | 433,900 | 435,000 | 455,900 | 471,000 |
| Female | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ | 388,400 | 403,700 | 429,700 | 435,000 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{3 9 2 , 3 0 0}$ | 393,700 | 384,700 | 393,500 | 395,900 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{4 7 0 , 2 0 0}$ | 428,600 | 454,000 | 492,100 | 510,100 |
| Employed by Sector |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | $\mathbf{4 0 2 , 7 0 0}$ | 363,300 | 382,100 | 424,500 | 445,400 |
| Production | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 9 0 0}$ | 106,300 | 102,800 | 98,100 | 104,100 |
| Services | $\mathbf{3 5 6 , 9 0 0}$ | 352,700 | 353,800 | 363,000 | 356,500 |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Employee | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 6 0 0}$ | 350,000 | 343,400 | 339,400 | 321,800 |
| Employer | $\mathbf{5 , 5 0 0}$ | 5,400 | 6,100 | 5,600 | 5,000 |
| Own account worker | $\mathbf{3 0 3 , 4 0 0}$ | 271,600 | 276,100 | 313,900 | 350,500 |
| Unpaid Family Worker | $\mathbf{2 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | 195,300 | 213,100 | 226,700 | 228,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underemployed: Both | $\mathbf{5 8 , 2 0 0}$ | 78,500 | 53,100 | 58,900 | 42,400 |
| Male | $\mathbf{3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | 46,100 | 30,700 | 37,000 | 23,900 |
| Female | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 0 0}$ | 32,400 | 22,400 | 21,900 | 18,500 |

11.6 The breakdown of employed by industrial activity shows that the non-agricultural employment had not increased from one quarter to another but had remained practically unchanged. It is the increase in the demand for labour in agricultural pursuits that had resulted in these changes. The number of persons engaged in agricultural activities had increased from 363,300 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 382,100 in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter and finally to 445,400 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter an increase of 82,100 or by $22.6 \%$.
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This growth in labour demand had occurred in the rural sector where the number employed had increased from 428,600 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 510,100 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. As might be expected, employment in the production and services sectors had remained practically unchanged from one quarter to another, confirming that there are no significant changes in the demand for labour over the different seasons. Some variation in the estimates should be expected, because of the effects of sampling errors.
11.7 The employed population under the usually active population concept had also increased from the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter although the increase in the number of employed is some
what lower than that was observed under the currently active concept. Hereto, the growth in employment had arisen as a result of the increase in agricultural employment from 365,100 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 426,900 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. There had been no significant change in the numbers employed in production and services sectors between the $1^{\text {st }}$ and the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarters confirming that there were no major seasonal changes in the demand for labour in the production and services sectors.

Table 80: Employment indicators based on the usually active population : Quarterly estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 <br> Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usually Active Population 15+ | 941,500 | 946,300 | 914,600 | 959,800 | 948,900 |
| Male | 492,200 | 502,600 | 475,000 | 498,000 | 494,000 |
| Female | 449,300 | 443,700 | 439,600 | 461,800 | 454,900 |
| Usually Active Participation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate 15+ | 61.2 | 62.9 | 60.3 | 61.0 | 60.8 |
| Male | 65.5 | 68.7 | 64.1 | 65.2 | 64.5 |
| Female | 57.0 | 57.4 | 56.7 | 57.1 | 57.2 |
| Usually Active: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed 15+ | 856,600 | 827,200 | 836,000 | 877,600 | 887,000 |
| Male | 446,800 | 438,600 | 434,000 | 452,100 | 462,200 |
| Female | 409,800 | 388,600 | 402,000 | 425,500 | 424,800 |
| Urban | 394,000 | 400,800 | 383,800 | 393,100 | 396,100 |
| Rural | 462,600 | 426,400 | 452,200 | 484,500 | 490,900 |
| Usually Active Employed by Sector |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 396,800 | 365,100 | 381,900 | 416,800 | 426,900 |
| Production | 103,500 | 108,900 | 103,300 | 98,300 | 103,300 |
| Services | 356,300 | 353,200 | 350,800 | 362,500 | 356,800 |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Employee | 340,400 | 354,200 | 343,700 | 338,000 | 325,900 |
| Employer | 8,100 | 12,200 | 7,900 | 7,100 | 4,900 |
| Own account worker | 281,400 | 232,700 | 246,400 | 306,900 | 339,400 |
| Unpaid Family Worker | 226,700 | 228,100 | 238,000 | 225,600 | 216,800 |

## Unemployed Population

11.8 The survey has generated new and additional information on the profile of the unemployed. The data on seasonal variations in unemployment dimensions and magnitudes in Mongolia were compiled for the first time through this survey. The number of unemployed and the unemployment rate declines after the commencement of the winter season. Both the unemployed number and rate have declined from the levels reported in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter from 180,300 or $18.0 \%$ in subsequent quarters reaching the lowest level in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter estimated at 108,100 or $10.7 \%$. Table 81 also shows that the unemployment level is still determined by the availability of work in agricultural pursuits in the rural sector. The unemployment rates in the rural sector were several percentage points lower than that of the urban sector. The age composition of the unemployed had remained quite stable in the different quarters with approximately $17.0 \%-20.0 \%$ falling within the age group $20-24$ years; $30.0 \%$ of the unemployed falling within the age group 25-34 years; $27.0 \%-29.0 \%$ falling within the age group 35-44 years.
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11.9 As described earlier the number of unemployed and the unemployment rate under the usually active population concept are numerically lower than the values of these measures under the currently active concept. The number of unemployed had declined from 119,100 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 61,900 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter while the unemployment rate had declined from $12.6 \%$ to $6.5 \%$ during the same period.

Table 81: Unemployment condition of the population under the currently active and usually active concepts : Quarterly estimates

| Topic/ Item | All 4 Quarters | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unemployed 15+ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 3 0 0}$ | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 |
| Male | $\mathbf{7 4 , 6 0 0}$ | 93,800 | 79,500 | 70,900 | 54,900 |
| Female | $\mathbf{6 7 , 7 0 0}$ | 86,500 | 68,600 | 63,500 | 53,200 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{9 0 , 3 0 0}$ | 110,800 | 92,900 | 88,200 | 70,400 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 0 0}$ | 69,500 | 55,200 | 46,200 | 37,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment Rate \% | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | 18.0 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 10.7 |
| Male | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | 17.8 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 10.4 |
| Female | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ | 18.2 | 14.5 | 12.9 | 10.9 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | 22.0 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 15.1 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 14.0 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 6.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2}$ | 20.7 | 20.3 | 16.7 | 15.7 |
| East | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4}$ | 22.2 | 21.5 | 15.5 | 14.5 |
| West | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ | 13.6 | 14.6 | 9.3 | 6.8 |
| Khangai | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ | 18.8 | 11.6 | 14.4 | 10.4 |
| Ulaanbaatar | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ | 16.9 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 8.8 |
| Age distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| 15-19 | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ | 9.8 | 8.7 | 11.8 | 7.7 |
| 20-24 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4}$ | 18.9 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 20.7 |
| $25-34$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4}$ | 28.3 | 30.9 | 29.2 | 29.1 |
| 35-44 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6}$ | 26.5 | 28.4 | 28.9 | 26.7 |
| 45-54 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | 13.4 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 13.1 |
| 55-64 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| 65 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| Duration unemployed | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 3 0 0}$ | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Less than 1 year | $\mathbf{8 0 , 9 0 0}$ | 100,200 | 91,800 | 68,200 | 65,600 |
| $\quad$ More than 1 year | $\mathbf{6 1 , 4 0 0}$ | 80,100 | 56,300 | 66,200 | 42,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed under usually active concept |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes 15+ | $\mathbf{8 4 , 9 0 0}$ | 119,100 | 78,600 | 82,200 | 61,900 |
| $\quad$ Male | $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 0 0}$ | 64,000 | 41,000 | 45,900 | 31,800 |
| $\quad$ Female | $\mathbf{3 9 , 5 0 0}$ | 55,100 | 37,600 | 36,300 | 30,100 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{5 2 , 7 0 0}$ | 67,800 | 53,100 | 48,900 | 42,500 |
| Rural | 32,200 | 51,300 | 25,500 | 33,300 | 19,400 |
| Unemployment rate 15+ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 9.0 | 12.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| $\quad$ Male | 9.2 | 12.7 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 6.4 |
| Female | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ | 12.4 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 6.6 |

## Current Activities

11.10 The number of persons who had engaged in current economic activities during the one week period before the survey had increased from 748,200 in the 1 st quarter to 761,700 in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter and then to 838,900 in $3^{\text {rd }}$ the quarter and finally to 856,200 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. What is most significant is the fact that the number of persons engaged in wage employment had not increased during the transition from the winter season in the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarters through spring into summer and autumn in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarters. Table 82 shows that the labour demand in agriculture and animal husbandry activities had increased during this period from 317,600 in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter to 351,900 in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter and then to 392,700 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter and finally to 416,800 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter.

Table 82: Number of persons who had engaged in any current economic activity during the reference week by sex and sector

|  | Q1-4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wage job | 297,900 | 309,700 | 299,500 | 302,300 | 279,800 |
| Any business | 79,100 | 73,500 | 77,300 | 84,100 | 82,300 |
| Animal husbandry | 368,600 | 317,600 | 351,900 | 392,700 | 416,800 |
| Agricultural activity | 14,700 | 5,300 | 2,300 | 26,400 | 25,000 |
| Forestry and logging | 2,600 | 3,200 | 1,700 | 1,800 | 3,800 |
| Transportation | 20,800 | 23,200 | 16,500 | 17,400 | 24,900 |
| Mining and quarrying | 5,300 | 4,300 | 2,900 | 7,600 | 6,600 |
| Food processing | 2,800 | 3,700 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 3,800 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 5,000 | 2,900 | 4,200 | 4,100 | 8,600 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 6,700 | 9,200 | 4,800 | 7,800 | 4,600 |
| Handicrafts | 7,800 | 12,700 | 7,100 | 5,500 | 6,100 |
| Construction and major repairs | 6,400 | 6,500 | 3,400 | 5,200 | 10,200 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services | 1,100 | 2,000 | 1,400 | 500 | 500 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self employed | 502,600 | 438,500 | 462,200 | 536,600 | 576,400 |
|  | $\mathbf{8 0 0 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 8 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 1 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 6 , 2 0 0}$ |
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The number that had engaged in business activities had increased modestly from 73,500 to 82,300 during this period. It is seen from Table 82 that in some activities such as handicrafts the number of persons who had worked had declined during the year from winter season to summer from 12,700 to 6,100 .

## Hours Worked

11.11 The survey data show that there are no major differences in the number of hours worked by the economically active population in winter, spring and summer. The average number of hours worked had increased from 44.2 hours per week in winter to 47.3 hours per week in spring and beginning of summer. The persons in the age groups 25-39 years had worked nearly 50 hours per week on the average in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter.

Table 83: Average number of hours engaged in current economic activities by age and quarter

| Age Group | $\mathrm{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-17$ | 37.6 | 37.9 | 38.6 | 38.2 | 35.7 |
| $18-19$ | 45.0 | 43.1 | 45.0 | 47.1 | 44.3 |
| $20-24$ | 44.8 | 43.3 | 45.3 | 46.1 | 44.0 |
| $25-29$ | 46.2 | 43.3 | 45.0 | 48.7 | 47.2 |
| $30-34$ | 47.8 | 47.8 | 46.7 | 48.6 | 47.9 |
| $35-39$ | 47.4 | 45.9 | 46.5 | 49.1 | 47.6 |
| $40-44$ | 46.2 | 44.5 | 45.4 | 47.7 | 46.9 |
| $45-49$ | 45.6 | 44.9 | 43.6 | 46.5 | 47.0 |
| $50-54$ | 45.1 | 44.2 | 45.4 | 46.9 | 44.4 |
| $55-59$ | 43.7 | 41.5 | 42.6 | 45.1 | 46.0 |
| $60-64$ | 43.1 | 38.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 43.1 |
| $65-69$ | 43.1 | 39.4 | 42.5 | 44.3 | 45.3 |
| $70+$ | 35.0 | 33.2 | 35.9 | 34.6 | 37.0 |
| All age groups | $\mathbf{4 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 9}$ |

## Chapter 12

## Sampling Errors of Estimates

12.1 As in any sample survey, the results obtained from the LFS are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. The non-sampling errors arise as a result of imperfections in data collection, data processing and dissemination. These include errors that are introduced at the preparatory stage; errors committed during data collection including those committed by interviewers and respondents; and processing errors. In order to reduce these non-sampling errors several safeguards were adopted. Careful design of survey instruments, training and supervision of LFS staff deployed in data collection and processing, efficient operating procedures in data cleaning and data management, checking consistency and completeness of the tables that were extracted were some of the more important methods and procedures that were used in the survey. However it is known that non-sampling errors would be the major source of errors in the survey results, not withstanding the measures that were adopted in survey design and implementation. In view of the impracticality of measuring non-sampling errors, the total error calculation in surveys is restricted to calculation of sampling errors.
12.2 Sampling errors in surveys occur as a result of limiting the survey observations to a subset rather than the whole population. These errors are related to the sample size selected and sampling design adopted in the survey. In order to maintain these errors within acceptable levels, the efficient sampling design with the sample allocation described in Annex 3 was adopted.
12.3 The sampling error indicates the extent to which an estimate from the survey would vary by chance, because only a sample of enumeration areas is included rather than all the enumeration areas into which the country is divided. The sample size and survey design had determined the magnitude of the sampling errors and in respect of some items the sampling errors were known to be high at the design stage of the survey.
12.4 IMPS package that was developed by the US Bureau of the Census was used in processing data from the LFS +CAM. Therefore, it was decided to use CENVAR which is the variance calculation module of the IMPS package to compute sampling errors of key aggregates from the survey. For each specified parameter and domain of estimation, CENVAR produces a tabulated output that provides the following measures.

- the estimated value of the parameter
- the standard error
- the coefficient of variation
- the 95 percent confidence interval
- the design effect (DEFF) and
- the number of observations upon which the estimate is based
12.5 It is common to allow an interval of either 2 standard errors or 1.6 standard errors in either direction around an estimate from a given sample as the possible range of sampling error.

Under the 2 standard error criterion, the population value as estimated from the sample falls within the indicated range in 95 cases out of 100 . Under the 1.6 standard error criterion, the probability drops to 90 cases out of 100 but this is still a reasonable basis for judgment for many analytical purposes. Estimates of sampling errors computed using CENVAR have 95 confidence intervals of 2 standard errors. The sampling errors of key aggregates are provided in Tables 8491.
12.6 As described in the users guide, CENVAR is designed for the calculation of the variances and uses formulae appropriate for stratified multistage sampling designs. The details of the two stage stratified sampling design used in the LFS +CAM including the stratification into 9 strata and sampling weights had been defined as required by the CENVAR system at the stage when variables corresponding to the sample design were specified. However, certain aspects of the sampling design such as the strong implicit stratification by aimag (province) soum( district) built in to the sampling design through the adoption of stratified circular systematic random sampling could not be specified in the CENVAR system. Thus, the sampling errors computed using the program and produced in the attached tables would probably overstate the width of the actual or true confidence intervals of parameters as well as the design effects of the sampling design.

Table 84. Estimate of Standard error
Total population

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 2,228,829 | 17,037 | 0.76 | 2,195,436 | 2,262,221 | ****** | 49,948 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 425,45 | 6,311 | 1.48 | 413,08 | 437,82 | 2.59 | 10,408 |
| Khangai | 541,84 | 8,420 | 1.55 | 525,33 | 558,34 | 3.87 | 9,360 |
| Center | 445,31 | 10,533 | 2.37 | 424,66 | 465,95 | 6.98 | 9,312 |
| East | 173,04 | 2,207 | 1,28 | 168,71 | 177,36 | 0.68 | 8,636 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 643,20 | 7,984 | 1,24 | 627,55 | 658,85 | 3,12 | 12,232 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1,198,320 | 11,812 | 0.99 | 1,175,169 | 1,221,471 | 5.64 | 24,614 |
| Rural | 1,030,509 | 12,278 | 1,19 | 1,006,444 | 1,054,573 | 6,10 | 25,334 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,106,133 | 9,231 | 0.83 | 1,088,040 | 1,124,226 | 3.43 | 24,880 |
| Female | 1,122,695 | 9,774 | 0.87 | 1,103,538 | 1,141,853 | 3.84 | 25,068 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 182,66 | 3,753 | 2,05 | 175,30 | 190,01 | 1.88 | 4,155 |
| 20-24 | 212,15 | 4,014 | 1.89 | 204,28 | 220,01 | 1.88 | 4,823 |
| 25-29 | 294,84 | 5,394 | 1.83 | 284,27 | 305,41 | 2.55 | 6,527 |
| 30-34 | 265,26 | 4,656 | 1.76 | 256,13 | 274,38 | 2,08 | 5,843 |
| 35-39 | 191,52 | 3,730 | 1.95 | 184,21 | 198,83 | 1.78 | 4,281 |
| 40-44 | 178,50 | 3,648 | 2,04 | 171,35 | 185,65 | 1.82 | 4,040 |
| 45-49 | 175,18 | 3,433 | 1.96 | 168,45 | 181,91 | 1.64 | 3,908 |
| 50-54 | 170,93 | 3,663 | 1,96 | 163,75 | 178,11 | 1.91 | 3,788 |
| 55-59 | 161,58 | 3,341 | 2,14 | 155,03 | 168,13 | 1.67 | 3,606 |
| 60-64 | 116,07 | 2,748 | 2.37 | 110,68 | 121,45 | 1.54 | 2,640 |
| 65-69 | 76,58 | 2,234 | 2.92 | 72,20 | 80,96 | 1.51 | 1,718 |
| 70+ | 58,45 | 1,892 | 3,24 | 54,74 | 62,15 | 1.41 | 1,293 |

Table 85. Estimate of Standard error

Labour force/new variable

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.653 | 0.005 | 0.73 | 0.643 | 0.662 | 3.44 | 34,443 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.725 | 0.011 | 1.57 | 0.702 | 0.747 | 3.97 | 6,672 |
| Khangai | 0.742 | 0.012 | 1.60 | 0.719 | 0.765 | 6.03 | 6,296 |
| Center | 0.668 | 0.011 | 1.59 | 0.647 | 0.688 | 3.48 | 6,409 |
| East | 0.688 | 0.013 | 1.86 | 0.663 | 0.713 | 2.08 | 6,036 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.525 | 0.007 | 1.34 | 0.511 | 0.538 | 2.1 | 9,030 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.564 | 0.006 | 1.00 | 0.553 | 0.575 | 2.46 | 17,514 |
| Rural | 0.763 | 0.008 | 1.04 | 0.748 | 0.779 | 5.31 | 16,929 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.697 | 0.005 | 0.77 | 0.687 | 0.708 | 2.29 | 16,894 |
| Female | 0.611 | 0.006 | 0.90 | 0.6 | 0.621 | 2.25 | 17,549 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.287 | 0.009 | 3.09 | 0.269 | 0.304 | 2.280 | 5,843 |
| 20-24 | 0.644 | 0.010 | 1.63 | 0.624 | 0.665 | 2.060 | 4,281 |
| 25-29 | 0.830 | 0.008 | 0.92 | 0.815 | 0.845 | 1.650 | 4,040 |
| 30-34 | 0.862 | 0.007 | 0.81 | 0.848 | 0.876 | 1.590 | 3,908 |
| 35-39 | 0.888 | 0.006 | 0.72 | 0.875 | 0.900 | 1.570 | 3,788 |
| 40-44 | 0.870 | 0.007 | 0.81 | 0.856 | 0.884 | 1.600 | 3,606 |
| 45-49 | 0.849 | 0.008 | 1.00 | 0.832 | 0.865 | 1.440 | 2,640 |
| 50-54 | 0.699 | 0.013 | 1.79 | 0.674 | 0.723 | 1.280 | 1,718 |
| 55-59 | 0.514 | 0.016 | 3.16 | 0.483 | 0.546 | 1.380 | 1,293 |
| 60-64 | 0.312 | 0.017 | 5.52 | 0.278 | 0.345 | 1.850 | 1,333 |
| 65-69 | 0.242 | 0.019 | 7.92 | 0.204 | 0.279 | 1.530 | 806 |
| 70+ | 0.096 | 0.010 | 10.58 | 0.076 | 0.116 | 1.360 | 1,187 |

Table 86. Estimate of Standard error
Employed/ Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.858 | 0.005 | 0.570 | 0.849 | 0.868 | 4.39 | 34,443 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.892 | 0.010 | 1.080 | 0.873 | 0.911 | 4.29 | 6,672 |
| Khangai | 0.863 | 0.010 | 1.210 | 0.842 | 0.883 | 5.58 | 6,296 |
| Center | 0.818 | 0.012 | 1.520 | 0.793 | 0.842 | 4.75 | 6,409 |
| East | 0.816 | 0.015 | 1.840 | 0.787 | 0.846 | 2.81 | 6,036 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.875 | 0.008 | 0.930 | 0.859 | 0.891 | 3.39 | 9,030 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.813 | 0.007 | 0.850 | 0.799 | 0.826 | 3.36 | 17,514 |
| Rural | 0.900 | 0.007 | 0.740 | 0.887 | 0.913 | 5.79 | 16,929 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.858 | 0.006 | 0.650 | 0.847 | 0.869 | 3.02 | 16,894 |
| Female | 0.859 | 0.005 | 0.620 | 0.849 | 0.870 | 2.50 | 17,549 |

Unemployed/ Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.142 | 0.005 | 3.440 | 0.132 | 0.151 | 4.39 | 34,443 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.108 | 0.010 | 8.980 | 0.089 | 0.127 | 4.29 | 6,672 |
| Khangai | 0.137 | 0.010 | 7.610 | 0.117 | 0.158 | 5.58 | 6,296 |
| Center | 0.182 | 0.012 | 6.820 | 0.158 | 0.207 | 4.75 | 6,409 |
| East | 0.184 | 0.015 | 8.170 | 0.154 | 0.213 | 2.81 | 6,036 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.125 | 0.008 | 6.510 | 0.109 | 0.141 | 3.39 | 9,030 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.187 | 0.007 | 3.680 | 0.174 | 0.201 | 3.36 | 17,514 |
| Rural | 0.100 | 0.006 | 6.690 | 0.087 | 0.113 | 5.79 | 16,929 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.142 | 0.006 | 3.940 | 0.131 | 0.153 | 3.02 | 16,894 |
| Female | 0.141 | 0.006 | 3.770 | 0.130 | 0.151 | 2.50 | 17,549 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.180 | 0.013 | 7.15 | 0.155 | 0.205 | 1.91 | 5,843 |
| 20-24 | 0.212 | 0.011 | 5.14 | 0.191 | 0.233 | 1.96 | 4,281 |
| 25-29 | 0.144 | 0.008 | 5.57 | 0.129 | 0.160 | 1.73 | 4,040 |
| 30-34 | 0.135 | 0.007 | 5.40 | 0.121 | 0.149 | 1.54 | 3,908 |

Table 87. Estimate of Standard error

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design <br> Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.910 | 0.004 | 0.490 | 0.901 | 0.919 | 5.17 | 34,443 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.922 | 0.009 | 0.940 | 0.905 | 0.939 | 4.40 | 6,672 |
| Khangai | 0.886 | 0.011 | 1.200 | 0.865 | 0.906 | 6.46 | 6,296 |
| Center | 0.903 | 0.011 | 1.190 | 0.882 | 0.924 | 5.47 | 6,409 |
| East | 0.884 | 0.013 | 1.520 | 0.858 | 0.911 | 3.04 | 6,036 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.941 | 0.007 | 0.730 | 0.927 | 0.954 | 4.43 | 9,030 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.882 | 0.007 | 0.770 | 0.869 | 0.895 | 4.39 | 17,514 |
| Rural | 0.935 | 0.006 | 0.620 | 0.924 | 0.946 | 6.16 | 16,929 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.908 | 0.005 | 0.540 | 0.898 | 0.918 | 3.21 | 16,894 |
| Female | 0.912 | 0.005 | 0.530 | 0.903 | 0.921 | 2.89 | 17,549 |

Usually unemployed/ Usually labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design <br> Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.090 | 0.004 | 4.980 | 0.081 | 0.099 | 5.17 | 34,443 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.078 | 0.009 | 11.130 | 0.061 | 0.095 | 4.40 | 6,672 |
| Khangai | 0.114 | 0.011 | 9.280 | 0.094 | 0.135 | 6.46 | 6,296 |
| Center | 0.097 | 0.011 | 11.090 | 0.076 | 0.118 | 5.47 | 6,409 |
| East | 0.116 | 0.013 | 11.650 | 0.089 | 0.142 | 3.04 | 6,036 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.059 | 0.007 | 11.640 | 0.046 | 0.073 | 4.43 | 9,030 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.118 | 0.007 | 5.730 | 0.105 | 0.131 | 4.39 | 17,514 |
| Rural | 0.065 | 0.006 | 8.940 | 0.054 | 0.076 | 6.16 | 16,929 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.092 | 0.005 | 5.360 | 0.082 | 0.102 | 3.21 | 16,894 |
| Female | 0.088 | 0.005 | 5.460 | 0.079 | 0.097 | 2.89 | 17,549 |

## I QUARTER

Table 88. Estimate of Standard error
Employed / Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard <br> error | C.V. <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | 95 \% Confidence <br> interval |  | Design <br> Effect | Number of <br> observations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.820 |  |  | 0.799 | 0.841 | 4.38 | 8,573 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.864 | 0.020 | 2.360 | 0.824 | 0.904 | 3.83 | 1,663 |
| Khangai | 0.812 | 0.026 | 3.170 | 0.761 | 0.862 | 6.60 | 1,621 |
| Center | 0.793 | 0.026 | 3.250 | 0.742 | 0.843 | 4.64 | 1,462 |
| East | 0.778 | 0.031 | 3.940 | 0.718 | 0.839 | 2.61 | 1,511 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.831 | 0.016 | 1.940 | 0.799 | 0.863 | 2.77 | 2,316 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Urban | 0.780 | 0.014 | 1.800 | 0.753 | 0.808 | 3.30 | 4,480 |
| Rural | 0.860 | 0.016 | 1.810 | 0.830 | 0.891 | 5.74 | 4,093 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.192 |
| Male | 0.822 | 0.012 | 1.490 | 0.798 | 0.846 | 3.08 | 4,192 |
| Female | 0.818 | 0.012 | 1.470 | 0.794 | 0.841 | 2.61 | 4,381 |

Unemployed/ Labour force

| Category |  | Estimate | Standard <br> error | C.V. <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | 95 \% Confidence <br> interval | Design <br> Effect | Number of <br> observations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.180 | 0.011 | 5.920 | 0.159 | Upper | 0.201 | 4.38 |

Region

| West | 0.136 | 0.020 | 14.950 | 0.096 | 0.176 | 3.83 | 1,663 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khangai | 0.188 | 0.026 | 13.690 | 0.138 | 0.239 | 6.60 | 1,621 |
| Center | 0.207 | 0.026 | 12.460 | 0.157 | 0.258 | 4.64 | 1,462 |
| East | 0.222 | 0.031 | 13.860 | 0.161 | 0.282 | 2.61 | 1,511 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.169 | 0.016 | 9.570 | 0.137 | 0.201 | 2.77 | 2,316 |

## Location

| Urban | 0.220 | 0.014 | 6.390 | 0.192 | 0.247 | 3.30 | 4,480 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 0.140 | 0.016 | 11.160 | 0.109 | 0.170 | 5.74 | 4,093 |

Sex

| Male | 0.178 | 0.012 | 6.880 | 0.154 | 0.202 | 3.08 | 4,192 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | 0.182 | 0.012 | 6.580 | 0.159 | 0.206 | 2.61 | 4,381 |

Employed / Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard <br> error | C.V. <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | 95 \% Confidence <br> interval |  | Design <br> Effect | Number of <br> observations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  | 1.190 | 0.830 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,563 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.854 | 0.024 | 2.770 | 0.808 | 0.901 | 4.61 | 1,688 |
| Khangai | 0.884 | 0.017 | 1.930 | 0.851 | 0.918 | 4.38 | 1,597 |
| Center | 0.797 | 0.030 | 3.710 | 0.739 | 0.855 | 6.31 | 1,395 |
| East | 0.785 | 0.033 | 4.190 | 0.721 | 0.850 | 2.86 | 1,562 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.873 | 0.015 | 1.680 | 0.844 | 0.902 | 2.72 | 2,321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.806 | 0.014 | 1.780 | 0.777 | 0.834 | 3.55 | 4,212 |
| Rural | 0.892 | 0.014 | 1.570 | 0.864 | 0.919 | 5.79 | 4,351 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.846 | 0.012 | 1.370 | 0.823 | 0.868 | 2.97 | 4,216 |
| Female | 0.855 | 0.011 | 1.270 | 0.834 | 0.876 | 2.52 | 4,347 |

## Unemployed/ Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.150 | 0.010 | 6.750 | 0.130 | 0.170 | 4.48 | 8,563 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.146 | 0.024 | 16.270 | 0.099 | 0.192 | 4.61 | 1,688 |
| Khangai | 0.116 | 0.017 | 14.710 | 0.082 | 0.149 | 4.38 | 1,597 |
| Center | 0.203 | 0.030 | 14.580 | 0.145 | 0.261 | 6.31 | 1,395 |
| East | 0.215 | 0.033 | 15.350 | 0.150 | 0.279 | 2.86 | 1,562 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.127 | 0.015 | 11.580 | 0.098 | 0.156 | 2.72 | 2,321 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.194 | 0.014 | 7.380 | 0.166 | 0.223 | 3.55 | 4,212 |
| Rural | 0.108 | 0.014 | 12.860 | 0.081 | 0.136 | 5.79 | 4,351 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.154 | 0.012 | 7.480 | 0.132 | 0.177 | 2.97 | 4,216 |
| Female | 0.145 | 0.011 | 7.450 | 0.124 | 0.166 | 2.52 | 4,347 |

## III QUARTER

Table 90. Estimate of Standard error
Employed / Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.868 | 0.009 | 1.09 | 0.850 | 0.887 | 4.31 | 8,540 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.907 | 0.017 | 1.88 | 0.873 | 0.940 | 3.99 | 1,635 |
| Khangai | 0.856 | 0.020 | 2.32 | 0.817 | 0.895 | 4.48 | 1,490 |
| Center | 0.833 | 0.024 | 2.87 | 0.786 | 0.880 | 4.82 | 1,706 |
| East | 0.845 | 0.027 | 3.17 | 0.793 | 0.898 | 2.57 | 1,487 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.887 | 0.019 | 2.11 | 0.850 | 0.923 | 4.64 | 2,222 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.817 | 0.014 | 1.70 | 0.790 | 0.844 | 3.36 | 4,495 |
| Rural | 0.914 | 0.012 | 1.36 | 0.890 | 0.938 | 5.72 | 4,045 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.865 | 0.011 | 1.26 | 0.844 | 0.887 | 2.92 | 4,190 |
| Female | 0.871 | 0.010 | 1.16 | 0.852 | 0.891 | 2.42 | 4,350 |

Unemployed/ Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.132 | 0.009 | 7.16 | 0.113 | 0.150 | 4.31 | 8,540 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.093 | 0.017 | 18.29 | 0.060 | 0.127 | 3.99 | 1,635 |
| Khangai | 0.144 | 0.020 | 13.75 | 0.105 | 0.183 | 4.48 | 1,490 |
| Center | 0.167 | 0.024 | 14.34 | 0.120 | 0.214 | 4.82 | 1,706 |
| East | 0.155 | 0.027 | 17.33 | 0.102 | 0.207 | 2.57 | 1,487 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.113 | 0.019 | 16.52 | 0.077 | 0.150 | 4.64 | 2,222 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.183 | 0.014 | 7.57 | 0.156 | 0.210 | 3.36 | 4,495 |
| Rural | 0.086 | 0.012 | 14.43 | 0.062 | 0.110 | 5.72 | 4,045 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.135 | 0.011 | 8.10 | 0.113 | 0.156 | 2.92 | 4,190 |
| Female | 0.129 | 0.010 | 7.82 | 0.109 | 0.148 | 2.42 | 4,350 |

## IV QUARTER

Table 91. Estimate of Standard error
Employed / Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.V. } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 95 \% Confidence interval |  | Design Effect | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Total | 0.893 | 0.009 | 0.96 | 0.877 | 0.910 | 4.42 | 8,767 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.932 | 0.017 | 1.84 | 0.898 | 0.965 | 5.40 | 1,686 |
| Khangai | 0.896 | 0.019 | 2.12 | 0.859 | 0.933 | 6.13 | 1,588 |
| Center | 0.843 | 0.02 | 2.41 | 0.803 | 0.882 | 3.41 | 1,846 |
| East | 0.855 | 0.032 | 3.74 | 0.793 | 0.918 | 4.05 | 1,476 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.912 | 0.014 | 1.50 | 0.885 | 0.939 | 3.21 | 2,171 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.849 | 0.013 | 1.49 | 0.824 | 0.874 | 3.28 | 4,327 |
| Rural | 0.931 | 0.011 | 1.21 | 0.909 | 0.953 | 6.08 | 4,440 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.896 | 0.01 | 1.11 | 0.876 | 0.915 | 3.13 | 4,296 |
| Female | 0.891 | 0.009 | 1.05 | 0.873 | 0.909 | 2.48 | 4,471 |

Unemployed/ Labour force

| Category | Estimate | Standard <br> error | C.V. <br> (\%) | 95 \% Confidence <br> interval |  | Design <br> Effect | Number of <br> observations |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.107 |  |  | 0.090 | Upper |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.42 | 8,767 |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | 0.068 | 0.017 | 25.19 | 0.035 | 0.102 | 5.40 | 1,686 |
| Khangai | 0.104 | 0.019 | 18.26 | 0.067 | 0.141 | 6.13 | 1,588 |
| Center | 0.157 | 0.020 | 12.91 | 0.118 | 0.197 | 3.41 | 1,846 |
| East | 0.145 | 0.032 | 22.14 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 4.05 | 1,476 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 0.088 | 0.014 | 15.63 | 0.061 | 0.115 | 3.21 | 2,171 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.151 | 0.013 | 8.39 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 3.28 | 4,327 |
| Rural | 0.069 | 0.011 | 16.36 | 0.047 | 0.091 | 6.08 | 4,440 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.104 | 0.010 | 9.52 | 0.085 | 0.124 | 3.13 | 4,296 |
| Female | 0.109 | 0.009 | 8.61 | 0.091 | 0.127 | 2.48 | 4,471 |

Table
Table 1: Population by age, sex, region, urban, rural

| Age group | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 0-4 | 8,2 | 8,5 | 7,9 | 6,5 | 6,9 | 6,2 | 10,1 | 10,3 | 9,9 |
| 5-9 | 9,5 | 9,9 | 9,2 | 8,1 | 8,6 | 7,7 | 11,1 | 11,3 | 11,0 |
| 10-14 | 13,2 | 13,7 | 12,7 | 14,1 | 14,7 | 13,2 | 12,4 | 12,6 | 12,1 |
| 15--17 | 7,7 | 7,8 | 7,6 | 8,0 | 8,2 | 7,8 | 7,4 | 7,4 | 7,4 |
| 18-19 | 4,2 | 4,4 | 4,0 | 4,3 | 4,4 | 4,1 | 4,1 | 4,3 | 3,8 |
| 20-24 | 8,6 | 8,7 | 8,5 | 8,6 | 8,7 | 8,6 | 8,6 | 8,7 | 8,4 |
| 25-29 | 8,0 | 7,9 | 8,1 | 7,4 | 7,2 | 7,7 | 8,7 | 8,7 | 8,7 |
| 30-34 | 7,9 | 7,6 | 8,1 | 7,9 | 7,4 | 8,3 | 7,8 | 7,8 | 7,9 |
| 35-39 | 7,8 | 7,2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 7,8 | 8,8 | 6,9 | 6,5 | 7,3 |
| 40-44 | 7,2 | 6,9 | 7,6 | 7,9 | 7,5 | 8,3 | 6,5 | 6,3 | 6,6 |
| 45-49 | 5,2 | 5,2 | 5,2 | 5,4 | 5,5 | 5,4 | 4,9 | 4,8 | 5,1 |
| 50-54 | 3,4 | 3,2 | 3,6 | 3,7 | 3,5 | 3,9 | 3,1 | 2,9 | 3,3 |
| 55-59 | 2,6 | 2,5 | 2,7 | 2,8 | 2,6 | 3,0 | 2,4 | 2,4 | 2,4 |
| 60-64 | 2,7 | 2,9 | 2,5 | 2,9 | 3,2 | 2,6 | 2,3 | 2,3 | 2,4 |
| 65-69 | 1,5 | 1,5 | 1,6 | 1,6 | 1,6 | 1,7 | 1,6 | 1,6 | 1,6 |
| 70+ | 2,3 | 2,1 | 2,4 | 2,5 | 2,2 | 2,7 | 2,1 | 2,1 | 2,1 |
| Total | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 |
| Center | 20,0 | 20,0 | 20,0 | 16,1 | 15,9 | 16,3 | 24,5 | 24,5 | 24,4 |
| East | 7,8 | 8,0 | 7,6 | 5,8 | 6,0 | 5,6 | 10,0 | 10,2 | 9,9 |
| West | 19,0 | 19,2 | 18,8 | 9,7 | 9,8 | 9,6 | 30,0 | 29,9 | 30,1 |
| Khangai | 24,3 | 24,5 | 24,2 | 14,7 | 14,8 | 14,6 | 35,5 | 35,4 | 35,6 |
| UB | 28,9 | 28,3 | 29,4 | 53,7 | 53,5 | 53,9 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |

Table 2: Number of household sex of household head, household size and number of
employed in the household

|  | Number of HH |  | Number of unemployed persons |  |  |  |  | Number of employed persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of | Nu | Nu | Nu | Number of |  |
|  | (Number) | (\%) | households with no employees | households with 1 employed | households with 2 employed | households with 3 employed | households with 4 or more employed |  |
| Total | 568,800 | 100,0 | 18,3 | 29,7 | 37,5 | 8,3 | 6,2 | 899,300 |
| Household size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37,200 | 100,0 | 53,2 | 46,8 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 17,400 |
| 2 | 78,500 | 100,0 | 32,9 | 33,3 | 33,8 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 79,300 |
| 3 | 122,000 | 100,0 | 17,3 | 32,4 | 39,0 | 11,3 | 0,0 | 176,100 |
| 4 | 144,700 | 100,0 | 11,0 | 27,0 | 48,3 | 8,2 | 5,5 | 245,900 |
| 5 | 97,000 | 100,0 | 10,7 | 27,7 | 40,9 | 10,4 | 10,3 | 180,600 |
| 6 | 48,500 | 100,0 | 12,8 | 24,1 | 37,5 | 10,5 | 15,1 | 98,000 |
| 7 | 24,800 | 100,0 | 11,2 | 20,8 | 30,7 | 16,4 | 20,9 | 58,600 |
| $8+$ | 16,100 | 100,0 | 14,3 | 17,2 | 23,5 | 15,6 | 29,4 | 43,400 |
| Male headed | 475,400 | 100,0 | 15,1 | 26,8 | 42,3 | 8,9 | 6,9 | 807,600 |
| Household size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 20,800 | 100,0 | 44,1 | 55,9 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 11,600 |
| 2 | 54,900 | 100,0 | 32,0 | 25,9 | 42,1 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 60,500 |
| 3 | 99,200 | 100,0 | 14,8 | 27,8 | 44,9 | 12,5 | 0,0 | 153,800 |
| 4 | 130,700 | 100,0 | 9,8 | 24,7 | 51,5 | 8,3 | 5,7 | 229,100 |
| 5 | 88,200 | 100,0 | 9,4 | 27,1 | 43,0 | 10,4 | 10,1 | 167,000 |
| 6 | 44,200 | 100,0 | 11,6 | 23,6 | 39,1 | 10,2 | 15,5 | 90,800 |
| 7 | 22,600 | 100,0 | 10,4 | 20,8 | 32,2 | 15,2 | 21,4 | 53,700 |
| $8+$ | 14,800 | 100,0 | 13,2 | 17,4 | 23,2 | 15,1 | 31,1 | 41,100 |
| Female headed | 93,400 | 100,0 | 34,7 | 44,2 | 13,4 | 5,3 | 2,4 | 91,700 |
| Household size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16,400 | 100,0 | 64,8 | 35,2 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 5,800 |
| 2 | 23,600 | 100,0 | 34,9 | 50,5 | 14,6 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 18,800 |
| 3 | 22,800 | 100,0 | 28,1 | 52,1 | 13,6 | 6,2 | 0,0 | 22,300 |
| 4 | 14,000 | 100,0 | 22,2 | 48,6 | 18,9 | 7,8 | 2,5 | 16,800 |
| 5 | 8,800 | 100,0 | 24,7 | 33,5 | 19,0 | 10,5 | 12,3 | 13,600 |
| 6 | 4,300 | 100,0 | 24,5 | 29,9 | 21,4 | 13,6 | 10,6 | 7,200 |
| 7 | 2,200 | 100,0 | 18,6 | 20,3 | 15,6 | 29,4 | 16,1 | 4,900 |
| $8+$ | 1,300 | 100,0 | 27,0 | 15,0 | 26,7 | 22,6 | 8,7 | 2,300 |
| By region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Center | 114,700 | 100, 0 | 18,5 | 32,4 | 36,4 | 7,9 | 4,8 | 172,100 |
| East | 48,500 | 100, 0 | 24,5 | 27,1 | 35,9 | 7,6 | 4,9 | 69,800 |
| West | 97,900 | 100,0 | 14,4 | 21,4 | 40,0 | 11,4 | 12,8 | 192,300 |
| Khangai | 138,900 | 100,0 | 17,2 | 23,3 | 39,2 | 11,2 | 9,1 | 246,500 |

Table 3: Number of household sex of household head, household size and number of unemployed in the household

|  | Number of HH |  | Number of unemployed persons |  |  |  |  | Number of <br> Unemploy ed persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of | Number of | Number of | Number of | Number of |  |
|  | (Number) | \% | households with no unemployees | households with 1 unemployed | households with 2 unemployed | households with 3 unemployed | $\begin{gathered} \text { with } 4 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { unemployed } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total | 568,800 | 100,0 | 82,4 | 12,3 | 4,2 | 0,7 | 0,4 | 142,800 |
| Household size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37,200 | 100,0 | 95,2 | 4,8 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 1,800 |
| 2 | 78,500 | 100,0 | 90,4 | 8,6 | 1,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 8,300 |
| 3 | 122,000 | 100,0 | 85,0 | 11,6 | 3,3 | 0,1 | 0,0 | 22,700 |
| 4 | 144,700 | 100,0 | 82,5 | 12,9 | 4,0 | 0,5 | 0,1 | 33,800 |
| 5 | 97,000 | 100,0 | 78,7 | 15,1 | 5,1 | 0,8 | 0,5 | 28,900 |
| 6 | 48,500 | 100,0 | 71,8 | 17,4 | 8,6 | 1,4 | 0,8 | 20,800 |
| 7 | 24,800 | 100,0 | 70,3 | 14,6 | 8,5 | 4,1 | 2,5 | 13,800 |
| $8+$ | 16,100 | 100,0 | 65,4 | 12,0 | 11,4 | 6,4 | 4,8 | 12,700 |
| Male headed | 475,400 | 100,0 | 82,3 | 12,2 | 4,3 | 0,7 | 0,5 | 120,400 |
| Household size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 20,800 | 100,0 | 95,4 | 4,6 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 1,000 |
| 2 | 54,900 | 100,0 | 91,1 | 7,8 | 1,1 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 5,400 |
| 3 | 99,200 | 100,0 | 85,4 | 11,3 | 3,3 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 18,100 |
| 4 | 130,700 | 100,0 | 82,9 | 12,4 | 4,0 | 0,6 | 0,1 | 30,200 |
| 5 | 88,200 | 100,0 | 79,2 | 14,9 | 4,8 | 0,6 | 0,5 | 25,500 |
| 6 | 44,200 | 100,0 | 73,3 | 16,8 | 8,4 | 0,9 | 0,6 | 17,500 |
| 7 | 22,600 | 100,0 | 71,0 | 14,8 | 8,3 | 3,6 | 2,3 | 11,800 |
| $8+$ | 14,800 | 100,0 | 68,2 | 10,2 | 10,6 | 6,5 | 4,5 | 10,900 |
| Female headed | 93,400 | 100,0 | 82,8 | 12,6 | 3,4 | 0,8 | 0,4 | 22,400 |
| Household size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16,400 | 100,0 | 95,1 | 4,9 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 800 |
| 2 | 23,600 | 100,0 | 88,8 | 10,2 | 1,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 2,900 |
| 3 | 22,800 | 100,0 | 83,6 | 12,9 | 3,0 | 0,5 | 0,0 | 4,600 |
| 4 | 14,000 | 100,0 | 78,5 | 17,3 | 4,1 | 0,1 | 0,0 | 3,600 |
| 5 | 88,00 | 100,0 | 73,8 | 16,4 | 8,3 | 1,2 | 0,3 | 3,400 |
| 6 | 4,300 | 100,0 | 57,0 | 23,8 | 10,2 | 5,6 | 3,4 | 3,300 |
| 7 | 2,200 | 100,0 | 62,7 | 11,8 | 9,9 | 9,4 | 6,2 | 2,000 |
| $8+$ | 1,300 | 100,0 | 33,5 | 32,8 | 21,1 | 4,6 | 8,0 | 1,800 |
| By region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Center | 114,700 | 100,0 | 77,7 | 15,3 | 5,2 | 1,2 | 0,6 | 37,500 |
| East | 48,500 | 100,0 | 78,6 | 14,0 | 6,0 | 0,9 | 0,5 | 15,500 |
| West | 97,900 | 100,0 | 84,8 | 10,2 | 4,1 | 0,5 | 0,4 | 21,300 |
| Khangai | 138,900 | 100,0 | 82,0 | 12,1 | 4,5 | 1,0 | 0,4 | 37,200 |
| UB | 168,800 | 100,0 | 85,6 | 11,1 | 2,6 | 0,3 | 0,4 | 31,300 |

Table 4: Distribution of population aged 15 year and over by educational attainment and sector

| Educational attainment | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| University graduate | 183,200 | 85,900 | 97,300 | 164,500 | 76,900 | 87,600 | 18,700 | 9,000 | 9,700 |
| Tech/Voc/Dip | 142,700 | 54,100 | 88,600 | 100,800 | 38,600 | 62,200 | 41,900 | 15,500 | 26,400 |
| Initial Tech/Voc | 77,500 | 41,900 | 35,600 | 49,000 | 26,600 | 22,400 | 28,500 | 15,300 | 13,200 |
| Completed Secondary | 374,500 | 172,200 | 202,300 | 268,600 | 127,200 | 141,400 | 105,900 | 45,000 | 60,900 |
| Incomplete Secondary | 426,000 | 228,300 | 197,700 | 175,900 | 95,500 | 80,400 | 250,100 | 132,800 | 117,300 |
| Primary | 260,900 | 133,500 | 127,400 | 76,400 | 35,600 | 40,800 | 184,500 | 97,900 | 86,600 |
| None | 74,400 | 34,900 | 39,500 | 19,900 | 8,200 | 11,700 | 54,500 | 26,700 | 27,800 |
| Total | 1,539,200 | 750,800 | 788,400 | 855,100 | 408,600 | 446,500 | 684,100 | 342,200 | 341,900 |

Table 5: Average number of hours spent on fetching water

| fetching water |  |  |  | fetching fuel |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Number of persons | Total hours | Average hours | Age | Number of persons | Total hours | Average hours |
| Total | 818,800 | 3018007.3 | 3.7 | Total | 823,100 | 3658279.9 | 4.4 |
| 5-9 | 57,200 | 168089.4 | 2.9 | 5-9 | 58,600 | 192613.6 | 3.3 |
| 10-14 | 150,300 | 508116.6 | 3.4 | 10-14 | 123,400 | 464782.4 | 3.8 |
| 15-17 | 93,000 | 351201.0 | 3.8 | 15-17 | 83,100 | 352167.2 | 4.2 |
| 18-24 | 137,700 | 551201.0 | 4.0 | 18-24 | 128,500 | 588740.8 | 4.6 |
| 25-34 | 170,000 | 657801.8 | 3.9 | 25-34 | 171,500 | 840665.7 | 4.9 |
| 35-44 | 105,500 | 400009.3 | 3.8 | 35-44 | 122,700 | 599106.3 | 4.9 |
| 45-54 | 52,500 | 196655.8 | 3.7 | 45-54 | 66,800 | 316133.3 | 4.7 |
| 55-64 | 34,400 | 124273.8 | 3.6 | 55-64 | 43,400 | 200343.3 | 4.6 |
| $65+$ | 18,200 | 60658.8 | 3.3 | 65+ | 25,100 | 103727.3 | 4.1 |
| Male | 496,400 | 1918949.9 | 3.9 | Male | 502,500 | 2323661.1 | 4.6 |
| 5-9 | 33,800 | 102678.4 | 3.0 | 5-9 | 34,200 | 113896.3 | 3.3 |
| 10-14 | 89,000 | 322563.2 | 3.6 | 10-14 | 75,500 | 299223.3 | 4.0 |
| 15-17 | 53,500 | 215328.9 | 4.0 | 15-17 | 50,900 | 228802.1 | 4.5 |
| 18-24 | 83,100 | 351912.6 | 4.2 | 18-24 | 78,700 | 380166.2 | 4.8 |
| 25-34 | 103,800 | 417423.0 | 4.0 | 25-34 | 103,200 | 526301.6 | 5.1 |
| 35-44 | 67,200 | 261071.2 | 3.9 | 35-44 | 77,700 | 388118.9 | 5.0 |
| 45-54 | 32,100 | 124381.2 | 3.9 | 45-54 | 40,300 | 197855.7 | 4.9 |
| 55-64 | 22,300 | 82872.6 | 3.7 | 55-64 | 27,400 | 127318.1 | 4.6 |
| 65+ | 11,600 | 40718.8 | 3.5 | 65+ | 14,600 | 61979.0 | 4.2 |
| Female | 322,400 | 1099057.4 | 3.4 | Female | 320,600 | 1334618.8 | 4.2 |
| 5-9 | 23,300 | 65410.9 | 2.8 | 5-9 | 24,400 | 78717.3 | 3.2 |
| 10-14 | 61,300 | 185553.4 | 3.0 | 10-14 | 47,900 | 165559.1 | 3.5 |
| 15-17 | 39,500 | 135872.1 | 3.4 | 15-17 | 32,200 | 123365.2 | 3.8 |
| 18-24 | 54,600 | 199288.3 | 3.7 | 18-24 | 49,800 | 208574.6 | 4.2 |
| 25-34 | 66,200 | 240378.8 | 3.6 | 25-34 | 68,200 | 314364.2 | 4.6 |
| 35-44 | 38,400 | 138938.0 | 3.6 | 35-44 | 45,000 | 210987.4 | 4.7 |
| 45-54 | 20,400 | 72274.6 | 3.5 | 45-54 | 26,600 | 118277.6 | 4.5 |


| 55-64 | 12,100 | 41401.2 | 3.4 | 55-64 | 16,000 | 73025.2 | 4.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65+ | 6,600 | 19940.0 | 3.0 | 65+ | 10,500 | 41748.3 | 4.0 |

Table 7: Percentage of persons aged 15 years and over who had engaged in current economic activities during the reference week by sex and sector

|  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Wage job | 37.2 | 34.9 | 39.8 | 65.4 | 63.6 | 67.4 | 14.5 | 12.8 | 16.5 |
| Self employed | 62.8 | 65.1 | 60.2 | 34.6 | 36.4 | 32.6 | 85.5 | 87.2 | 83.5 |
| Total, \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| number | 800,500 | 419,000 | 381,500 | 356,600 | 182,000 | 174,600 | 443,900 | 237,000 | 206,900 |

Table 8: Persons aged 15 years over who had engaged in current economic activities during the reference week by sex, time and sector

| Spent time | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 0-9 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 4.1 |
| 10-19 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 10.4 |
| 20-29 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 10.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 13.2 | 9.5 | 17.4 |
| 30-39 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 12.7 |
| 40-49 | 40.6 | 37.8 | 43.6 | 58.5 | 55.6 | 61.5 | 26.2 | 24.1 | 28.6 |
| 50-59 | 15.9 | 17.8 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 16.0 | 14.4 | 16.5 | 19.1 | 13.4 |
| 60-69 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 4.8 |
| 70+ | 13.4 | 17.8 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 13.8 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 20.7 | 8.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| number, 15+ | 800,500 | 419,000 | 381,500 | 356,600 | 182,000 | 174,600 | 443,900 | 237,000 | 206,900 |

Table 9: Percentage of persons aged 5 years old over who had engaged in current Noneconomic activities during the reference week by sex, time group, sector

| Spent time | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
| $0-9$ | 29.4 | 40.9 | 18.8 | 32.7 | 45.4 | 21.6 | 25.4 | 35.8 | 15.3 |  |
| $10-19$ | 25.9 | 29.6 | 22.6 | 26.8 | 28.3 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 31.0 | 19.1 |  |
| $20-29$ | 19.0 | 15.9 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 14.9 | 23.9 | 18.3 | 16.9 | 19.6 |  |
| $30-39$ | 10.6 | 6.9 | 13.9 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 15.5 |  |
| $40-49$ | 6.3 | 3.7 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 11.0 |  |
| $50-59$ | 3.4 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 7.8 |  |
| $60-69$ | 2.0 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 4.8 |  |
| $70+$ | 3.4 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 7.0 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Number, 5+ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 6 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 2 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 6 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 0 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 2 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Table 10: Currently employed population by status of employment, sex

|  | Total |  | Male |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| Employement status | number | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Paid employee on contract | 312,000 | 36.3 | 34.1 | 38.5 |
| Paid employee under civil law | 26,700 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Employer | 5,500 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Member of cooperative | 1,800 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Own account worker | 301,500 | 34.9 | 43.4 | 25.7 |
| Unpaid family worker | 213,800 | 24.8 | 18.4 | 31.7 |
| Other | 1,200 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Currently employed population | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 5 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{4 4 8 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 6 0 0}$ |

## Table 11: Currently employed population aged 15 and over by sectors <br> of employees in the enterprise

| Sector of employment cross | Total |  | number of employees |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | no regular employees | 1 to 4 paid employees | 5 to 9 paid employees | 10 or more paid employees |
|  | number | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Private enterprise | 33,100 | 100.0 | 68.5 | 19.6 | 5.5 | 6.4 |
| Partnership | 2,600 | 100.0 | 4.1 | 38.2 | 20.6 | 37.1 |
| Cooperative | 4,500 | 100.0 | 14.2 | 26.3 | 24.1 | 35.4 |
| Joint state and private company | 39,000 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 84.0 |
| Limited liability company | 84,000 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 74.4 |
| State industry | 32,200 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 92.2 |
| Government enterprise | 170,000 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 86.5 |
| Non-profit organization | 13,100 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 15.1 | 18.8 | 65.7 |
| Self-employed | 484,000 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Total | 862,500 | 100.0 | 56.5 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 33.6 |

Table 12: Currently employed population aged 15 and over by status of enterprise, region

| Sector of employment cross | Total |  | Region/U-R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { East } \\ \hline \% \end{gathered}$ | West <br> \% | Khangai <br> \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UB } \\ & \hline \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | number | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Private enterprise | 33,100 | 100.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 28.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 53.5 | 7.8 |
| Partnership | 2,600 | 100.0 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 26.1 | 47.3 |
| Cooperative | 4,500 | 100.0 | 45.2 | 54.8 | 17.4 | 10.5 | 36.2 | 19.1 | 16.8 |
| Joint state and private company | 39,000 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 24.5 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 15.0 | 47.1 |
| Limited liability company | 84,000 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 12.1 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 74.7 |
| State industry | 32,200 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 32.0 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 27.3 | 26.7 |
| Government enterprise | 170,000 | 100.0 | 70.5 | 29.5 | 18.1 | 7.4 | 14.6 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
| Non-profit organization | 13,100 | 100.0 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 22.5 | 3.8 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 55.3 |
| Self-employed | 484,000 | 100.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 19.2 | 9.6 | 28.3 | 32.8 | 10.0 |
| Total | 862,500 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 19.4 | 7.9 | 20.4 | 27.0 | 25.3 |

Table 13: Currently employed population in private enterprise, partnership and self employment by number of employees the enterprise and age

| Sector of employment cross | Total |  | Number of employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | no regular employees |  | 1 to 4 paid employees |  | 5 to 9 paid employees |  | 10 or more paid employees |  |
|  | number | \% | number | \% | number | \% | number | \% | number | \% |
| Urban | 95,200 | 100.0 | 76,500 | 80.3 | 9,400 | 9.9 | 3,300 | 3.5 | 6,000 | 6.3 |
| Private enterprise | 9,900 | 100.0 | 3,300 | 33.6 | 3,600 | 36.4 | 1,200 | 11.5 | 1,800 | 18.5 |
| Partnership | 2,000 | 100.0 | 100 | 2.5 | 600 | 31.1 | 500 | 26.0 | 800 | 40.4 |
| Self-employed | 83,300 | 100.0 | 73,100 | 87.8 | 5,200 | 6.2 | 1,600 | 1.9 | 3,400 | 4.0 |
| 15-19 | 3,700 | 100.0 | 2,700 | 72.3 | 400 | 11.0 | 300 | 9.5 | 300 | 7.1 |
| 20-24 | 7,000 | 100.0 | 5,000 | 70.3 | 700 | 11.1 | 500 | 6.9 | 800 | 11.6 |
| 25-34 | 31,800 | 100.0 | 25,100 | 78.9 | 3,900 | 12.1 | 700 | 2.3 | 2,100 | 6.7 |
| 35-44 | 35,900 | 100.0 | 30,200 | 84.3 | 2,700 | 7.4 | 1,100 | 3.0 | 1,900 | 5.3 |
| 45-54 | 14,000 | 100.0 | 11,100 | 79.4 | 1,400 | 10.4 | 700 | 4.5 | 800 | 5.7 |
| 55-64 | 2,500 | 100.0 | 2,100 | 85.4 | 300 | 10.6 | - | 0.0 | 100 | 4.0 |
| $65+$ | 300 | 100.0 | 300 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| Rural | 29,900 | 100.0 | 25,200 | 84.5 | 3,400 | 11.2 | 800 | 2.7 | 500 | 1.6 |
| Private enterprise | 4,500 | 100.0 | 1,800 | 39.8 | 1,900 | 42.2 | 600 | 13.6 | 200 | 4.5 |
| Partnership | 200 | 100.0 | - | 16.0 | 200 | 84.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| Self-employed | 25,200 | 100.0 | 23,400 | 93.0 | 1,300 | 5.1 | 200 | 0.7 | 300 | 1.1 |
| 15-19 | 1,600 | 100.0 | 1,400 | 88.1 | 100 | 3.5 | 100 | 8.4 | - | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 3,100 | 100.0 | 2,500 | 78.0 | 400 | 14.2 | - | 0.0 | 200 | 7.9 |
| 25-34 | 9,400 | 100.0 | 8,000 | 85.3 | 1,000 | 11.0 | 300 | 2.9 | 100 | 0.8 |
| 35-44 | 10,700 | 100.0 | 9,200 | 86.0 | 1,200 | 10.9 | 200 | 1.9 | 100 | 1.2 |
| 45-54 | 3,900 | 100.0 | 3,200 | 81.9 | 500 | 13.3 | 100 | 3.7 | 100 | 1.2 |
| 55-64 | 900 | 100.0 | 700 | 89.2 | 100 | 6.0 | 100 | 4.8 | - | 0.0 |
| 65+ | 300 | 100.0 | 200 | 65.6 | 100 | 34.4 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| Total nonagriculture | 125,100 | 100.0 | 101,700 | 81.3 | 12,800 | 10.2 | 4,100 | 3.3 | 6,500 | 5.2 |
| 15-19 | 5,300 | 100.0 | 4,100 | 77.2 | 500 | 8.7 | 400 | 9.2 | 300 | 4.9 |
| 20-24 | 10,100 | 100.0 | 7,500 | 72.7 | 1,100 | 12.0 | 500 | 4.8 | 1,000 | 10.5 |
| 25-34 | 41,200 | 100.0 | 33,100 | 80.3 | 4,900 | 11.8 | 1,000 | 2.4 | 2,200 | 5.4 |
| 35-44 | 46,600 | 100.0 | 39,400 | 84.7 | 3,900 | 8.2 | 1,300 | 2.7 | 2,000 | 4.3 |
| 45-54 | 17,900 | 100.0 | 14,300 | 80.0 | 1,900 | 11.0 | 800 | 4.3 | 900 | 4.7 |
| 55-64 | 3,400 | 100.0 | 2,800 | 86.4 | 400 | 9.4 | 100 | 1.2 | 100 | 3.0 |
| 65+ | 600 | 100.0 | 500 | 82.1 | 100 | 17.9 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |


|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{1-4}$ | Q ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | Q ${ }_{3}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{1}+\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{3}+\mathbf{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usually economically active population (15 +) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 1,004,800 | 1,002,600 | 986, 800 | 1, 020, 000 | 1,014, 100 | 995, 200 | 1,015, 700 |
| Male | 523, 500 | 527, 700 | 514, 500 | 526,800 | 525, 900 | 521, 500 | 525, 700 |
| Female | 481, 300 | 474, 900 | 472, 300 | 493,200 | 488, 200 | 473, 700 | 490, 000 |
| Urban | 482, 600 | 504, 500 | 477, 600 | 481,700 | 466, 300 | 491, 300 | 473, 300 |
| Male | 247, 800 | 260, 000 | 243, 400 | 246,800 | 239, 400 | 252, 000 | 243, 000 |
| Female | 234, 800 | 244, 500 | 234, 200 | 234,900 | 226, 900 | 239, 300 | 230, 300 |
| Rural | 522, 200 | 498, 100 | 509, 200 | 538,300 | 547, 800 | 503, 900 | 542, 400 |
| Male | 275, 700 | 267, 700 | 271, 100 | 280,000 | 286, 500 | 269, 500 | 282, 700 |
| Female | 246, 500 | 230, 400 | 238, 100 | 258,300 | 261, 300 | 234, 400 | 259, 700 |
| Central | 204, 600 | 201, 000 | 206, 300 | 215,600 | 195, 300 | 204, 000 | 205, 200 |
| East | 83, 600 | 83, 800 | 78, 600 | 86,100 | 87, 000 | 81, 100 | 86, 300 |
| West | 196, 900 | 190, 000 | 181, 000 | 213,800 | 207, 000 | 185, 600 | 209, 800 |
| Khangai | 270, 400 | 266, 900 | 273, 600 | 259, 000 | 281, 600 | 270, 400 | 270, 100 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 249, 300 | 260, 900 | 247, 300 | 245, 500 | 243, 200 | 254, 100 | 244, 300 |
| Usually LFPR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 65,3 | 66,6 | 65,1 | 64,9 | 65,0 | 65,9 | 64,9 |
| Male | 69,7 | 72,2 | 69,4 | 68,9 | 68,7 | 70,8 | 68,7 |
| Female | 61,1 | 61,4 | 60,9 | 61,0 | 61,4 | 61,2 | 61,2 |
| Urban | 56,4 | 59,9 | 55,8 | 55,3 | 55,1 | 57,9 | 55,1 |
| Male | 60,6 | 65,4 | 59,5 | 59,8 | 58,9 | 62,5 | 58,8 |
| Female | 52,6 | 55,0 | 52,4 | 51,9 | 51,5 | 53,7 | 51,6 |
| Rural | 76,3 | 75,1 | 77,1 | 76,8 | 76,8 | 76,2 | 76,8 |
| Male | 80,6 | 80,2 | 81,6 | 81,0 | 79,8 | 81,0 | 80,4 |
| Female | 72,1 | 70,0 | 72,5 | 72,6 | 73,7 | 71,3 | 73,2 |
| Central | 66,8 | 69,2 | 71,5 | 64,7 | 63,5 | 70,4 | 64,0 |
| East | 68,8 | 70,4 | 63,4 | 72,2 | 70,6 | 66,7 | 71,1 |
| West | 72,5 | 71,6 | 69,3 | 76,9 | 72,4 | 70,6 | 74,5 |
| Khangai | 74,2 | 74,1 | 76,0 | 71,1 | 75,4 | 75,1 | 73,3 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 52,5 | 55,5 | 51,3 | 51,4 | 51,7 | 53,4 | 51,5 |

Usually economically Inactive population (15 +)

| Both sexes | $\mathbf{5 3 4 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 9 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 2 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 6 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 5 , \mathbf { 2 0 0 }}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 227,400 | 203,600 | 226,500 | 237,500 | 239,400 | 214,800 |
| Female | 307,000 | 298,600 | 302,800 | 314,900 | 306,700 | 300,400 |
| Urban | 372,500 | 337,400 | 378,000 | 389,600 | 380,500 | 357,600 |
| Male | 160,900 | 137,700 | 165,400 | 172,000 | 167,100 | 151,500 |
| Female | 211,600 | 199,700 | 212,600 | 217,600 | 213,400 | 206,100 |
| Rural | 161,900 | 164,800 | 151,300 | 162,800 | 165,600 | 157,600 |
| Male | 66,500 | 65,900 | 61,100 | 65,500 | 72,300 | 63,300 |
| Female | 95,400 | 98,900 | 90,200 | 97,300 | 93,300 | 94,300 |
| Central |  |  |  |  |  | 169,900 |
| East | 101,900 | 89,300 | 82,300 | 117,600 | 112,400 | 85,900 |
| West | 37,900 | 35,100 | 45,300 | 33,200 | 36,100 | 40,400 |
| Khangai | 74,800 | 75,400 | 80,100 | 64,300 | 79,000 | 77,200 |
| Ulaanbatar | 94,000 | 93,300 | 86,400 | 104,900 | 91,800 | 89,600 |

Table 15: Currently employed population aged 15 and over

|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{1}+\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{3}+\mathbf{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currently employed population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 862,500 | 822,300 | 838,700 | 885,600 | 906,000 | 831,300 | 895,000 |
| Male | 448,900 | 433,900 | 435,000 | 455,900 | 471,000 | 434,900 | 463,200 |
| Female | 413,600 | 388,400 | 403,700 | 429,700 | 435,000 | 396,400 | 431,800 |
| Urban | 392,300 | 393,700 | 384,700 | 393,500 | 395,900 | 389,500 | 394,400 |
| Male | 199,900 | 199,900 | 192,600 | 201,300 | 204,000 | 196,500 | 202,700 |
| Female | 192,400 | 193,800 | 192,100 | 192,200 | 191,900 | 193,000 | 191,700 |
| Rural | 470,200 | 428,600 | 454,000 | 492,100 | 510,100 | 441,800 | 500,600 |
| Male | 249,000 | 234,000 | 242,400 | 254,600 | 267,000 | 238,400 | 260,500 |
| Female | 221,200 | 194,600 | 211,600 | 237,500 | 243,100 | 203,400 | 240,100 |
| Central | 167,300 | 159,400 | 164,500 | 179,600 | 164,600 | 161,900 | 171,900 |
| East | 68,200 | 65,200 | 61,700 | 72,800 | 74,400 | 63,400 | 73,300 |
| West | 175,700 | 164,100 | 154,600 | 193,900 | 192,900 | 159,900 | 193,300 |
| Khangai | 233,300 | 216,700 | 241,900 | 221,600 | 252,300 | 229,700 | 236,800 |
| Ulaanbaatar | 218,000 | 216,900 | 216,000 | 217,700 | 221,800 | 216,400 | 219,700 |
| Currently employed by sector (15+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 862,500 | 822,300 | 838,700 | 885,600 | 906,000 | 831,300 | 895,000 |
| Agriculture | 402,700 | 363,300 | 382,100 | 424,500 | 445,400 | 373,200 | 434,500 |
| Production | 102,800 | 106,300 | 102,800 | 98,100 | 104,100 | 104,700 | 100,900 |
| Services | 357,000 | 352,700 | 353,800 | 363,000 | 356,500 | 353,400 | 359,600 |
| Male | 448,900 | 433,900 | 435,000 | 455,900 | 471,000 | 434,900 | 463,200 |
| Agriculture | 216,500 | 201,500 | 206,800 | 225,100 | 234,400 | 204,500 | 229,500 |
| Production | 62,400 | 65,100 | 60,400 | 61,500 | 62,200 | 62,800 | 61,800 |
| Services | 170,000 | 167,300 | 167,800 | 169,300 | 174,400 | 167,600 | 171,900 |
| Female | 413,600 | 388,400 | 403,700 | 429,700 | 435,000 | 396,400 | 431,800 |
| Agriculture | 186,200 | 161,800 | 175,300 | 199,400 | 211,000 | 168,700 | 205,000 |
| Production | 40,500 | 41,200 | 42,400 | 36,600 | 41,900 | 41,900 | 39,100 |
| Services | 186,900 | 185,400 | 186,000 | 193,700 | 182,100 | 185,800 | 187,700 |
| 3 Currently employed by employment status (15+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 862,500 | 822,300 | 838,700 | 885,600 | 906,000 | 831,200 | 895,000 |
| Paid employees | 338,700 | 350,000 | 343,400 | 339,400 | 321,800 | 347,000 | 330,100 |
| Employer Own account | 5,500 | 5,400 | 6,100 | 5,600 | 5,000 | 5,800 | 5,400 |
| worker <br> Unpaid family | 303,300 | 271,600 | 276,100 | 313,900 | 350,500 | 274,100 | 332,000 |
| worker | 215,000 | 195,300 | 213,100 | 226,700 | 228,700 | 204,300 | 227,500 |
| Male | 448,900 | 433,900 | 435,000 | 455,900 | 471,000 | 434,800 | 463,200 |
| Paid employees | 165,800 | 172,700 | 166,200 | 165,200 | 159,200 | 169,500 | 162,000 |
| Employer <br> Own account | 3,700 | 4,000 | 4,200 | 3,600 | 3,000 | 4,100 | 3,400 |
| worker | 196,300 | 172,700 | 176,100 | 206,000 | 230,000 | 174,600 | 217,900 |
| Unpaid family worker | 83,100 | 84,500 | 88,500 | 81,100 | 78,800 | 86,600 | 79,900 |
| Female | 413,600 | 388,400 | 403,700 | 429,700 | 435,000 | 396,400 | 431,800 |
| Paid employees | 172,800 | 177,300 | 177,200 | 174,200 | 162,600 | 177,500 | 168,100 |
| Employer Own account | 1,800 | 1,400 | 1,900 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,700 | 2,000 |
| worker | 107,100 | 98,900 | 100,000 | 107,900 | 120,500 | 99,500 | 114,100 |
| Unpaid family worker | 131,900 | 110,800 | 124,600 | 145,600 | 149,900 | 117,700 | 147,600 |


|  | $\mathrm{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{3}$ | Q4 | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}+\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Currently employed by occupation(15+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 862,500 | 822,300 | 838,700 | 885,600 | 906,000 | 831,300 | 895,000 |
| Legislators, professionals | 136,600 | 140,500 | 131,900 | 129,000 | 144,600 | 136,200 | 136,500 |
| Technicians, clerks, service | 155,800 | 148,000 | 151,400 | 163,800 | 161,200 | 149,600 | 162,000 |
| Skilled agricultural | 392,000 | 330,600 | 381,200 | 417,500 | 441,700 | 357,000 | 429,200 |
| Craft, elementary | 178,100 | 203,200 | 174,200 | 175,300 | 158,500 | 188,500 | 167,300 |
| Male | 448,900 | 433,900 | 435,000 | 455,900 | 471,000 | 434,900 | 463,200 |
| Legislators, professionals | 59,400 | 62,100 | 55,500 | 56,700 | 63,700 | 58,700 | 60,200 |
| Technicians, clerks, service | 56,500 | 52,900 | 54,500 | 57,700 | 61,100 | 53,600 | 59,200 |
| Skilled agricultural | 211,000 | 183,300 | 207,000 | 221,700 | 232,800 | 195,800 | 227,000 |
| Craft, elementary | 122,000 | 135,600 | 118,000 | 119,800 | 113,400 | 126,800 | 116,800 |
| Female | 413,600 | 388,400 | 403,700 | 429,700 | 435,000 | 396,400 | 431,800 |
| Legislators, professionals | 77,100 | 78,400 | 76,400 | 72,300 | 80,900 | 77,500 | 76,300 |
| Technicians, clerks, service | 99,400 | 95,100 | 96,900 | 106,100 | 100,100 | 96,000 | 102,800 |
| Skilled agricultural | 181,000 | 147,300 | 174,200 | 195,800 | 208,900 | 161,200 | 202,200 |
| Craft, elementary | 56,100 | 67,600 | 56,200 | 55,500 | 45,100 | 61,700 | 50,500 |
| 5 |  | Secondar | upation |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 23,900 | 24,700 | 21,100 | 24,000 | 27,200 | 23,000 | 25,600 |
| Male | 14,600 | 14,100 | 13,400 | 14,100 | 17,600 | 13,900 | 15,800 |
| Female | 9,300 | 10,600 | 7,700 | 9,900 | 9,600 | 9,100 | 9,800 |
| Urban | 7,600 | 13,900 | 7,200 | 6,200 | 2,900 | 10,600 | 4,600 |
| Male | 4,700 | 8,400 | 4,700 | 3,500 | 1,900 | 6,600 | 2,700 |
| Female | 2,900 | 5,500 | 2,500 | 2,700 | 1,000 | 4,000 | 1,900 |
| Rural | 16,300 | 10,800 | 13,900 | 17,800 | 24,300 | 12,400 | 21,000 |
| Male | 9,900 | 5,700 | 8,700 | 10,600 | 15,700 | 7,300 | 13,100 |
| Female | 6,400 | 5,100 | 5,200 | 7,200 | 8,600 | 5,100 | 7,900 |
| 6 |  | Under | loyed |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 58,200 | 78,500 | 53,100 | 58,900 | 42,400 | 65,800 | 50,800 |
| Male | 34,400 | 46,100 | 30,700 | 37,000 | 23,900 | 38,300 | 30,500 |
| Female | 23,800 | 32,400 | 22,400 | 21,900 | 18,500 | 27,500 | 20,300 |
| Urban | 20,800 | 39,200 | 19,200 | 16,500 | 7,900 | 29,300 | 12,400 |
| Male | 11,800 | 21,800 | 11,600 | 10,100 | 3,600 | 16,700 | 6,900 |
| Female | 9,000 | 17,400 | 7,600 | 6,400 | 4,300 | 12,600 | 5,500 |
| Rural | 37,400 | 39,300 | 33,900 | 42,400 | 34,500 | 36,500 | 38,400 |
| Male | 22,600 | 24,300 | 19,100 | 26,900 | 20,300 | 21,600 | 23,600 |
| Female | 14,800 | 15,000 | 14,800 | 15,500 | 14,200 | 14,900 | 14,800 |

Table 16: CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED POPULATION AGED 15 YEARS AND OVER

|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Q4 | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}+\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Currently unemployed (15 +) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 142,300 | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 | 163,900 | 120,700 |
| Male | 74,600 | 93,800 | 79,500 | 70,900 | 54,900 | 86,600 | 62,500 |
| Female | 67,700 | 86,500 | 68,600 | 63,500 | 53,200 | 77,300 | 58,200 |
| Urban | 90,300 | 110,800 | 92,900 | 88,200 | 70,400 | 101,800 | 78,900 |
| Male | 47,900 | 60,100 | 50,800 | 45,500 | 35,400 | 55,500 | 40,300 |
| Female | 42,400 | 50,700 | 42,100 | 42,700 | 35,000 | 46,300 | 38,600 |
| Rural | 52,000 | 69,500 | 55,200 | 46,200 | 37,700 | 62,100 | 41,800 |
| Male | 26,700 | 33,700 | 28,700 | 25,400 | 19,500 | 31,100 | 22,200 |
| Female | 25,300 | 35,800 | 26,500 | 20,800 | 18,200 | 31,000 | 19,600 |
| Central | 37,300 | 41,600 | 41,800 | 36,000 | 30,700 | 42,100 | 33,300 |
| East | 15,400 | 18,600 | 16,900 | 13,300 | 12,600 | 17,700 | 13,000 |
| West | 21,200 | 25,900 | 26,400 | 19,900 | 14,100 | 25,700 | 16,500 |
| Khangai | 37,200 | 50,200 | 31,700 | 37,400 | 29,300 | 40,700 | 33,300 |
| UB | 31,200 | 44,000 | 31,300 | 27,800 | 21,400 | 37,700 | 24,600 |
| Currently unemployment rate(15 +) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sex | 14,2 | 18,0 | 15,0 | 13,2 | 10,7 | 16,5 | 11,9 |
| Male | 14,2 | 17,8 | 15,4 | 13,5 | 10,4 | 16,6 | 11,9 |
| Female | 14,1 | 18,2 | 14,5 | 12,9 | 10,9 | 16,3 | 11,9 |
| Urban | 18,7 | 22,0 | 19,4 | 18,3 | 15,1 | 20,7 | 16,7 |
| Male | 19,3 | 23,1 | 20,9 | 18,4 | 14,8 | 22,0 | 16,6 |
| Female | 18,1 | 20,7 | 18,0 | 18,2 | 15,4 | 19,4 | 16,8 |
| Rural | 10,0 | 14,0 | 10,8 | 8,6 | 6,9 | 12,3 | 7,7 |
| Male | 9,7 | 12,6 | 10,6 | 9,1 | 6,8 | 11,6 | 7,9 |
| Female | 10,3 | 15,6 | 11,1 | 8,1 | 7,0 | 13,2 | 7,5 |
|  | 18,2 | 20,7 | 20,3 | 16,7 | 15,7 | 20,6 | 16,2 |
| Central | 18,4 | 22,2 | 21,5 | 15,5 | 14,5 | 21,8 | 15,0 |
| East | 10,8 | 13,6 | 14,6 | 9,3 | 6,8 | 13,9 | 7,9 |
| West | 13,7 | 18,8 | 11,6 | 14,4 | 10,4 | 15,1 | 12,3 |
| Khangai | 12,5 | 16,9 | 12,7 | 11,3 | 8,8 | 14,8 | 10,1 |
| Age distribution of Unemployed (15 +) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 142,300 | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 | 163,900 | 120,700 |
| 15-19 | 13,700 | 17,600 | 12,900 | 15,800 | 8,300 | 15,200 | 12,100 |
| 20-24 | 26,200 | 34,100 | 25,000 | 23,500 | 22,400 | 29,600 | 22,700 |
| 25-34 | 41,800 | 51,100 | 45,800 | 39,300 | 31,500 | 48,300 | 35,300 |
| 35-44 | 39,300 | 47,700 | 42,000 | 38,900 | 28,900 | 44,600 | 34,000 |
| 45-54 | 17,700 | 24,200 | 17,900 | 15,200 | 14,200 | 21,100 | 14,500 |
| 55-64 | 3,200 | 4,600 | 4,200 | 1,500 | 2,700 | 4,500 | 2,000 |
| $65+$ | 400 | 1,000 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 600 | 100 |
| Male | 74,600 | 93,800 | 79,500 | 70,900 | 54,900 | 86,600 | 62,500 |
| 15-19 | 7,500 | 7,800 | 8,400 | 9,900 | 3,800 | 8,100 | 6,900 |
| 20-24 | 14,100 | 18,000 | 12,500 | 12,600 | 13,100 | 15,300 | 12,700 |
| 25-34 | 21,400 | 27,400 | 24,200 | 19,400 | 15,000 | 25,800 | 17,100 |
| 35-44 | 18,400 | 22,300 | 20,000 | 18,900 | 12,700 | 21,000 | 15,800 |
| 45-54 | 10,900 | 14,200 | 12,100 | 9,200 | 8,200 | 13,200 | 8,600 |
| 55-64 | 2,100 | 3,300 | 2,200 | 900 | 2,000 | 2,800 | 1,400 |
| $65+$ | 200 | 800 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 400 | 0 |
| Female | 67,700 | 86,500 | 68,600 | 63,500 | 53,200 | 77,300 | 58,200 |
| 15-19 | 6,200 | 9,800 | 4,500 | 5,900 | 4,500 | 7,100 | 5,200 |
| 20-24 | 12,100 | 16,100 | 12,500 | 10,900 | 9,300 | 14,300 | 10,000 |
| 25-34 | 20,400 | 23,700 | 21,600 | 19,900 | 16,500 | 22,500 | 18,200 |
| 35-44 | 20,900 | 25,400 | 22,000 | 20,000 | 16,200 | 23,600 | 18,200 |
| 45-54 | 6,800 | 10,000 | 5,800 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 7,900 | 5,900 |
| 55-64 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 2,000 | 600 | 700 | 1,700 | 600 |
| $65+$ | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 200 | 100 |

Table 16 contd..

|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | Q ${ }_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{1}+\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}+\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration of unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mongolia | 142,300 | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 | 163,900 | 120,700 |
| Less than 1 year | 80,900 | 100,200 | 91,800 | 68,200 | 65,600 | 95,400 | 66,400 |
| More than 1 year | 61,400 | 80,100 | 56,300 | 66,200 | 42,500 | 68,500 | 54,300 |
| Urban | 90,300 | 110,800 | 92,900 | 88,200 | 70,400 | 101,800 | 78,900 |
| Less than 1 year | 46,500 | 53,000 | 52,400 | 44,200 | 38,000 | 52,300 | 40,600 |
| More than 1 year | 43,800 | 57,800 | 40,500 | 44,000 | 32,400 | 49,500 | 38,300 |
| Rural | 52,000 | 69,500 | 55,200 | 46,200 | 37,700 | 62,100 | 41,800 |
| Less than 1 year | 34,400 | 47,200 | 39,400 | 24,000 | 27,600 | 43,100 | 25,800 |
| More than 1 year | 17,600 | 22,300 | 15,800 | 22,200 | 10,100 | 19,000 | 16,000 |
| Educational level of unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 142,300 | 180,300 | 148,100 | 134,400 | 108,100 | 163,900 | 120,700 |
| None | 3,700 | 5,100 | 3,600 | 3,800 | 2,600 | 4,200 | 3,200 |
| Primary | 9,100 | 13,500 | 10,300 | 6,500 | 6,800 | 11,800 | 6,700 |
| Incomplete secondary | 47,700 | 59,300 | 47,300 | 47,000 | 37,500 | 53,200 | 42,100 |
| Completed secondary | 45,000 | 53,100 | 48,400 | 44,600 | 34,400 | 50,600 | 39,200 |
| Initial technical/vocational diploma | 11,600 | 17,300 | 11,000 | 9,600 | 8,500 | 14,200 | 9,000 |
| Technical/vocational diploma | 12,700 | 15,800 | 14,300 | 12,100 | 8,400 | 15,100 | 10,200 |
| University graduate | 12,500 | 16,200 | 13,200 | 10,800 | 9,900 | 14,800 | 10,300 |
| Male | 74,600 | 93,800 | 79,500 | 70,900 | 54,900 | 86,600 | 62,500 |
| None | 2,100 | 2,500 | 1,700 | 2,300 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,100 |
| Primary | 5,200 | 8,500 | 5,800 | 2,800 | 4,000 | 7,100 | 3,400 |
| Incomplete secondary | 28,600 | 35,600 | 29,000 | 29,600 | 20,400 | 32,400 | 24,800 |
| Completed secondary | 21,100 | 23,700 | 23,100 | 21,900 | 16,000 | 23,400 | 18,800 |
| Initial technical/vocational diploma | 6700 | 10,100 | 6,600 | 5,300 | 4,700 | 8,400 | 5,000 |
| Technical/vocational diploma | 5,200 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 4,900 | 3,000 | 6,300 | 3,900 |
| University graduate | 5,700 | 7,100 | 7,000 | 4,100 | 4,800 | 7,000 | 4,500 |
| Female | 67,700 | 86,500 | 68,600 | 63,500 | 53,200 | 77,300 | 58,200 |
| None | 1,600 | 2,600 | 1,900 | 1,500 | 600 | 2,200 | 1,100 |
| Primary | 3,900 | 5,000 | 4,500 | 3,700 | 2,800 | 4,700 | 3,300 |
| Incomplete secondary | 19,100 | 23,700 | 18,300 | 17,400 | 17,100 | 20,800 | 17,300 |
| Completed secondary | 23,900 | 29,400 | 25,300 | 22,700 | 18,400 | 27,200 | 20,400 |
| Initial technical/vocational diploma <br> Technical/vocational | 4,900 | 7,200 | 4,400 | 4,300 | 3,800 | 5,800 | 4,000 |
| diploma | 7,500 | 9,500 | 8,000 | 7,200 | 5,400 | 8,800 | 6,300 |
| University graduate | 6,800 | 9,100 | 6,200 | 6,700 | 5,100 | 7,800 | 5,800 |
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|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{1}+\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}+\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Usually employed by occupation(15+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 856,600 | 827,200 | 836,000 | 877,600 | 887,000 | 832,500 | 881,600 |
| Legislators, professionals | 136,200 | 138,700 | 131,000 | 129,500 | 145,800 | 134,800 | 137,200 |
| Technicians, clerks, service | 157,800 | 150,000 | 153,400 | 164,700 | 163,900 | 151,500 | 164,000 |
| Skilled agricultural | 385,300 | 331,700 | 380,700 | 409,700 | 421,100 | 357,500 | 415,000 |
| Craft, elementary | 177,300 | 206,800 | 170,900 | 173,700 | 156,200 | 188,700 | 165,400 |
| Male | 446,800 | 438,600 | 434,000 | 452,100 | 462,200 | 436,800 | 456,900 |
| Legislators, professionals | 59,500 | 61,000 | 55,300 | 57,100 | 64,800 | 58,100 | 60,800 |
| Technicians, clerks, service | 58,700 | 55,500 | 56,200 | 59,600 | 63,700 | 55,700 | 61,500 |
| Skilled agricultural | 207,800 | 183,700 | 207,300 | 217,400 | 223,400 | 196,200 | 220,200 |
| Craft, elementary | 120,800 | 138,400 | 115,200 | 118,000 | 110,300 | 126,800 | 114,400 |
| Female | 409,800 | 388,600 | 402,000 | 425,500 | 424,800 | 395,700 | 424,700 |
| Legislators, professionals | 76,700 | 77,700 | 75,700 | 72,400 | 81,000 | 76,700 | 76,400 |
| Technicians, clerks, service | 99,100 | 94,500 | 97,200 | 105,100 | 100,200 | 95,800 | 102,500 |
| Skilled agricultural | 177,500 | 148,000 | 173,400 | 192,300 | 197,700 | 161,300 | 194,800 |
| Craft, elementary | 56,500 | 68,400 | 55,700 | 55,700 | 45,900 | 61,900 | 51,000 |

Table 18: Percentage of persons aged 15 years and over who had engaged in current economic activities during the reference week by quarters

|  | $\mathbf{Q}_{1-4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | Q ${ }_{3}$ | Q ${ }_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wage job | 297,900 | 309,700 | 299,500 | 302,300 | 279,800 |
| Agriculture | 385,900 | 326,100 | 355,900 | 420,900 | 445,600 |
| Self employed | 135,000 | 138,000 | 119,600 | 133,700 | 147,600 |
| Total | 800,500 | 748,200 | 761,700 | 838,900 | 856,200 |
| Wage job | 37.2 | 41.4 | 39.3 | 36.0 | 32.7 |
| Agriculture | 48.2 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 50.2 | 52.1 |
| Self employed | 16.9 | 18.4 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 17.2 |
| Total, \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Average number of hour per week | 45.7 | 44.2 | 45.1 | 47.3 | 45.9 |

Number of persons aged 15 years and over who had engaged in current non economic activities during the reference week by quarters

| Total number of persons who <br> participated in any non-economic <br> activity | $1,419,200$ | $1,379,700$ | $1,399,500$ | $1,442,900$ | $1,451,300$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average number of hour per week | 25.0 | 29.9 | 25.0 | 23.5 |  |

Table 19: Number of persons aged 15 and over who had engaged in current economic activities during reference week by sex and sector

## Total

| Economic activities | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 297,856 | 146,134 | 151,722 | 233,341 | 115,686 | 117,654 | 64,516 | 30,448 | 34,067 |
| Any business | 79,164 | 34,017 | 45,147 | 63,731 | 27,647 | 36,084 | 15,433 | 6,370 | 9,062 |
| Animal husbandry | 368,556 | 198,787 | 169,769 | 23,315 | 12,334 | 10,981 | 345,241 | 186,453 | 158,788 |
| Agricultural activity | 14,759 | 8,945 | 5,814 | 4,142 | 2,245 | 1,897 | 10,617 | 6,701 | 3,916 |
| Forestry and logging | 2,641 | 2,181 | 459 | 1,251 | 999 | 251 | 1,390 | 1,182 | 208 |
| Transportation | 20,751 | 19,293 | 1,458 | 15,388 | 14,135 | 1,252 | 5,363 | 5,157 | 206 |
| Mining and quarrying | 5,357 | 4,136 | 1,220 | 1,785 | 1,482 | 303 | 3,572 | 2,654 | 918 |
| Food processing | 2,756 | 1,256 | 1,500 | 1,708 | 821 | 887 | 1,048 | 435 | 613 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 4,953 | 1,665 | 3,288 | 3,176 | 1,011 | 2,165 | 1,777 | 655 | 1,122 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 6,669 | 5,365 | 1,303 | 3,346 | 2,598 | 748 | 3,323 | 2,767 | 555 |
| Handicrafts | 7,815 | 1,599 | 6,217 | 3,593 | 1,073 | 2,520 | 4,222 | 526 | 3,696 |
| Construction and major repairs | 6,389 | 4,676 | 1,713 | 5,086 | 3,628 | 1,458 | 1,303 | 1,048 | 255 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 1,101 | 580 | 521 | 840 | 421 | 419 | 261 | 159 | 102 |
| Self employed | 502,645 | 272,874 | 229,771 | 123,281 | 66,333 | 56,948 | 379,364 | 206,541 | 172,823 |
| All | 800,501 | 419,008 | 381,493 | 356,622 | 182,019 | 174,602 | 443,879 | 236,989 | 206,890 |

West

| Economic activities | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 31,582 | 15,489 | 16,094 | 15,883 | 7,919 | 7,963 | 15,700 | 7,569 | 8,130 |
| Any business | 13,802 | 6,355 | 7,447 | 7,415 | 3,323 | 4,092 | 6,387 | 3,032 | 3,355 |
| Animal husbandry | 117,818 | 62,837 | 54,981 | 4,199 | 2,361 | 1,838 | 113,619 | 60,476 | 53,143 |
| Agricultural activity | 4,925 | 3,117 | 1,808 | 1,226 | 630 | 596 | 3,699 | 2,487 | 1,212 |
| Forestry and logging | 412 | 304 | 108 | 198 | 132 | 66 | 214 | 172 | 42 |
| Transportation | 4,837 | 4,702 | 135 | 2,557 | 2,422 | 135 | 2,280 | 2,280 | 0 |
| Mining and quarrying | 353 | 278 | 75 | 99 | 66 | 33 | 254 | 211 | 42 |
| Food processing | 763 | 333 | 429 | 198 | 66 | 132 | 564 | 267 | 297 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 622 | 118 | 505 | 363 | 33 | 330 | 259 | 85 | 175 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 1,196 | 1,021 | 174 | 363 | 231 | 132 | 832 | 790 | 42 |
| Handicrafts | 1,807 | 275 | 1,531 | 401 | 103 | 298 | 1,406 | 173 | 1,233 |
| Construction and major repairs | 1,760 | 1,369 | 391 | 1,123 | 859 | 264 | 637 | 510 | 127 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 268 | 118 | 151 | 99 | 33 | 66 | 169 | 85 | 85 |
| Self employed | 140,121 | 75,718 | 64,403 | 17,615 | 9,848 | 7,768 | 122,506 | 65,870 | 56,636 |
| All | 171,704 | 91,207 | 80,497 | 33,498 | 17,767 | 15,731 | 138,205 | 73,440 | 64,766 |

Khangai

| Economic activities | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 52,811 | 26,065 | 26,746 | 33,848 | 16,752 | 17,096 | 18,962 | 9,313 | 9,650 |
| Any business | 12,897 | 4,780 | 8,117 | 8,928 | 3,291 | 5,637 | 3,969 | 1,489 | 2,480 |
| Animal husbandry | 132,057 | 70,745 | 61,312 | 4,048 | 2,212 | 1,837 | 128,009 | 68,533 | 59,476 |
| Agricultural activity | 3,781 | 2,097 | 1,684 | 808 | 456 | 352 | 2,973 | 1,641 | 1,332 |
| Forestry and logging | 626 | 626 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 406 | 406 | 0 |
| Transportation | 4,277 | 4,116 | 162 | 2,718 | 2,613 | 105 | 1,559 | 1,502 | 57 |
| Mining and quarrying | 2,212 | 1,473 | 739 | 209 | 157 | 52 | 2,003 | 1,316 | 687 |
| Food processing | 767 | 287 | 480 | 538 | 172 | 366 | 229 | 114 | 114 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 1,110 | 281 | 829 | 366 | 52 | 314 | 744 | 229 | 515 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 2,600 | 2,214 | 386 | 1,111 | 954 | 157 | 1,489 | 1,260 | 229 |
| Handicrafts | 2,527 | 438 | 2,089 | 581 | 209 | 372 | 1,945 | 229 | 1,716 |
| Construction and major repairs | 1,094 | 884 | 210 | 750 | 540 | 210 | 343 | 343 | 0 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 266 | 214 | 52 | 209 | 157 | 52 | 57 | 57 | 0 |
| Self employed | 157,906 | 85,521 | 72,384 | 18,914 | 10,299 | 8,614 | 138,992 | 75,222 | 63,770 |
| All | 210,716 | 111,587 | 99,130 | 52,762 | 27,052 | 25,710 | 157,954 | 84,535 | 73,420 |

Center

| Economic activities | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 59,067 | 27,590 | 31,477 | 35,942 | 17,304 | 18,639 | 23,125 | 10,286 | 12,839 |
| Any business | 12,142 | 3,943 | 8,200 | 8,920 | 2,763 | 6,157 | 3,222 | 1,180 | 2,042 |
| Animal husbandry | 76,198 | 42,541 | 33,658 | 6,996 | 3,642 | 3,355 | 69,202 | 38,899 | 30,303 |
| Agricultural activity | 5,085 | 3,118 | 1,967 | 1,553 | 804 | 749 | 3,532 | 2,314 | 1,218 |
| Forestry and logging | 1,102 | 894 | 208 | 656 | 521 | 135 | 446 | 373 | 72 |
| Transportation | 3,959 | 3,379 | 580 | 2,952 | 2,520 | 432 | 1,007 | 859 | 149 |
| Mining and quarrying | 1,961 | 1,655 | 306 | 646 | 528 | 117 | 1,315 | 1,127 | 189 |
| Food processing | 285 | 154 | 131 | 176 | 117 | 59 | 109 | 36 | 72 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 1,216 | 472 | 743 | 600 | 183 | 418 | 616 | 290 | 326 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 1,018 | 727 | 292 | 294 | 235 | 60 | 724 | 492 | 232 |
| Handicrafts | 1,484 | 242 | 1,242 | 647 | 117 | 530 | 836 | 124 | 712 |
| Construction and major repairs | 1,202 | 813 | 389 | 999 | 704 | 295 | 203 | 109 | 94 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Self employed | 103,552 | 56,641 | 46,910 | 23,735 | 11,723 | 12,012 | 79,816 | 44,918 | 34,898 |
| All | 162,619 | 84,231 | 78,388 | 59,678 | 29,027 | 30,651 | 102,941 | 55,204 | 47,737 |

East

| Economic activities | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 18,819 | 9,794 | 9,025 | 12,090 | 6,514 | 5,576 | 6,729 | 3,280 | 3,449 |
| Any business | 4,776 | 1,737 | 3,039 | 2,922 | 1,068 | 1,854 | 1,854 | 669 | 1,185 |
| Animal husbandry | 38,943 | 21,314 | 17,628 | 4,530 | 2,769 | 1,761 | 34,412 | 18,545 | 15,867 |
| Agricultural activity | 812 | 507 | 304 | 398 | 249 | 149 | 413 | 258 | 155 |
| Forestry and logging | 350 | 257 | 93 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 324 | 231 | 93 |
| Transportation | 1,276 | 1,276 | 0 | 759 | 759 | 0 | 516 | 516 | 0 |
| Mining and quarrying | 131 | 131 | 0 | 131 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Food processing | 370 | 67 | 303 | 224 | 50 | 174 | 146 | 17 | 129 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 308 | 126 | 182 | 149 | 75 | 75 | 159 | 52 | 107 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 402 | 300 | 101 | 125 | 75 | 50 | 277 | 226 | 52 |
| Handicrafts | 308 | 25 | 283 | 274 | 25 | 249 | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| Construction and major repairs | 320 | 260 | 59 | 199 | 174 | 25 | 120 | 86 | 34 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 59 | 42 | 17 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 17 |
| Self employed | 47,614 | 25,857 | 21,757 | 9,564 | 5,326 | 4,238 | 38,049 | 20,530 | 17,519 |
| All | 66,433 | 35,651 | 30,782 | 21,655 | 11,840 | 9,814 | 44,778 | 23,811 | 20,967 |

UB

| Economic activities | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Wage job | 135,577 | 67,197 | 68,380 | 135,577 | 67,197 | 68,380 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Any business | 35,546 | 17,203 | 18,344 | 35,546 | 17,203 | 18,344 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Animal husbandry | 3,541 | 1,351 | 2,190 | 3,541 | 1,351 | 2,190 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Agricultural activity | 156 | 106 | 50 | 156 | 106 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry and logging | 150 | 100 | 50 | 150 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transportation | 6,402 | 5,820 | 581 | 6,402 | 5,820 | 581 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mining and quarrying | 700 | 600 | 100 | 700 | 600 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Food processing | 572 | 415 | 156 | 572 | 415 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant and hotel | 1,697 | 668 | 1,029 | 1,697 | 668 | 1,029 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production, repair and maintenance of articles | 1,453 | 1,103 | 350 | 1,453 | 1,103 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Handicrafts | 1,690 | 619 | 1,071 | 1,690 | 619 | 1,071 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Construction and major repairs | 2,014 | 1,350 | 664 | 2,014 | 1,350 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Provision of private tuition, childcare services a fee | 506 | 206 | 301 | 506 | 206 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Self employed | 53,452 | 29,136 | 24,316 | 53,452 | 29,136 | 24,316 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 189,029 | 96,333 | 92,696 | 189,029 | 96,333 | 92,696 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 20: Number of persons aged 5 and over who had engaged in current non-economic activities during reference week by sex and sector
Total

| Non-economic activity | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 1,091,369 | 377,438 | 713,932 | 627,879 | 228,053 | 399,826 | 463,491 | 149,385 | 314,106 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 955,959 | 288,194 | 667,765 | 551,049 | 180,359 | 370,689 | 404,910 | 107,835 | 297,076 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 872,591 | 264,979 | 607,612 | 519,681 | 169,550 | 350,131 | 352,909 | 95,429 | 257,481 |
| Minor household repairs | 280,347 | 232,535 | 47,812 | 136,409 | 113,494 | 22,915 | 143,938 | 119,041 | 24,897 |
| Shopping for household | 637,317 | 259,704 | 377,612 | 446,987 | 170,886 | 276,102 | 190,329 | 88,819 | 101,511 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 274,918 | 21,816 | 253,102 | 119,118 | 10,220 | 108,898 | 155,800 | 11,596 | 144,204 |
| Fetching water/drinking | 611,398 | 373,621 | 237,778 | 230,375 | 146,416 | 83,959 | 381,023 | 227,205 | 153,819 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 641,088 | 392,814 | 248,274 | 234,586 | 159,743 | 74,843 | 406,502 | 233,071 | 173,431 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 54,768 | 21,978 | 32,789 | 33,308 | 13,971 | 19,337 | 21,460 | 8,007 | 13,452 |
| Looking after children | 210,805 | 67,398 | 143,407 | 109,151 | 36,583 | 72,569 | 101,653 | 30,815 | 70,838 |
| Caring for household pets | 111,761 | 52,472 | 59,289 | 62,206 | 30,279 | 31,927 | 49,555 | 22,193 | 27,362 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 67,079 | 36,369 | 30,710 | 39,648 | 21,432 | 18,216 | 27,432 | 14,937 | 12,494 |
| All | 1,419,247 | 667,830 | 751,417 | 782,501 | 357,666 | 424,835 | 636,746 | 310,164 | 326,583 |

West

| Non-economic activity | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 204,237 | 76,687 | 127,550 | 57,956 | 22,205 | 35,751 | 146,281 | 54,482 | 91,799 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 172,672 | 50,934 | 121,739 | 49,373 | 15,770 | 33,603 | 123,299 | 35,163 | 88,136 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 167,549 | 54,360 | 113,189 | 49,137 | 16,608 | 32,529 | 118,412 | 37,753 | 80,660 |
| Minor household repairs | 66,003 | 51,280 | 14,723 | 15,516 | 12,366 | 3,150 | 50,487 | 38,915 | 11,572 |
| Shopping for household | 94,941 | 42,121 | 52,821 | 37,493 | 13,580 | 23,913 | 57,448 | 28,540 | 28,908 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 76,143 | 53,67 | 70,776 | 16,461 | 963 | 15,499 | 59,682 | 4,405 | 55,277 |
| Fetching water/drinking | 158,248 | 83,217 | 75,031 | 34,078 | 18,950 | 15,128 | 124,170 | 64,268 | 59,902 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 173,929 | 96,380 | 77,549 | 38,803 | 24,383 | 14,420 | 135,126 | 71,996 | 63,130 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 13,007 | 4,911 | 8,095 | 3,891 | 1,619 | 2,272 | 9,116 | 3,293 | 5,823 |
| Looking after children | 48,180 | 15,334 | 32,846 | 12,909 | 4,641 | 8,268 | 35,271 | 10,693 | 24,578 |
| Caring for household pets | 20,928 | 9,854 | 11,074 | 6,737 | 3,349 | 3,388 | 14,191 | 6,505 | 7,686 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 18,265 | 10,188 | 8,077 | 6,895 | 4,079 | 2,817 | 11,370 | 6,110 | 5,260 |
| All | 256,222 | 124,520 | 131,702 | 71,387 | 34,161 | 37,226 | 184,835 | 90,358 | 94,477 |

Khangai

| Non-economic activity | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 231,912 | 65,933 | 165,979 | 85,519 | 29,897 | 55,622 | 146,393 | 36,036 | 110,357 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 202,522 | 49,488 | 153,035 | 77,226 | 25,557 | 51,668 | 125,297 | 23,931 | 101,366 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 173,176 | 43,758 | 129,418 | 66,578 | 18,428 | 48,150 | 106,598 | 25,330 | 81,268 |
| Minor household repairs | 60,612 | 54,022 | 6,590 | 21,979 | 19,555 | 2,423 | 38,633 | 34,466 | 4,167 |
| Shopping for household | 118,784 | 52,063 | 66,721 | 57,391 | 19,343 | 38,049 | 61,393 | 32,720 | 28,673 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 76,604 | 4,059 | 72,545 | 22,345 | 714 | 21,630 | 54,259 | 3,345 | 50,915 |
| Fetching water/drinking | 169,663 | 102,387 | 67,276 | 40,092 | 24,394 | 15,697 | 129,571 | 77,993 | 51,578 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 190,448 | 115,690 | 74,758 | 45,434 | 29,757 | 15,677 | 145,014 | 85,933 | 59,081 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 12,338 | 4,750 | 7,588 | 6,473 | 2,618 | 3,854 | 5,865 | 2,132 | 3,734 |
| Looking after children | 46,668 | 15,644 | 31,024 | 15,893 | 5,858 | 10,036 | 30,775 | 9,787 | 20,988 |
| Caring for household pets | 19,503 | 9,381 | 10,122 | 8,391 | 4,557 | 3,834 | 11,112 | 4,825 | 6,288 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 10,162 | 5,554 | 4,608 | 3,061 | 1,481 | 1,580 | 7,101 | 4,073 | 3,028 |
| All | 335,429 | 160,120 | 175,309 | 109,167 | 50,169 | 58,998 | 226,262 | 109,950 | 116,312 |

## Center

| Non-economic activity | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 230,334 | 87,536 | 142,798 | 103,543 | 40,074 | 63,469 | 126,792 | 47,462 | 79,329 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 212,526 | 74,997 | 137,529 | 95,795 | 35,006 | 60,789 | 116,731 | 39,991 | 76,740 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 177,967 | 54,252 | 123,716 | 85,374 | 28,783 | 56,591 | 92,594 | 25,469 | 67,125 |
| Minor household repairs | 56,939 | 45,006 | 11,933 | 20,666 | 15,835 | 4,830 | 36,273 | 29,171 | 7,102 |
| Shopping for household | 115,185 | 38,529 | 76,656 | 66,677 | 21,321 | 45,356 | 48,508 | 17,208 | 31,300 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 53,150 | 6,284 | 46,866 | 20,710 | 3,267 | 17,443 | 32,440 | 3,017 | 29,423 |
| Fetching water/drinking | 134,820 | 88,581 | 46,240 | 44,858 | 27,485 | 17,373 | 89,962 | 61,096 | 28,867 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 126,023 | 80,003 | 46,020 | 41,001 | 27,029 | 13,972 | 85,022 | 52,974 | 32,048 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 8,157 | 3,285 | 4,872 | 3,634 | 1,534 | 2,100 | 4,522 | 1,751 | 2,771 |
| Looking after children | 41,590 | 13,360 | 28,229 | 15,301 | 5,290 | 10,011 | 26,289 | 8,070 | 18,218 |
| Caring for household pets | 23,116 | 11,307 | 11,808 | 7,200 | 3,351 | 3,849 | 15,916 | 7,957 | 7,959 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 10,965 | 5,845 | 5,120 | 4,093 | 2,112 | 1,981 | 6,872 | 3,733 | 3,139 |
| All | 281,308 | 132,849 | 148,460 | 121,846 | 55,281 | 66,565 | 159,462 | 77,568 | 81,895 |

## East

| Non-economic activity | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 80,068 | 23,883 | 56,185 | 36,042 | 12,478 | 23,564 | 44,026 | 11,405 | 32,621 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 70,942 | 18,205 | 52,736 | 31,358 | 9,456 | 21,902 | 39,583 | 8,749 | 30,834 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 64,584 | 15,181 | 49,402 | 29,278 | 8,304 | 20,974 | 35,306 | 6,877 | 28,428 |
| Minor household repairs | 27,726 | 24,606 | 3,121 | 9,182 | 8,116 | 1,066 | 18,544 | 16,490 | 2,055 |
| Shopping for household | 45,782 | 18,779 | 27,003 | 22,802 | 8,429 | 14,372 | 22,980 | 10,350 | 12,630 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 15,038 | 1,318 | 13,720 | 5,618 | 488 | 5,130 | 9,420 | 830 | 8,590 |
| Fetching water/drinking | 53,952 | 34,737 | 19,215 | 16,632 | 10,889 | 5,743 | 37,320 | 23,849 | 13,471 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 58,834 | 32,384 | 26,450 | 17,493 | 10,216 | 7,277 | 41,341 | 22,168 | 19,173 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 3,175 | 1,410 | 1,766 | 1,219 | 578 | 641 | 1,956 | 832 | 1,124 |
| Looking after children | 13,458 | 3,534 | 9,924 | 4,140 | 1,269 | 2,871 | 9,318 | 2,265 | 7,054 |
| Caring for household pets | 12,932 | 4,771 | 8,161 | 4,595 | 1,864 | 2,732 | 8,336 | 2,907 | 5,429 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 4,177 | 2,067 | 2,110 | 2,088 | 1,046 | 1,043 | 2,089 | 1,022 | 1,068 |
| All | 112,699 | 54,362 | 58,337 | 46,512 | 22,074 | 24,438 | 66,187 | 32,288 | 33,899 |

## UB

| Non-economic activity | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Cooking/serving food for household | 344,818 | 123,399 | 221,419 | 344,818 | 123,399 | 221,419 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cleaning utensils/house | 297,297 | 94,570 | 202,727 | 297,297 | 94,570 | 202,727 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washing clothes/laundering | 289,314 | 97,428 | 191,886 | 289,314 | 97,428 | 191,886 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minor household repairs | 69,067 | 57,621 | 11,446 | 69,067 | 57,621 | 11,446 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shopping for household | 262,624 | 108,213 | 154,411 | 262,624 | 108,213 | 154,411 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Knitting/sewing/mending | 53,984 | 4,789 | 49,195 | 53,984 | 4,789 | 49,195 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fetching water/drinking | 94,715 | 64,698 | 30,017 | 94,715 | 64,698 | 30,017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fetching fuel/preparing firewood | 91,855 | 68,357 | 23,497 | 91,855 | 68,357 | 23,497 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caring for the old/sick/infirm | 18,091 | 7,622 | 10,469 | 18,091 | 7,622 | 10,469 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Looking after children | 60,908 | 19,525 | 41,383 | 60,908 | 19,525 | 41,383 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caring for household pets | 35,283 | 17,159 | 18,124 | 35,283 | 17,159 | 18,124 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Voluntary/community services without pay | 23,510 | 12,714 | 10,795 | 23,510 | 12,714 | 10,795 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 433,588 | 195,980 | 237,609 | 433,588 | 195,980 | 237,609 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 21: 5-17 economically active children by age group, sex

| Age group |  | Total | Male | Female | Labour force |  |  | Employed |  |  | Unemployed |  |  | Inactive |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | Total |  | 679,049 | 347,652 | 331,397 | 73,484 | 44,573 | 28,911 | 68,580 | 41,874 | 26,706 | 4,904 | 2,700 | 2,204 | 603,428 | 302,294 | 301,134 |
|  | 5 | 38,760 | 20,082 | 18,678 | 2,482 | 1,332 | 1,150 | 2,482 | 1,332 | 1,150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,236 | 18,708 | 17,528 |
|  | 6 | 43,656 | 23,214 | 20,442 | 3,327 | 2,079 | 1,248 | 3,327 | 2,079 | 1,248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,257 | 21,062 | 19,194 |
|  | 7 | 45,726 | 23,361 | 22,365 | 4,219 | 2,473 | 1,746 | 4,219 | 2,473 | 1,746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,389 | 20,852 | 20,537 |
|  | 8 | 43,253 | 21,270 | 21,983 | 3,316 | 1,858 | 1,459 | 3,291 | 1,833 | 1,459 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 39,654 | 19,340 | 20,314 |
|  | 9 | 40,750 | 21,277 | 19,473 | 2,000 | 1,288 | 712 | 2,000 | 1,288 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,561 | 19,953 | 18,607 |
|  | 10 | 49,262 | 24,043 | 25,218 | 2,435 | 1,388 | 1,047 | 2,383 | 1,388 | 995 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 46,547 | 22,494 | 24,054 |
|  | 11 | 55,752 | 28,226 | 27,526 | 2,249 | 1,361 | 888 | 2,249 | 1,361 | 888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53,386 | 26,828 | 26,557 |
|  | 12 | 60,184 | 32,368 | 27,816 | 3,803 | 2,595 | 1,207 | 3,710 | 2,545 | 1,165 | 92 | 50 | 42 | 56,185 | 29,737 | 26,448 |
|  | 13 | 65,696 | 34,878 | 30,818 | 6,888 | 4,607 | 2,281 | 6,652 | 4,507 | 2,145 | 236 | 100 | 136 | 58,705 | 30,271 | 28,434 |
|  | 14 | 63,948 | 32,483 | 31,465 | 6,550 | 4,038 | 2,511 | 6,412 | 3,960 | 2,452 | 137 | 79 | 59 | 57,217 | 28,336 | 28,881 |
|  | 15 | 60,856 | 29,845 | 31,011 | 9,801 | 5,893 | 3,908 | 9,322 | 5,703 | 3,619 | 479 | 190 | 288 | 50,836 | 23,806 | 27,031 |
|  | 16 | 56,170 | 28,869 | 27,301 | 11,616 | 6,793 | 4,824 | 10,176 | 6,037 | 4,140 | 1,440 | 756 | 684 | 44,383 | 22,040 | 22,343 |
|  | 17 | 55,037 | 27,735 | 27,302 | 14,797 | 8,868 | 5,930 | 12,355 | 7,368 | 4,987 | 2,442 | 1,500 | 942 | 40,073 | 18,868 | 21,205 |
| Urban | Total | 360,750 | 184,932 | 175,818 | 9,961 | 6,232 | 3,729 | 6,894 | 4,558 | 2,336 | 3,067 | 1,674 | 1,393 | 350,417 | 178,635 | 171,782 |
|  | 5 | 16,086 | 8,195 | 7,891 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,086 | 8,195 | 7,891 |
|  | 6 | 19,021 | 10,807 | 8,214 | 66 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,955 | 10,740 | 8,214 |
|  | 7 | 20,837 | 10,484 | 10,354 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,745 | 10,392 | 10,354 |
|  | 8 | 21,238 | 10,522 | 10,716 | 358 | 196 | 162 | 333 | 171 | 162 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 20,815 | 10,327 | 10,488 |
|  | 9 | 20,393 | 10,584 | 9,809 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,324 | 10,584 | 9,740 |
|  | 10 | 25,991 | 12,890 | 13,101 | 151 | 66 | 85 | 99 | 66 | 33 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 25,840 | 12,824 | 13,016 |
|  | 11 | 32,002 | 16,193 | 15,809 | 157 | 104 | 52 | 157 | 104 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,845 | 16,088 | 15,756 |
|  | 12 | 33,973 | 18,621 | 15,352 | 369 | 336 | 33 | 319 | 285 | 33 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 33,480 | 18,285 | 15,195 |
|  | 13 | 38,048 | 20,219 | 17,829 | 1,256 | 818 | 438 | 1,037 | 735 | 302 | 219 | 83 | 136 | 36,734 | 19,401 | 17,332 |
|  | 14 | 37,346 | 18,442 | 18,904 | 1,109 | 812 | 297 | 1,051 | 812 | 238 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 36,237 | 17,630 | 18,607 |
|  | 15 | 33,373 | 16,361 | 17,011 | 1,401 | 756 | 645 | 1,029 | 672 | 356 | 372 | 84 | 288 | 31,907 | 15,540 | 16,367 |
|  | 16 | 32,405 | 16,462 | 15,943 | 2,055 | 1,133 | 922 | 1,084 | 649 | 435 | 971 | 484 | 487 | 30,350 | 15,329 | 15,021 |
|  | 17 | 30,038 | 15,153 | 14,885 | 2,878 | 1,853 | 1,025 | 1,559 | 905 | 654 | 1,319 | 949 | 371 | 27,101 | 13,300 | 13,801 |
| Rural | Total | 318,299 | 162,720 | 155,579 | 63,523 | 38,341 | 25,181 | 61,686 | 37,316 | 24,370 | 1,837 | 1,026 | 811 | 253,010 | 123,658 | 129,352 |
|  | 5 | 22,674 | 11,888 | 10,787 | 2,482 | 1,332 | 1,150 | 2,482 | 1,332 | 1,150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,150 | 10,513 | 9,637 |
|  | 6 | 24,636 | 12,407 | 12,228 | 3,261 | 2,013 | 1,248 | 3,261 | 2,013 | 1,248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,302 | 10,322 | 10,980 |
|  | 7 | 24,888 | 12,877 | 12,011 | 4,128 | 2,381 | 1,746 | 4,128 | 2,381 | 1,746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,644 | 10,460 | 10,184 |
|  | 8 | 22,015 | 10,748 | 11,267 | 2,958 | 1,662 | 1,296 | 2,958 | 1,662 | 1,296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,839 | 9,014 | 9,826 |
|  | 9 | 20,357 | 10,694 | 9,663 | 1,931 | 1,288 | 643 | 1,931 | 1,288 | 643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,237 | 9,369 | 8,867 |
|  | 10 | 23,271 | 11,153 | 12,117 | 2,284 | 1,322 | 962 | 2,284 | 1,322 | 962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,708 | 9,670 | 11,038 |
|  | 11 | 23,750 | 12,033 | 11,717 | 2,092 | 1,257 | 836 | 2,092 | 1,257 | 836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,541 | 10,740 | 10,801 |
|  | 12 | 26,211 | 13,748 | 12,464 | 3,434 | 2,260 | 1,174 | 3,392 | 2,260 | 1,132 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 22,705 | 11,452 | 11,253 |
|  | 13 | 27,648 | 14,659 | 12,989 | 5,632 | 3,789 | 1,843 | 5,615 | 3,772 | 1,843 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 21,971 | 10,869 | 11,101 |
|  | 14 | 26,602 | 14,041 | 12,561 | 5,440 | 3,226 | 2,214 | 5,362 | 3,148 | 2,214 | 79 | 79 | 0 | 20,981 | 10,706 | 10,275 |
|  | 15 | 27,483 | 13,484 | 14,000 | 8,400 | 5,137 | 3,263 | 8,293 | 5,030 | 3,263 | 107 | 107 | 0 | 18,930 | 8,266 | 10,664 |
|  | 16 | 23,765 | 12,407 | 11,358 | 9,561 | 5,660 | 3,902 | 9,092 | 5,388 | 3,704 | 469 | 272 | 198 | 14,033 | 6,711 | 7,322 |
|  | 17 | 24,999 | 12,582 | 12,417 | 11,919 | 7,015 | 4,904 | 10,796 | 6,463 | 4,333 | 1,122 | 551 | 571 | 12,971 | 5,568 | 7,404 |

Table 22 :Attending and not attending children by age group, sex, U-R

| Age group |  | Total | Male | Female | Attending |  |  | Not attending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | Total |  | 679,049 | 347,652 | 331,397 | 507,204 | 252,666 | 254,537 | 171,846 | 94,986 | 76,860 |
|  | 5 | 38,760 | 20,082 | 18,678 | 893 | 494 | 399 | 37,867 | 19,588 | 18,279 |
|  | 6 | 43,656 | 23,214 | 20,442 | 2,590 | 1,302 | 1,287 | 41,067 | 21,911 | 19,155 |
|  | 7 | 45,726 | 23,361 | 22,365 | 18,034 | 8,926 | 9,108 | 27,692 | 14,435 | 13,256 |
|  | 8 | 43,253 | 21,270 | 21,983 | 35,045 | 17,067 | 17,978 | 8,208 | 4,203 | 4,005 |
|  | 9 | 40,750 | 21,277 | 19,473 | 38,637 | 20,048 | 18,589 | 2,113 | 1,230 | 884 |
|  | 10 | 49,262 | 24,043 | 25,218 | 47,664 | 23,019 | 24,645 | 1,598 | 1,024 | 573 |
|  | 11 | 55,752 | 28,226 | 27,526 | 53,383 | 26,879 | 26,504 | 2,369 | 1,347 | 1,022 |
|  | 12 | 60,184 | 32,368 | 27,816 | 57,133 | 30,105 | 27,028 | 3,051 | 2,264 | 788 |
|  | 13 | 65,696 | 34,878 | 30,818 | 60,480 | 31,670 | 28,810 | 5,216 | 3,208 | 2,008 |
|  | 14 | 63,948 | 32,483 | 31,465 | 57,724 | 28,542 | 29,182 | 6,224 | 3,941 | 2,282 |
|  | 15 | 60,856 | 29,845 | 31,011 | 52,855 | 25,015 | 27,840 | 8,001 | 4,830 | 3,171 |
|  | 16 | 56,170 | 28,869 | 27,301 | 43,959 | 21,475 | 22,485 | 12,211 | 7,394 | 4,817 |
|  | 17 | 55,037 | 27,735 | 27,302 | 38,807 | 18,126 | 20,681 | 16,230 | 9,610 | 6,620 |
| Urban | Total | 360,750 | 184,932 | 175,818 | 303,679 | 153,790 | 149,889 | 57,072 | 31,142 | 25,929 |
|  | 5 | 16,086 | 8,195 | 7,891 | 766 | 431 | 335 | 15,319 | 7,764 | 7,556 |
|  | 6 | 19,021 | 10,807 | 8,214 | 2,061 | 1,232 | 829 | 16,960 | 9,575 | 7,385 |
|  | 7 | 20,837 | 10,484 | 10,354 | 10,687 | 5,048 | 5,639 | 10,150 | 5,435 | 4,715 |
|  | 8 | 21,238 | 10,522 | 10,716 | 18,789 | 9,391 | 9,398 | 2,448 | 1,131 | 1,318 |
|  | 9 | 20,393 | 10,584 | 9,809 | 19,971 | 10,525 | 9,446 | 422 | 59 | 364 |
|  | n 10 | 25,991 | 12,890 | 13,101 | 25,640 | 12,696 | 12,944 | 351 | 194 | 157 |
|  | 11 | 32,002 | 16,193 | 15,809 | 31,344 | 15,780 | 15,564 | 658 | 413 | 245 |
|  | 12 | 33,973 | 18,621 | 15,352 | 33,533 | 18,290 | 15,243 | 439 | 330 | 109 |
|  | 13 | 38,048 | 20,219 | 17,829 | 36,914 | 19,627 | 17,287 | 1,134 | 592 | 542 |
|  | 14 | 37,346 | 18,442 | 18,904 | 36,072 | 17,742 | 18,330 | 1,274 | 700 | 573 |
|  | 15 | 33,373 | 16,361 | 17,011 | 31,936 | 15,520 | 16,416 | 1,436 | 841 | 595 |
|  | 16 | 32,405 | 16,462 | 15,943 | 29,778 | 14,894 | 14,883 | 2,627 | 1,568 | 1,060 |
|  | 17 | 30,038 | 15,153 | 14,885 | 26,185 | 12,613 | 13,573 | 3,853 | 2,540 | 1,312 |
| Rural | Total | 318,299 | 162,720 | 155,579 | 203,525 | 98,876 | 104,649 | 114,774 | 63,844 | 50,930 |
|  | 5 | 22,674 | 11,888 | 10,787 | 127 | 63 | 63 | 22,547 | 11,824 | 10,723 |
|  | 6 | 24,636 | 12,407 | 12,228 | 529 | 71 | 458 | 24,107 | 12,337 | 11,770 |
|  | 7 | 24,888 | 12,877 | 12,011 | 7,347 | 3,878 | 3,469 | 17,542 | 9,000 | 8,542 |
|  | 8 | 22,015 | 10,748 | 11,267 | 16,256 | 7,676 | 8,580 | 5,759 | 3,073 | 2,687 |
|  | 9 | 20,357 | 10,694 | 9,663 | 18,666 | 9,522 | 9,143 | 1,691 | 1,171 | 520 |
|  | 10 | 23,271 | 11,153 | 12,117 | 22,024 | 10,323 | 11,701 | 1,247 | 830 | 416 |
|  | 11 | 23,750 | 12,033 | 11,717 | 22,039 | 11,099 | 10,940 | 1,712 | 935 | 777 |
|  | 12 | 26,211 | 13,748 | 12,464 | 23,599 | 11,814 | 11,785 | 2,612 | 1,933 | 679 |
|  | 13 | 27,648 | 14,659 | 12,989 | 23,566 | 12,043 | 11,524 | 4,082 | 2,616 | 1,466 |
|  | 14 | 26,602 | 14,041 | 12,561 | 21,652 | 10,800 | 10,852 | 4,950 | 3,241 | 1,709 |
|  | 15 | 27,483 | 13,484 | 14,000 | 20,918 | 9,495 | 11,424 | 6,565 | 3,989 | 2,576 |
|  | 16 | 23,765 | 12,407 | 11,358 | 14,182 | 6,580 | 7,601 | 9,583 | 5,826 | 3,757 |
|  | 17 | 24,999 | 12,582 | 12,417 | 12,621 | 5,513 | 7,109 | 12,377 | 7,069 | 5,308 |

Table 23: 5-17 children by main reason not attending school

|  | Total |  |  | Age group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | 5-9 |  |  | 10-14 |  |  | 15-17 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Under-aged | 110,075 | 57,228 | 52,847 | 109,822 | 57,053 | 52,769 | 99 | 57 | 42 | 153 | 117 | 36 |
| School too far | 2,768 | 1,648 | 1,120 | 912 | 561 | 351 | 751 | 427 | 324 | 1,105 | 660 | 445 |
| Cost of school materials, clothing etc | 8,289 | 4,700 | 3,588 | 1,120 | 629 | 491 | 3,291 | 1,940 | 1,351 | 3,877 | 2,131 | 1,746 |
| Poor performance in studies/not interested in studies | 11,336 | 7,364 | 3,972 | 645 | 344 | 301 | 3,713 | 2,508 | 1,205 | 6,978 | 4,512 | 2,466 |
| Add to household income | 8,502 | 5,622 | 2,881 | 237 | 172 | 65 | 1,525 | 1,243 | 282 | 6,741 | 4,207 | 2,534 |
| Help with household duties | 15,447 | 9,802 | 5,645 | 973 | 587 | 386 | 3,755 | 2,495 | 1,259 | 10,719 | 6,720 | 4,000 |
| Sick | 5,430 | 2,621 | 2,809 | 838 | 340 | 499 | 2,466 | 1,287 | 1,179 | 2,125 | 994 | 1,131 |
| Disabled | 2,947 | 1,945 | 1,002 | 438 | 269 | 169 | 1,480 | 1,059 | 421 | 1,029 | 616 | 412 |
| Others | 6,352 | 3,448 | 2,904 | 1,314 | 857 | 457 | 1,324 | 715 | 609 | 3,714 | 1,876 | 1,838 |
| All | 171,145 | 94,377 | 76,768 | 116,300 | 60,812 | 55,487 | 18,404 | 11,731 | 6,673 | 36,442 | 21,834 | 14,608 |

## CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

This section brings together the explanations of some important concepts and definitions used in the Labour Force Survey questionnaire and the field survey instructions. In order to ensure comparability of the data the concepts that were used in the surveys the NSO had conducted recently were adopted to the extent feasible. It was necessary to prepare new definitions in respect of new topics and items. In the preparation of this material international standard concepts and definitions as recommended by the UN with appropriate modifications to suit local conditions were adopted.

## Housing Unit

A housing unit is a structurally separated and independent place of abode. It may have been constructed, built, converted or arranged for human habitation, such as commercial, industrial, and agricultural buildings, or natural and man-made shelters such as caves, boats, abandoned trucks, culverts and similar structures which are used as living quarters.

## Household

A household is a group of persons (or a single person) who usually live together and have a common arrangement for food, such as using a common kitchen or a common food budget. The persons may be related to each other or may be non-relatives, including servants or other employees, staying with the employer.

Students, boarders and employees residing in and having a common food arrangement with the household are considered members of the household if they have been in the household for more than a year or if they have no other place of residence.

However, if there are ...(decide on the number say 5) or more boarders/lodgers in a housing unit, they should not be reported as members of the household. They are considered to be living in a dormitory or boarding house operated by the household.

Boarding houses with more than (number stipulated in the definition say 5) persons are considered to be institutional households. An institutional household is a group of (number stipulated in the definition say 5) or more unrelated persons living together. Other examples are military barracks, prisons, student dormitories, etc. Institutional households are not covered by the LFS 2002.

## Head of Household

The head of household is the adult member of the household who is accepted and recognised by the other household members as head. Where there are more than one household in a housing unit, each household should have it's own head of household.

## Usual Member of Household

A usual member of a household is any person who has been normally living in the household and sharing arrangements for food for at least ....months, or one who has no other residence. Thus, most students going to school away from home are considered to be members of their family household, rather than a household at the location of their school, unless they have stayed continuously at the household close to their school for more than ...months. However, a person who has moved recently, is considered to be a member of a household at his destination if he does
not plan to return to the old household within 6 months. Similarly, a person who has moved out of a household recently with no intention to return is no longer considered a member of that household.

## Marital status

The marital status of a person refers to the current defacto conjugal status of the person. A person claiming to be married according to custom or repute should also be treated as married, although the marriage has not been registered according to law.

## Work

Work is defined as an economic activity that a person performs for pay, profit or family gain. It includes paid employment; operating a farm or business; working for a household economic activity (like food processing or raising of livestock) without pay; working as an apprentice in order to learn a skill or craft, without necessarily receiving wages; and production of paddy or vegetables, say, solely for home consumption. Also, included is the holding of a job, even if the person is temporarily absent because of vacation, strike or illness. Production of fixed assets for own use, such as building or repairing the house is also considered as work.

## Economically Active Population

The economically active population comprises all persons of either sex who furnish the supply of labour for the production of goods and services as defined by the United Nations system of national accounts during a specified time reference period. According to the UN SNA the production of goods and services includes all production and processing of primary products for the market for barter or for own consumption, the production of all other goods and services for the market and in the case of households which produce such goods and services for the market, the corresponding production for own consumption.

## Usually Active Population

The usually active population comprises all persons above a specified age whose main activity status as determined in terms of number of weeks or days during a long specified period such as the preceding 12 months or the preceding calendar year, was employed or unemployed. The usually active population is subdivided as employed and unemployed in accordance with the main activity.

## Labor Force or Currently Active Population

The labour force or currently active population comprises all persons who satisfy the requirements for inclusion among the employed or the unemployed.

## Employed

Employed persons are those who are in the labor force who were reported to be either at work or with a job or business although not at work during the reference week. Persons at work are those who did some work at all, even for an hour, during the reference period (past week). Persons are also considered employed if they are with a job or business even though not at work during the reference period because of temporary illness/injury, vacation or other leave of absence, bad weather, strike/labor dispute or other reason.

## Unemployed

Unemployed persons are persons in the labor force who did not work or had no job or business during the reference week but were reported available and actively looking for work. Also, considered as unemployed are persons without job or business who were reported as available for
work but were not looking for work because of their belief that no work was available or because of temporary illness/disability, bad weather, pending job application or waiting for job interview.

## Population not economically active

The population not economically active comprise all persons, irrespective of age, including those below the age specified for measuring the economically active population who were not economically active

## The Population not usually active

The population not usually active comprises all persons whose main activity status during the long reference period was neither employed nor unemployed. It comprises the functional categories of (a) students; (b) homemakers; (c) income recipients (pensioners; rentiers etc. (d) others ( recipients of public assistance etc.)

## The Population not currently active

The population not currently active or persons not in the labour force, comprises all persons who were not employed or unemployed during the brief reference period and hence not currently active because (a) attendance at educational institutions (b). engagement in household duties, (c) retirement or old age, (d) other reasons such as infirmity or disablement etc.

## Occupation

Occupation refers to the type of work, trade or profession performed by the individual during the reference period. If the person is not at work but with a job, occupation refers to the kind of work that the person will be doing when he reports for work.

## Primary Occupation

If any member had more than one economic activity - wage employment or self-employment during the reference period (say, past week or past 12 months), then the primary occupation was one which the respondent accepts as such based on the time spent and /or income earned from different activities and other considerations like social and legal status. If the person was engaged in only one occupation, then that was his/her primary occupation.

## Secondary Occupation

If any member had more than one economic activity - wage employment or self-employment during the reference period (say, past week or past 12 months), then the secondary occupation was one which the respondent accepts as the most important based on time spent on and income earned from different activities and other considerations like social and legal status among all occupations of the person excluding the primary occupation.

## Industry or Kind of Economic Activity

Industry or kind of economic activity refers to the nature of work done (the goods and services produced) by the institution or the workplace or enterprise where the person works.

## Underemployment

Underemployment exists when a person's employment is inadequate in relation to specified norms or alternative employment; account being taken of the persons occupational skill training and work experience. Persons visibly underemployed comprise all persons in paid or selfemployment, whether at work or not at work, involuntarily working less than the normal duration of work determined for the activity, who were seeking or available for additional work during the reference period

## Employer

A person who operates an enterprise or a person who operates an enterprise in partnership with others, or engages independently in a profession or trade, with the aid of one or more employees is considered as an employer.

## Employee

An employee is a person who works for a public or private employer and receives remuneration in wages, salary, commission, tips, piece rates or payment in kind.

## Own account worker

A person who operates an enterprise or a person who operates an enterprise in partnership with others, without the aid of an employee is considered as an own account worker. However the person may get the assistance of unpaid family workers.

## Unpaid family worker

A person who works in an enterprise operated by a member of his household or by a group of persons including at least one member of his household, without a payment in cash or in kind.

## Reference Period

In this survey there are two (2) reference periods "current" and "usual". The current reference period is the week preceding the week of the survey, i.e. last week. The "usual" reference period is the preceding 12 months, i.e. the last 12 months.

## Literacy

Literacy is the ability to read and write a simple message. A person is considered literate if he or she can both read and write a simple message in any language or dialect. A person capable of reading only his own name or numbers, or can read but not writes and vice versa, is not considered literate.

## Schooling

The term schooling includes attendance at a kindergarten, primary, lower or upper secondary school, technical or professional school, college or university.

## Student

A person engaged in studies as the person's main activity is considered as a student. The person may be attending school or some other educational institution or may be studying as a private student.

## Wages

Wages include remuneration received as cash wages, tips, commissions, piece rate earnings, overtime payments, and imputed value of benefits in kind, such as meals or accommodation provided by the employer.

## Informal sector

Only employment in non-agricultural economic activities and non-agricultural enterprises, of those who were self employed, or in private enterprises and partnerships that had no paid employees or 1-4 employees were treated as employment that fell within the scope of the definition of the informal sector. It was decided to include private enterprise, partnerships and self-employed categories and exclude the other sub-divisions in the determination of the coverage
of the informal sector. The units that had no regular employees and those with 1-4 employees were accepted as falling within the informal sector and those that had 5 or more employees were treated as coming within the formal sector.

## SAMPLING DESIGN AND ESTIMATION

A household is a group of persons (or a single person) who usually live together and have a common arrangement for food, such as using a common kitchen or a common food budget. The persons may be related to each other or may be non-relatives, including servants or other employees, staying with the employer.

## Sampling Design

The preparation of separate estimates for the different geographic sub-divisions of the country was an important consideration in determining the sampling design. The gains in efficiency through stratification based on economic and geographic criteria would result in lowering sampling errors when the number of strata were increased. In order to produce separate estimates for urban and rural sectors, they were treated as two domains. The capital city of Ulaanbaatar, which carried almost one third of the country's population, was accepted as a separate stratum. The Central, East, West and Khangai Regions of the country were treated as separate domains. The sub-division of these regions in terms of their urban - rural stratifications resulted in 8 strata.

A two-stage probability sample design with enumeration areas as primary sampling units (PSU)'s and households as the secondary sampling units (SSU's) was adopted. Apart from these major innovations, circular systematic sampling with probability proportional to size (CSSPPS) techniques were adopted in the selection of enumeration areas which were the primary sampling units (PSU's) and households which formed the secondary sampling units (SSU's). The sampling strategy adopted is described in the paragraphs that follow.

## Sampling Frame

The sampling frame from the Census of Population 2000 was used as the sampling frame. There had been no major changes in the boundaries of geographic sub-divisions of Mongolia accept for a re-grouping of aimags (provinces) to create the Hangai region suppressing the Southern region. There were enumeration areas without any households, with mainly male population figures recorded against them, which were demarcated with institutional living quarters. These were checked and were deleted from the frame. In the Census 2000 sampling frame, the basic unit of enumeration was the enumeration area, with identifiers and measure of size in households and population totals and breakdowns by males and females. The census enumeration areas were chosen as primary sampling units (PSU) in both the urban and rural areas.

## Sample Size Determination

The inclusion of such topics as unemployment, child labor demonstrated that the sample size should be adequate to produce statistically reliable estimates for the main stratifications. Although several household surveys have been conducted during the past decade, it does not appear that the survey dimensions have been determined on the basis of desired precision of the estimates. The unemployment rates disclosed in the census 2000 and from other surveys conducted recently were used in deriving the coefficient of variation in the unemployment estimate. These levels of precision with assumed values of DEFF was used in ascertaining the sample size that will be required to produce the desired level of precision.

## Survey Taking Capacity

The discussions with the NSO officials reflected that the main consideration in deciding on the sample size is funding rather than other considerations including staff capacity. Thee previous experience of undertaking sample surveys during the 5-7 years indicated that the NSO had been able to successfully carry out the implementation of several surveys. Further the Census of Population 2000 had been undertaken while some household surveys and regular data collection and compilation through the administrative network had been on-going. The availability of statistical staff of the aimags for field operations and the decentralized arrangements used in data processing have made it possible to extend the survey taking capacity much beyond what would have been feasible if the NSO was dependent on its own cadre for the entirety of survey operations.

## Sample Selection

## First Stage Selection

In the first stage the EA's or primary sampling units ( PSU's ) were drawn from each stratum. The frame was arranged so that all the enumeration areas within a stratum were listed in the order of aimag, soum, and komiss, with their identification codes and the number of households in the EA. The number of households in the enumeration area $M_{\text {hi }}$ was used as the measure of size (MOS) in the probability proportional to size method of selection of first stage units. The method of circular systematic sampling with probability of inclusion of an enumeration area proportional to its size (CSSPPS) method was used to select the sample of wards from each stratum. The procedure adopted is described below.

The selection probability for enumeration area i in stratum $\mathbf{h}$ is given by the formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(\mathrm{i})}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{Eq.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}=\text { number of EA's or PSU's to be drawn from the stratum } \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\text { number of households in the } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { EA as reported in the frame } \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}=\sum \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\begin{array}{c}
\text { total number of households in the stratum as } \\
\text { recorded in the frame }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The selection of PSU's was performed by arranging the EA's in the $\mathbf{h}$ th stratum according to aimag, soum, and komiss and the estimated number of households was used as the measure of size $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}$. The values of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ were then cumulated and $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ was recorded against each PSU. The sampling interval $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ was computed which is given by

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{h} 1}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}} \quad \text { rounded of to the nearest integer. }
$$

A random number $R_{h}$ that falls between 0 and $M_{h}$ was then selected using the random number generator in the Excel programme. The sequence of $a_{n}$ selector numbers were generated by the addition of $I_{h 1}$ to the previous number selected. If the total exceeds $M_{h}$, then $M_{h}$ was subtracted from the total to derive the number.

Let $R_{h 1}=R_{h}$ and for $j=2,3 \ldots \ldots a_{h,} \quad R_{h j},=R_{h j-1}+I_{h 1}$, if this does not exceed $M_{h ;} R_{h j},=R_{h j-1}+I_{h 1}-M_{h}$ otherwise.

Accordingly, the selector numbers will be of the form
$R_{h,}\left(R_{h}+I_{h 1}\right),\left(R_{h}+2 I_{h 1}\right),\left(R_{h}+3 I_{h 1}\right),\left(R_{h}+4 I_{h 1}\right), \ldots\left(R_{h}+(j-1) I_{h 1}\right),\left(R_{h}+\left(a_{h}-1\right)\right.$ $I_{h 1}$ ). when $R_{h j},=R_{h j-1}+I_{h 1}$, does not exceed $M_{h}$. The expressions should be replaced with the terms $R_{h j},=R_{h j-1}+I_{h 1}-M_{h}$ when $R_{h j}$ exceeds $M_{h}$.

Selection of EA's in the first stage by CSSPPS was done using Excel rogramme. The details of the samples selected for Ulaanbaatar and the urban and rural sub-divisions of the 4 regions are copied to PC' of the SSD staff members.

Circular Systematic Sample with Equal Probabilities of selection, CSSEQP was used for the selection of households from a selected EA, is a simpler version of CSSPPS.

The list of households in the sample EA prepared at the house listing stage was used as the frame, and a sample reference number was assigned sequentially to each household. The last number assigned should be equal to the total number households in the enumeration area $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{*}$. Then the probability of selecting a household in the $\mathbf{i}$ th PSU in the $\mathbf{h}$ th domain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{i})}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*} \tag{Eq.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{h}$ is equal to 10 in this instance. The sampling interval $I=M_{h i}{ }^{*} / 10$ was computed and rounded off to the nearest integer. I should be computed after the listing operation when the actual number of households is determined. A random number $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{hj}}$ in the interval 1 to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{*}$ was taken as the first selector number. The remaining 9 selector numbers were calculated one after the other by adding $I$ to the previous number. If the sum exceeded $M_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{*}$ the remainder after subtracting $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{*}$ from the sum was taken as the selector number. These selector numbers were the serial numbers of the selected households. Selection of households from the sampled EA's can be done in the EA itself by enumerators under the supervision of supervisors.

The design provides for estimators to be computed for the 9 strata, namely Ulaanbaatar, urban and rural areas sub-divisions of the 4 regions into which Mongolia is divided. These estimates are in respect of the all four quarterly rounds of the survey. However, some estimates will have to be prepared based on the quarterly rounds of the survey. The method to be applied is the same. Most of the estimators that will be computed from the survey will be ratio estimates but frequently estimates of stratum totals are required for use by policy makers and administrators. The estimation procedure for these estimators are set out in the paragraphs that follow.

## Design Weights

The design weights are used to compensate for differences in the selection probabilities. The weight for the PSU is inversely proportional to its selection probability.

The probability of selection of $\mathbf{j}$ th household in normal size PSU's and blocks in the $\mathbf{h}$ th domain is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{(\mathrm{i})} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{(\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{i})}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(\mathrm{ij})}  \tag{Eq.3}\\
& \text { where } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(\mathrm{i})}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& \text { and } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{i})}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the design weights $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}}$ for households are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} & =1 / \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{(\mathrm{ij})} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{*}}{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{hi}} \times \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}} \tag{Eq.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The design for LFS is not self-weighting and therefore it is necessary to compute weight for each PSU selected in the sample and these weights have to be used in the estimation procedure.

## Estimation Procedure for Household Information

The estimate of the stratum total of a characteristic y is given by the following formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where } \\
& \wedge \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathbf{h}}=\text { estimate of characteristic } \mathrm{y} \text { for stratum } \mathrm{h} \\
& y_{\mathrm{hij}}=\text { any characteristic of person } \mathrm{k} \text { in household } \mathrm{j} \text { in } \\
& \text { sample enumeration area i in stratum } h \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\text { number of sample households in enumeration area } \mathrm{i} \\
& a_{h}=\text { number of sample enumeration areas in stratum } h \\
& \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}}=1 / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{h}}=1 / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate for the total for all 9 strata Y was computed as the sum of the estimates for each domain viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{Y}}=\hat{\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}} \quad \mathrm{~h}=1,2,3, \ldots .9 \tag{Eq.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Most of the estimators to be computed from the LFS are in the form of averages and proportions. In general these estimators are combined ratio estimators which take the form set out below. The estimated stratum mean is a ratio and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{h}}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{h}}}{\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{h}}}=\frac{\sum \sum_{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hij}}}^{\sum \sum_{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}}}{\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{}} \tag{Eq.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$y_{\mathrm{hij}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{hi}}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}}$ are as defined earlier.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}=1 \text { for } \mathrm{j} & =1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{hi}} \\
\mathrm{i} & =1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The population mean is also a ratio, say r , which was estimated using the following formula.

$$
\mathrm{r}=\quad \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{h} i \mathrm{j}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hij}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{h} \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}}} \begin{align*}
& \text { where } \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hij}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{hi}}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \text { are as defined in Eq. } 7  \tag{Eq.8}\\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{hij}} \text { is as defned in Eq. } 7
\end{align*}
$$

## Estimation of Variances and Standard Errors

The computation procedure will be incomplete without establishing the procedure for assessing the precision or reliability of the survey estimates. The variances of the ratio estimates will be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{r})=1 / \mathrm{X}^{2} \sum\left(1-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}-1\right) \sum\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{2}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \tag{Eq.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
r=\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{x}
$$

$$
\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{h i}}=\sum \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hij}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{r}=\sum \sum \sum \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hij}} / \sum \sum \sum \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}
$$

$$
\hat{\mathrm{x}^{2}}=X^{2}=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{h} i} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \sum_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hi}}-\mathrm{r} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hi}}
$$

$a_{h}=$ number of sample enumeration areas from stratum $h$
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hij}}=$ weight for each individual in the sample household

## Variance of Ratio of rh in Stratum $h$

The variance of ratio estimate $r_{h}$ in stratum $h$ is of the form:

$$
\left.\operatorname{var}\left(r_{h}\right)=\left(1 / x_{h}^{2}\right)\left(1-f_{h}\right)\left(a_{h} / a_{h}-1\right) \sum\left(z_{h i}^{2}-z_{h}^{2} / a_{h}\right) \text { (Eq. } 10\right)
$$

where

$$
\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{h}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{j}} \sum_{\mathrm{whij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hij}}
$$

and $f_{h}, a_{h}$, and $z_{h i}$ are as defined earlier.

## Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation

The standard error of a survey estimate provides a measure of how far the survey estimate is likely to vary from the true population value (i.e. parameter) as a result of having collected the data on a sample basis rather through a complete census. The standard error se(r) of a survey estimate is by definition

$$
\operatorname{se}(r)=\operatorname{var}(r)^{1 / 2}
$$

The relative standard error or coefficient of variation (cv), on the other hand provides a measure of the relative variance of a survey estimate; that is the magnitude of the estimated sampling error relative to the magnitude of the estimate itself. The cv that is expressed as a proportional error enables the data user to compare the relative reliability or precision with which different types of survey characteristics have been measured eg. Means versus proportions, where direct comparisons of standard errors are uninformative since the magnitude of the standard error is dependent upon the magnitude of the estimate.

Computationally, the coefficient of variation is calculated as

$$
\mathrm{cv}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{se}(\mathrm{r}) / \mathrm{r}
$$

Since only a sample of enumeration areas were included in the LFS the estimates prepared from the survey are subject to sampling errors. The sampling error indicates the extent to which an estimate from the LFS would vary by chance because only a sample of EA's is included rather than all the EA's into which the country is divided. The sample size and survey design determine the magnitude of sampling errors and in respect of some items the sampling errors are expected to be high and the users are cautioned to note this fact in using the data.
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[^0]:    1 As stated earlier, the tables presented in this report are based on the enumerated population that had excluded the persons living in institutional living quarters as well as the population that had resided temporarily away from the households for periods exceeding 6 months. This lowering of the magnitude of the estimated population should be noted in using the survey estimates.

